A. NOTIFICATION / SUBMISSIONS

1. The following is a summary of the submissions received:

Submitter 1 – Peter John Kennedy

- Support
- Does not wish to be heard

Supports

a. Supports milliscreening of the wastewater as being the only treatment necesary

Decision Sought

a. Only require milliscreening of wastewater prior to be discharging into the Ocean.

Submitter 2 – Tasman Tanning Co Ltd

- Supports
- Wishes to be heard

Supports

a. Supports interim consent to discharge milliscreen wastewater to the Ocean as a means of finding a long term solution.

Decision Sought

a. Horizons approve the application.

Submitter 3 – Te Kaahui O Rauru

- Oppose
- Wish to be heard

Concerns

a. The application as a whole

b. The effects on water quality, effects on shellfish and marine life, cultural uses and recreation.

c. Is in conflict with Ngaa Rauru tikanga.

Decision Sought

a. Horizons decline the application.

Submitter 4 – Mid Central Health

- Support
- Wish to be heard

Support

a. The short term application to allow Wanganui District Council to develop a sustainable treatment system which avoids the offensive odours.

b. The effects of the short term discharge are manageable.

c. Support ongoing sampling at South Beach particularly during peak periods of us (swimming season).

Concerns

a. The Council may not be giving due consideration to all alternatives for a long term solution.

Decision Sought

a. Application be approved subject to a number of conditions relating to ongoing monitoring and sampling, and managing the potential health risks by making information available to public.

Submitter 5 – Sustainable Whanganui Trust

- Neutral
- Wish to be heard

Comments

a. Provide although does not support the discharge understands the necessity.

Decision Sought

a. Application be granted subject to the inclusion of conditions relating to the term of the consent, monitoring requirements and the inclusion of a review condition.

Submitter 6 – Cavalier Spinners

- Support
- Does not wish to be heard

Comments

b. Provide time to WDC to reach trade waste agreement with Industry.

Decision Sought

b. Application be granted.

Submitter 7 – Nga Tangata Tiaki o Whanganui me Te Runanga o Tupoho (joint)

- Oppose consent
- Wish to be heard

Concerns

a. The impact of the discharge on the life cycle of native fish species.

b. The negative social, cultural and environmental effects of the discharge on the coastal marine area and Whanganui River.

c. Lack of engagement with Whanganui Iwi, hapu and whanau.

d. Concerned with the WDC delaying making a decision and works commencing on the construction of the wastewater treatment plant.

Submitter 8 – Te Runanga o Ngati Apa

- Oppose
- Wish to be heard

Concerns

a. The impact of the discharge has on Ngati Apa to carry out its Kaitiakitanga.

b. Concerned with the level of effects.

c. Effects on the fishing grounds and the flora and fauna within the coastal marine area.