CONDITIONS APPENDED TO LEGAL SUBMISSIONS

1. The following table sets out the conditions as proposed in Mr Bashford's Section 42A Officer's Report that HDC agrees with, agrees with subject to minor amendment or disagrees with.

	Discharge Permit 6010	Discharge Permit 6011	Discharge Permit 6009	Discharge Permit 7289	Discharge Permit 102259
Agree	5, 9, 10, 15(f), 18- 27.	3(c), 3(f), (noting that Mr Saidy will	8, 14, 14(m), 14, 28(d), 29	5	7, 9, 18
		comment on the practicalities of			
		complying with this condition), 3(h),			
		3(i), 3(j), 3(k), 3(n), 6E, 6F			
Agree subject to	minor	3(e), 3(g), 3(l), 3(m), 3(p), 6B, 6C,			
amendment		6D			
Disagree	2. 2A, 3H and 3p, 11(a), 11(aa), 30	3(d), 3(o), 6A, 7	31, 32- 34,	19	5, 19

- 2. The following table is a comparison table of the different versions of conditions that have been proposed, including those proposed in the Notice of Review, HDCs response and the s127 application, conditions as recommended in the section 42A reports (based on the HDC response, submissions and JWS) taken from Andrew Bashford's section 42A Officer's Report.
- 3. Hywel Edwards' evidence appends a table with two additional columns setting out the condition as proposed by the Planning section 42A report and HDC's response to the section 42A report, including where necessary conditions that the HDC recommends be inserted into the conditions of consent.
- 4. This table appended to the legal submissions inserts an additional column setting out HDC's confirmed position following further consideration of the relevant issues and receipt of submitters' evidence.

Discharge Permit 6010 - discharge landfill leachate onto and into land

Condition	Horizons Notice of	HDC Response to	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by	Condition as amended in	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed
Number	Review	Review		Mr Bashford	section 42A report		position
Condition 2			Landfill leachate shall not	I consider that this condition is still	Landfill leachate shall not	HDC disagrees with Condition 2 as per	Maintains position
			contaminate adjoining land.	relevant to the overall management	contaminate adjoining land.	the Officer's Report and submits that	- disagree
				of leachate on the site and		this should be deleted in its entirety.	
				recommend that it be retained. As			
				outlined by Mr Standen, at		As discussed in the evidence of Mr	
				paragraph 31 of his report, there		Olivier Ausseil, Stephen Douglass and	
				are potential situations where the		Gallo Saidy condition 2 (as interpreted	
				condition may be required to avoid		by Horizons) and condition 2A	
				or mitigate effects on the		discussed below:	
				environment.		 a. Fail to reflect the history of the 	
						consents and the conditions	
						b. Leachate discharge beyond the	
						site into Tatana Drain was an	
						anticipated effect (and an	
						actual effect) of the original	
						consent and its conditions	
						c. There are no measurable	

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
		· · ·			Within six months of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions, the consent holder shall cease the discharge of landfill leachate to the Tatana Drain.	effects of leachate on Hokio Stream d. Avoidance as required is impossible, as agreed by the water quality experts in their conferencing, and the condition as interpreted by Horizons nullifies the grant of consent. For these reasons condition 2 should be deleted. HDC disagrees with proposed condition 2A as per the Officer's Report for the reasons discussed above and submits that this proposed condition be deleted. Despite suggested changes in his report the officer has not made these changes to the proposed condition. The changes suggested in the officer's report (but not the conditions) are also opposed for the reasons above, especially that there is no evidence of adversely effects on the stream associated with any discharge of leachate from the drain.	Maintains position - disagree We note that the reference to Mr Bashford's suggested changes to the condition in the previous column is incorrect and that those changes were suggested by a submitter.
Condition 3H and 3p	Table C to include a new surface water	HDC agrees with the proposed and also proposes various minor changes to Tables A, B,		that efforts are required to rectify this issue. It is not unusual for unlined landfills to have cutoff drains installed to intercept leachate and for that leachate to be disposed of through a means where it has less impact on the environment. I recommend that the condition as proposed in the Notice of Review be inserted into the Permit. I agree with the changes that HDC has proposed in its first bullet point.	The Permit Holder shall commence the following monitoring programme:	HDC agrees with extra monitoring as agreed in the water quality experts joint statement and set out in the evidence	Maintains position. HDC agrees with the additional
	monitoring location called 'Tatana Drain (TD1)'.	changes to Tables A, B, C and D under condition 3: • Amend the frequency		Mr Brown has recommended more frequent monitoring so that it is undertaken on a monthly basis between November to April	[tables A-F]	of Dr Ausseil and Mr Douglass. However, HDC does not agree to the monitoring being set between	monitoring proposed by Dr

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
Number	Proposed change to Table D to include a new surface water monitoring location called 'TD1' having location 'Tatana Drain'.	description for the Deep Aquifer (Table A), Shallow Aquifer (Table B) Monitoring Wells, and Water Monitoring Locations (Table C) since the "2 year" and "1 year" periods were completed following the 2010 review. Include the sampling of bore G2s in Table B since this is currently occurring. Include for sampling of a second new surface monitoring location called 'TD2' within Tatana Drain in Table C. In Table D, amend the locations for bores G1s and G1d, and include bore G2s and surface water monitoring locations of Tatana Drain.		(inclusive). I recommend that changes are made to Table C to accommodate this, and have included such changes in the condition schedule in Appendix 2. I agree with the proposed changes as outlined in the second, third and fourth bullet points, and recommend that those changes be made to the relevant tables in Condition 3.	Section 42A report	November and April as 20 years data would have picked this up, it is at the lowest flow events only and the flow of the Hokio Stream is controlled by a weir at the exit of Lake Horowhenua. HDC agrees with the recommendations of Dr Olivier Ausseil as follows: (a) A new "upstream" monitoring site should be added on the Hokio Stream. This site should be located upgradient of any groundwater influx from the closed landfill area. This site should be monitored at the same frequency and for the same analytes as the other surface water monitoring sites; (b) One regular surface water quality monitoring site should be added on the Tatana Drain, at the Southeast corner of the drain (i.e. where it angles away from the fenceline and towards the road/Hokio Stream), as recommended in the JWS; (c) SVOC/VOC analysis of the groundwater bores located downgradient of the landfill should be undertaken annually as a matter of course (as opposed to triggered by previous results), and full results reported in the quarterly/annual reports; (d) Mercury should be added to the list of analytes in surface water samples; and (e) Surface water monitoring should be maintained at the current frequency (quarterly).	Douglass. HDC disagrees with the requirement for monthly reporting between November and April.

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
Condition 5			The results of monitoring under Conditions 3 and 4 of	Agreed. Mr Standen has reviewed this proposed change and is	The results of monitoring under Conditions 3 and 4 of	HDC agrees with the condition as per	Maintains position
			this Permit shall be reported to the Regional Council	satisfied that it will not cause any concerns to the compliance	this Permit shall be reported to the Regional	the Officer's Report.	– agree
			by 31 August 30 September	monitoring of the consent.	Council by 31 August 30		
			each year for the duration of	recommend that the proposed	September each year for		
			this Permit.	change be accepted.	the duration of this Permit.		
Condition 9			The Permit Holder shall report	Agreed. Mr Standen has reviewed	The Permit Holder shall	HDC agrees with the condition as per	Maintains position
			the results of the monitoring to	this proposed change and is	report the results of the	the Officer's Report.	- agree
			the Neighbourhood Liaison		monitoring to the	the officer a report.	agree
			Group by 31 August <u>30</u>	concerns to the compliance	Neighbourhood Liaison		
			September each year for the	monitoring of the consent.	Group by 31 August 30		
			duration of the Permit.	recommend that the proposed	September each year for		
0 "" 10				change be accepted.	the duration of the Permit.		01 ()
Condition 10			10 If a laboratory is used	Mr Standen has reviewed this	If a laboratory is used for	HDC disagrees with the condition as	Change of position
			for water quality analyses	proposed change and discussed it	water quality analyses	per the Officer's report.	- HDC now agrees
			which do not have	at paragraphs 34 to 36 of his	which do not have		with this condition.
			independent accreditation for the parameters measured,	report. I agree with his analysis and recommend that the condition	independent accreditation for the parameters		
			then on each sampling	be replaced with the following:	measured, then on each		
			occasion duplicate	be replaced with the following.	sampling occasion		
			samples from a least one	All analyses on water quality	duplicate samples from a		
			sampling location shall be	samples shall be carried out by an	least one sampling location		
			analysed by a laboratory with	IANZ accredited laboratory.	shall be analysed by a		
			independent accreditation for	" " " La decreance reporterly."	laboratory with independent		
			the parameters measured.		accreditation for the		
			Continued analysis by		parameters measured.		
			the unaccredited laboratory		Continued analysis by the		
			shall be at the discretion of the		unaccredited laboratory		
			Regional Council.		shall be at the discretion of		
					the Regional Council. All		
					analyses on water quality		
					samples shall be carried		
					out by an IANZ accredited		
					laboratory.		
Condition	_	Should any shallow		In his report, Mr Brown has			Maintains position
11(a)	aquifer groundwater			observed that the ANZECC		per the Officer's Report.	- disagree
		and surface water		guidelines for Livestock Watering			
	parameters tested for	'		do not provide for the values			
		under Condition 3 of		assigned to the Hokio Stream its			
	this consent exceed the			tributaries (including the Tatana			
		Australian and New Zealand Environment		Drain). He states that more appropriate standards would be the	Zealand Environment and Conservation Council		
	and Conservation			ANZECC guidelines for the level of			
		Council Water Quality		protection of 95% of species. This	-		
	Guidelines (2000) for	*		is consistent with the changes to	,		
	` ,	Livestock Watering, the		the conditions as recommended in			
	_	Permit Holder shall		the Notice of Review and I			
		report to the Regional		recommend that the words "and			
		Council as soon as		surface water" be removed from the	1 *		
	Courion as soon as	Council as 30011 as		Sandos water be removed from the	organication of the result		

Condition	Horizons Notice of	HDC Response to	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by	Condition as amended in	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed
Number	Review	Review		Mr Bashford	section 42A report		position
	practicable on the	practicable on the		condition.	and, where the change can		
	significance of the	significance of the			be attributed to landfill		
	result and, where the	result and, where the			leachate, consult with the		
	change can be	change can be			Regional Council to		
	attributed to landfill	attributed to landfill			determine if further		
	leachate, consult with	leachate, consult with			investigation or remedial		
	the Regional Council to	the Regional Council to			measures are required.		
	determine if further	determine if further					
	investigation or	investigation or					
	remedial measures are	remedial measures are					
	required.	required.					
New	Should any surface	Should any surface		As per the above, it is considered	Should any surface water	HDC disagrees with the condition as	Maintains position
Condition	water parameters	water parameters		that the ANZECC guidelines for the	parameters tested for under	per the Officer's Report.	- disagree
11(aa)	tested for under	tested for under		level of protection of 95% of	Condition 3 of this consent,		
	Condition 3 of this	Condition 3 of this		species is the appropriate standard	including the Tatana Drain	As discussed above and in the	
	consent, including the	consent, including the		to apply to the Hokio Stream and	location, exceed the	evidence of Olivier Ausseil, this	
	Tatana Drain location,	Tatana Drain location,		Tatana Drain. I recommend that	Australian and New	condition should not apply to the	
	exceed the Australian	exceed the Australian		this condition be incorporated into	Zealand Environment and	Tatana Drain and should be worded as	
	and New Zealand	and New Zealand		the consent.	Conservation Council	follows:	
	Environment and	Environment and			Water Quality Guidelines		
	Conservation Council	Conservation Council			(2000) for 95 per cent	For toxicants measured in surface	
	Water Quality	Water Quality			protection levels for Aquatic	water samples of the Hokio Stream, the	
	Guidelines (2000) for	Guidelines (2000) for			Ecosystems the Permit	median concentration of the samples	
	95 per cent protection	95 per cent protection			Holder shall report to the	taken over the preceding 24 months	
	levels for Aquatic	levels for Aquatic			Regional Council as soon	shall be compared with the trigger	
	Ecosystems the Permit	Ecosystems the Permit			as practicable on the	values for toxicants at the 95% species	
	Holder shall report to	Holder shall report to			significance of the result.	protection level provided in the 2000	
	the Regional Council as	the Regional Council as			Where the change can be	ANZECC Guidelines table 3.4.1 (page	
	soon as practicable on	soon as practicable on			attributed to landfill	3.4-5). Should the median	
	the significance of the	the significance of the			leachate the Consent	concentration of any toxicant exceed	
	result. Where the	result. Where the			Holder shall consult with	the relevant trigger value, Permit Holder shall assess whether the	
	change can be	change can be attributed to landfill					
	attributed to landfill leachate the Consent	leachate the Consent			determine if further investigation or remedial	change can be attributed to landfill leachate.	
	Holder shall consult				measures are required	Where the change can be attributed to	
	with the Regional	with the Regional			ineasures are required	landfill leachate the Consent Holder	
	Council to determine if	Council to determine if				shall consult with the Regional Council	
	further investigation or	further investigation or				to determine if further investigation or	
	remedial measures are	remedial measures are				remedial measures are required.	
	required.	required.				Tomodiai mododioo dio roquirod.	
	<u>required.</u>	roquirou.				The results of the above investigations	
						shall be reported in the annual	
						monitoring report required.	
Condition			The Permit holder shall submit	Agreed	The Permit holder shall		Maintains position
15(f)			an annual report to the		submit an annual report to	the Officer's Report	- agree
			Regional Council by 31 August		the Regional Council by 31	'	
			30 September each year for		August 30 September each		
			the duration of this Permit		year for the duration of this		
			documenting the condition of		Permit documenting the		
			the unlined landfill and any		condition of the unlined		

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
			maintenance carried out		landfill and any		-
			during the previous year. The		maintenance carried out		
			annual report shall address but		during the previous year.		
			not be limited to those aspects		The annual report shall		
			listed in Conditions 15(a) to (e)		address but not be limited		
			above. The annual report		to those aspects listed in		
			shall include a plan of the		Conditions 15(a) to (e)		
			unlined landfill specifically		above. The annual report		
			documenting the shape of the		shall include a plan of the		
			closed landfill and any		unlined landfill specifically		
			changes during the previous		documenting the shape of		
			year. [The annual report can		the closed landfill and any		
			be written in conjunction with		changes during the		
			the annual report required as		previous year. [The annual		
			part of Condition 14 for		report can be written in		
			Consent Number 6009].		conjunction with the annual		
			_		report required as part of		
					Condition 14 for Consent		
					Number 6009].		
Condition 18			The rate of application of	Mr Standen has considered the	The rate of application of	HDC agrees with the change. This	Maintains position
			leachate irrigated to land shall	proposed deletion of conditions 17	leachate irrigated to land	reflects HDC's section 127 application	- agree
			not exceed 200 kg	through to 24 and conditions 26	shall not exceed 200 kg	to delete discharge of leachate to	
			Nitrogen/hectare per year.	and 27 relating to the irrigation of	Nitrogen/hectare per year.	ground conditions as per the evidence	
				leachate on the landfill site. Mr	The Permit Holder shall	of Phillip Landmark that no leachate	
				Standen notes that HDC has not	ensure that no leachate	has been irrigated to land since 2009	
				irrigated to the site for	from the lined landfill is	and HDC does not intend to do so in	
				approximately five years. HDC	irrigated or otherwise	the future.	
				states that leachate has been	discharged to land.		
				treated at the Levin WWTP since			
				about 2009.			
				Mr Standen has recommended a			
				condition to prohibit the discharge			
				of leachate onto or into land. I			
				agree that a condition limiting			
				discharges to land on the site is			
				required. Discharge Permit 6010			
				authorises the discharge of			
				leachate to land, and the			
				cancellation of conditions 17 to 24			
				and 26 and 27 will mean that there			
				are no controls to any future			
				discharges of leachate to land. It is			
				noted that the irrigation of leachate			
				can only apply to leachate collected			
				from the lined landfill. Therefore I			
				recommend a condition be imposed			
				to replace the cancelled conditions			
				that states:			

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
				The Permit Holder shall ensure that no leachate from the lined landfill is irrigated or otherwise discharged to land.			
Condition 19			There shall be no ponding or runoff of leachate on or beyond the irrigation areas.	As above	There shall be no ponding or runoff of leachate on or beyond the irrigation areas.	HDC agrees with the deletion of the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree
Condition 20			Subject to Condition 19 of this permit, application of leachate on to soil shall not exceed 50 millimetres per day. Notwithstanding, the maximum rate of application shall not exceed 5 millimetres per hour.	As above	Subject to Condition 19 of this permit, application of leachate on to soil shall not exceed 50 millimetres per day. Notwithstanding, the maximum rate of application shall not exceed 5 millimetres per hour.	HDC agrees with the deletion of the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree
Condition 21			There shall be no discharge of offensive or objectionable odour at or beyond the legal boundary of the Levin Landfill property as shown on Figure 1 resulting from leachate.	As above	There shall be no discharge of offensive or objectionable odour at or beyond the legal boundary of the Levin Landfill property as shown on Figure 1 resulting from leachate irrigation.	HDC agrees with the deletion of the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree
Condition 22			Should the quality of leachate being irrigated exceed the STV parameters set out in the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Water Quality Guidelines (2000) for metals in Irrigation Water the Permit Holder shall report to the Regional Council as soon as practicable on the significance of the result and in consultation with the Regional Council determine if further investigation or remedial measures are required.	As above	Should the quality of leachate being irrigated exceed the STV parameters set out in the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Water Quality Guidelines (2000) for metals in Irrigation Water the Permit Holder shall report to the Regional Council as soon as practicable on the significance of the result and in consultation with the Regional Council determine if further investigation or remedial measures are required.	HDC agrees with the deletion of the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree
Condition 23			The daily volume of leachate irrigated to land shall be metered and recorded.	As above	The daily volume of leachate irrigated to land shall be metered and recorded.	HDC agrees with the deletion of the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree

Condition	Horizons Notice of	HDC Response to	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by	Condition as amended in	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed
Number	Review	Review		Mr Bashford	section 42A report		position
Condition 24			The Permit Holder shall make	As above	The Permit Holder shall	HDC agrees with the deletion of the	Maintains position
			regular and at least weekly,		make regular and at least	condition as per the Officer's Report.	agree
			inspections of the irrigation		weekly, inspections of the		
			system, including pumps,		irrigation system, including		
			pipes, irrigators and vegetation		pumps, pipes, irrigators and		
			to ensure that the system is		vegetation to ensure that		
			operating efficiently and that		the system is operating		
			vegetation is in good health.		efficiently and that		
					vegetation is in good health		
Condition 26			A plan of the leachate	As above	A plan of the leachate	HDC agrees with the deletion of the	Maintains position
			irrigation system shall be		irrigation system shall be	condition as per the Officer's Report.	– agree
			prepared to the satisfaction of		prepared to the satisfaction		
			the Regional Council's		of the Regional Council's		
			Environmental Protection		Environmental Protection		
			Manager nine months prior to		Manager nine months prior		
			placement of refuse on		to placement of refuse on		
			the lined landfill. The plan		the lined landfill. The plan		
			shall include:		shall include:		
			a. A map showing areas		a. A map showing areas to		
			to be irrigated;		be irrigated;		
			b. Design of the		b. Design of the		
			recirculation, treatment and		recirculation, treatment and		
			irrigation systems;		irrigation systems;		
			c. Contingency		c. Contingency measures in		
			measures in case of failures in		case of failures in the		
			the irrigation system;		irrigation system;		
			d. Criteria for installing		d. Criteria for installing		
			aerators in the leachate pond;		aerators in the leachate		
			e. Assessment of options		pond;		
			for recirculating leachate over		e. Assessment of options		
			the lined landfill;		for recirculating leachate		
			f. Assessment of		over the lined landfill;		
			groundwater profile beneath		f. Assessment of		
			the irrigation area and effects		groundwater profile		
			leachate irrigation will have on		beneath the irrigation area		
			groundwater;		and effects leachate		
			g. Groundwater and soil		irrigation will have on		
			monitoring programme,		groundwater;		
			including a map showing		g. Groundwater and soil		
			sampling locations; and		monitoring programme,		
					including a map showing		
			a. Any other relevant		sampling locations; and		
			matter.		h. Any other relevant		
					matter.		
Condition 27			The Permit Holder shall keep a	As above	The Permit Holder shall	HDC agrees with the deletion of the	Maintains position
			log of:		keep a log of:	condition as per the Officer's Report.	– agree
			a. The dates and times of		a. The dates and times of		Č
			leachate irrigation;		leachate irrigation;		
			b. The total volume of		b. The total volume of		
			leachate irrigated daily;		leachate irrigated daily;		

Condition Horizons Notice o Number Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
		c. The volumes of		c. The volumes of leachate		
		leachate irrigated to specific		irrigated to specific areas;		
		areas;		d. Weather and ground		
		d. Weather and ground		conditions during irrigation;		
		conditions during irrigation;		e. Observations made		
		e. Observations made		during the weekly		
		during the weekly inspections		inspections of the pump,		
		of the pump, irrigation system		irrigation system and		
		and irrigation areas; and		irrigation areas; and		
				_		
		f. Repairs and maintenance carried out on		f. Repairs and maintenance		
				carried out on the irrigation		
		the irrigation system.		system.		
				Copies of this log shall be		
		Copies of this log shall be		forwarded to the Regional		
		forwarded to the Regional		Council's Environmental		
		Council's Environmental		Protection Manager on 28		
		Protection Manager on 28		February and 31 August of		
		February and 31 August of		each year that the irrigation		
		each year that the irrigation		system is operated.		
		system is operated.				
Condition 30		The Regional Council shall	A number of submitters have	The Regional Council	HDC disagrees with the condition as	Maintains position
		may initiate a publicly notified	expressed their objection to the	shallmay initiate a publicly	per the Officer's Report.	-disagree
		review of Conditions 3, 4, 11	changes proposed by HDC to the	notified review of	· ·	Ŭ
		(a) – (e), 12, 13, 14, 24, 27, 28	review conditions. I understand	Conditions 3, 4, 11 (a) -		
		and 29 of this permit at ten	that the conditions were imposed	(e), 12, 13, 14, 24, 27 , 28		
		yearly intervals after the	on the various consents during the	and 29 of this Permit in		
		commencement date of the	last review in 2010, by agreement	October 2015 and April		
		decision of the 2015 review of	between the parties, including the	2020, 2025, 2030 and		
		conditions in April, 2015, 2020,	Regional Council.	2035, unless the		
		2025, 2030 and 2035, unless	Regional Council.	Neighbourhood Liaison		
		the Neighbourhood Liaison	There are three elements to the	9		
		_	There are three elements to the	Group (NLG) agrees that a		
			proposed change. First is to			
		_	remove the compulsory and			
		reviews shall be for the	1.	purpose of:		
		purpose of:"	review and to replace it with a			
			discretionary element. The second			
			is to amend the possible frequency			
			of the review and the third is related			
			to the first and seeks to remove the			
			discretionary powers granted to the			
			NLG.			
			Even though the condition was			
			introduced into the consents by			
			way of agreement, there is now an			
			application before Horizons to			
			amend it. In my opinion, s128			
			provides a discretion to the			
			· ·			
			consenting authority as to whether			
			it will review the conditions of			

Condition Number	Horizons Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Section 42A recommendation by Mr Bashford	Condition as amended in section 42A report	HDC current position	HDC's confirmed position
		•	HDC s127 Application		I I	HDC current position	I
				-			

Discharge Permit 6009 – discharge solid waste to land

Condition	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in	HDC response to Planning	HDC confirmed
Number					Planning s42A Officer's	s42A Officer's Report	position
					Report		
Condition 8			The Permit Holder shall	Agreed – same reasons as	The Permit Holder shall	HDC agrees with the condition	Maintains position

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
			develop and implement a procedure for the landfill operator, such that potentially hazardous material, as listed in Annex 1 attached to and forming part of this permit, will not be accepted for disposal at the Levin landfill without specific authorization. The Operations Manager of the Horowhenua District Council, or some other designated person, is able at their discretion to accept quantities of such wastes. The waste shall be accompanied by a Hazardous Waste Manifest, as listed in Annex 1, which will form part of the permanent record and shall be reported by to the Regional Council by 31 August 30 September each year for the term of this Permit.	discussed in relation to conditions 5 of Discharge Permit 6010.	develop and implement a procedure for the landfill operator, such that potentially hazardous material, as listed in Annex 1 attached to and forming part of this permit, will not be accepted for disposal at the Levin landfill without specific authorization. The Operations Manager of the Horowhenua District Council, or some other designated person, is able at their discretion to accept quantities of such wastes. The waste shall be accompanied by a Hazardous Waste Manifest, as listed in Annex 1, which will form part of the permanent record and shall be reported by to the Regional Council by 31 August 30 September each year for the term of this Permit.	as per the Officer's Report.	- agree
Condition 14	The Permit Holder shall update the Landfill Management Plan in respect of the operations on the lined landfill to the satisfaction of the Environmental Protection Regulatory Manager at the Regional Council within six months of the completion of the review of the consents of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions of consent. The Landfill Management Plan shall include, but not be limited to:	Agreed		Recommend that the proposed changes are made to the condition. The air quality experts have agreed that an odour management plan (OMP) should be prepared and referenced in the consent conditions. It would be logical to have the OMP incorporated into the Landfill Management Plan. As such, I recommend an additional clause to be added to condition 14 to require the inclusion of an OMP.	update the Landfill Management Plan in respect of the operations on the lined landfill to the satisfaction of the Environmental Protection Regulatory Manager at the Regional Council within six months of the completion of the review of the consents of	HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position – agree
Condition 14(m)		The feasibility of carrying out greenwaste composting operations on top of the closed		Agreed. Mr Standen has assessed this request at paragraph 37 of his report, and has noted that the	greenwaste composting	condition as per the Officer's	Maintains position – agree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		Handfill shall be assessed. Where it is deemed to be		feasibility study has been completed which concluded that the composting	closed landfill shall be assessed. Where it is deemed		
		feasible, the composting		is not feasible. Therefore I	to be feasible, the composting		
		operations shall be incorporated		recommend that the condition be	operations shall be		
		into the Closed Landfill		cancelled as requested.	incorporated into the Closed		
		Aftercare Management Plan'			Landfill Aftercare Management Plan .An Odour		
					Management Plan.		
Condition 14				Agreed – same reasons as	The Permit holder	HDC agrees with the condition	Maintains position
				discussed in relation to conditions 5	shall submit an annual report	as per the Officer's Report.	– agree
			-	of Discharge Permit 6010.	to the Regional Council by 31		
			Regional Council by 31		August 30 September each		
			August 30 September		year for the duration of this		
			each year for the		Permit documenting the		
			duration of this Permit		condition of the unlined landfill		
			documenting the condition of the unlined		and any maintenance carried		
					out during the previous year. The annual report shall		
			landfill and any maintenance carried out		address but not be limited to		
			during the previous		those aspects listed in		
			year. The annual report		Conditions 14(n) to 14(r)		
			shall address but not be		above. The annual report		
			limited to those aspects		shall include a plan of the		
			listed in Conditions		unlined landfill specifically		
			14(n) to 14(r) above.		documenting the shape of the		
			The annual report shall		closed landfill and any		
			include a plan of the		changes during the previous		
			unlined landfill		year related to Condition		
			specifically		14(q) [The annual report can		
			documenting the shape		be written in conjunction with		
			of the closed landfill and		the annual report required as		
			any changes during the		part of Condition 15 (f) for		
			previous year related to		Consent Number 6010]		
			Condition 14(q) [The				
			annual report can be				
			written in conjunction				
			with the annual report				
			required as part of				
			Condition 15 (f) for				
Condition		'A protective lever of and 400	Consent Number 6010]	Agrood Mr Ctondon addresses this	A protective layer of send 400	LDC agrace with this soundities	Maintaina nasitian
Condition		'A protective layer of sand 100 mm thick on the base overlain		Agreed. Mr Standen addresses this	A protective layer of sand 100 mm thick on the base overlain	HDC agrees with this condition	Maintains position
28(d)		by a 300 mm thick gravel		requested change at paragraph 38 of his report. He states that the change	by a 300 mm thick gravel	as per the Officer's Report.	– agree
		drainage layer, and on the sides		has already been implemented, with	drainage layer, and on the		
		a protective layer of sand 300		Horizons approval. Therefore I	sides a protective layer of		
		mm thick that will be placed		recommend that the change to the	sand 300 mm thick that will be		
		progressively as the landfill		condition be made as proposed.	placed progressively as the		
		rises slopes a confining layer of		ostration be made de proposed.	landfill rises. slopes a		
		gravel 300 mm thick, lain on top			confining layer of gravel 300		

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		of a protective geofabric and geogrid, appropriately designed for the site conditions'			mm thick, lain on top of a protective geofabric and geogrid, appropriately designed for the site conditions.		
Condition 29		'Nine menths prior to the placement of refuse on the lined landfill, the Permit Holder shall present a Management Plan to the Regional Council including the same items as those described in Condition 14 (a) to (m)'		This condition has been complied with and is now redundant. I recommend that it be cancelled as requested.	Nine months prior to the placement of refuse on the lined landfill, the Permit Holder shall present a Management Plan to the Regional Council including the same items as those described in Condition 14 (a) to (m)	HDC agrees with the deletion of this condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position – agree
Condition 31			shall may initiate a publicly notified review of Conditions 2, 8, 14 (a) to (m), 28, 29, 32, 33, and 34 of this permit	Agreed for the same reasons as discussed in relation to condition 30 of Discharge Permit 6010. In addition, I note that the HDC request in relation to this particular review condition has omitted part of the condition. I recommend that the reference to the NLG also be removed from the condition in a similar fashion to that recommendation for condition 30 of discharge permit 6010.	The Regional Council shall may initiate a publicly notified review of Conditions 2, 8, 14 (a) to (m), 28, 29, 32, 33, and	condition as per the Officer's	Maintains position –disagree
Condition 32		'The Permit Holder shall re- establish, chair, manage and conduct a Neighbourhood Liaison Group (NLG) in 2016. The following shall each be eligible to be members have one representative: a. Representation from The Lake Horowhenua Trustees and Ngati Pareraukawa; b. The owners and occupiers of those properties adjoining the Levin Landfill property described as A through to N on Drawing 2181 attached; c. A technical advisor as appointed by the Permit Holder. Other parties who are invited from time to time as agreed by the		Conditions 32 to 34 establish a community liaison group (NLG) outlining membership, frequency of meetings and roles and responsibilities. HDC have proposed to amend these conditions to rationalise membership of the group and to define a purpose for the group. A number of submitters are opposed to the changes to these conditions and see the changes as an erosion of rights and as an attempt to exclude the community voice from the decision making process. In my experience, for such liaison groups to functionally operate they do need clear terms of reference that outline the groups purpose,	32. The Permit Holder shall establish a NLG. The following shall be eligible to be members: a. Representation from Lake Horowhenua Trustees and Ngati Pareraukawa; b. The owners and occupiers of those properties adjoining the Levin Landfill property described as A through to N on Drawing 2181 attached; c. Other parties who are invited from time to time as agreed by the Permit Holder and/or the NLG, including but not limited to original submitters; and d. A representative from each of the Horowhenua District	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report with the following exceptions: HDC may have one representative but the condition needs to be clear that at the meeting, as the consent holder, it may have its relevant staff and contractors attend. It is important that the discussions of the NLG are heard directly by staff involved in the management and operation of the landfill. New condition 32 entitling all adjacent owners and occupiers NLG membership.	Maintains position – largely disagree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
					Report		
		Permit Holder and/or the		membership and the respective roles	and the Regional Council,	New condition 32 - Requiring	
		NLG, including but not		and responsibilities of the members.	being consent authorities.	agreement of NLG to invite	
		limited to original				technical advisors to NLG	
		submitters; and		With respect to Condition 32, I note	The Permit Holder shall re-	meetings. Experts should be	
		d. A representative from		that the Lake Horowhenua Trustees	establish, chair, manage and	present to the meeting as	
		each of tThe Horowhenua		and Ngati Pareraukawa are different	conduct a Neighbourhood	required and appropriate.	
		District Council and the		entities and should be listed	Liaison Group (NLG) in 2016.	Parties should bear the cost of	
		Regional Council , being consent authorities .		separately to make it clear that each	Representation on the NLG	such technical advisors; HDC	
				entity is entitled to membership of	shall be available to all	will make its advisors available	
		e. The Permit Holder (in		the NLG. I disagree with the	owners and occupiers of the	to talk to the NLG as	
		addition to the representative nominated		proposed exclusion of occupiers of	properties adjoining the Levin	reasonable. It is likely to	
		under 32(d))'.		properties adjacent to the landfill	Landfill property, described as	prevent the attendance of	
				from the NLG. Owners and	A through to N on Drawing	technical advisors at NLG	
				occupiers need to be considered	2181. In addition, the	meetings and therefore the	
				when assessing effects of activities		dissemination of information that	
				on people and I do not see why	be eligible to have one	can make an invaluable	
				occupiers cannot be involved in the	representative on the NLG:	contribution toward resolving	
				on-going consultation processes		issues. The proposed s42A	
				available through an NLG. I consider		wording of NLG "agreement" of	
				that the group should be set up for		"active members" is uncertain	
				the members and that technical		and is likely to increase	
				representation could be on a 'when	Regional Council	disputes.	
				and as needed' basis as agreed by	d. Horowhenua District	As discussed in the suideness of	
				the group members. I consider that	·	As discussed in the evidence of	
				HDC and Horizons ought to be listed separately as they are separate		Mr Gallo Saidy the challenges in	
				organisations. I agree that the permit	different entity from HDC) Technical advisors may be	resolving issues through the NLG will continue if large	
				holder should have representation,	invited to NLG meetings if	numbers of people attend NLG	
				but only if the permits are not held by	deemed necessary, and only	meetings. The experience of	
				HDC.	by agreement from the active	the Whakawatea Forum is that a	
				1150.	members of the NLG.	smaller group of people,	
				Amended wording to reflect the		reporting back to the	
				above is included in the condition		community, works much better.	
				schedules attached in Appendix 2.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
						Condition 32 should be worded	
						as follows:	
						The Permit Holder shall re-	
						establish, chair, manage and	
						conduct a Neighbourhood	
						Liaison Group (NLG) in 2016.	
						The following shall each be	
						eligible to have one	
						representative:	
						a. The Lake Horowhenua	
						Trustees;	
						b. Ngati Pareraukawa;	
						c owners of the properties	

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
						adjoining the Levin Landfill property, described as A through to N on Drawing 2181. d. a technical advisor as appointed by the Permit Holder e. Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council f. Horowhenua District Council g. The Permit Holder (if a different entity from HDC)	
						Technical advisors as appointed by the Permit Holder may be invited to NLG meetings if the Permit Holder considers it would assist the discussion with the NLG.	
						The permit holders staff and contractor shall be able to attend and watch the NLG meetings and assist on the invitation of the permit holder's representative.	
						The representatives on the NLG are responsible for reporting back to their members and interested parties. The permit holder will make (unless confidential) the reports and information provided to the NLG, and the minutes of the NLG available on its website.	
						The permit holder is responsible solely for the reasonable costs of administering the NLG, such as providing a venue and drafting up minutes.	
Condition 33		The purpose of the NLG is solely to review and provide comment to the Permit Holder on environmental and monitoring results in relation to environmental mitigations at the Levin landfill in accordance with the conditions of consent. The Permit Holder may accept or	SI H do do re	ondition 33, as it currently stands, pecifies the meeting frequency. DC have proposed to include a refined purpose for the NLG and to relete two existing provisions that requires meetings on a more requent basis for the first 18 months of establishment.		HDC disagrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report. Condition 33 should be worded as follows: The Permit Holder shall hold	Maintains position - disagree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		reject any comments with reasons to be provided to the NLG. The Permit Holder shall: a. Convene one meeting one menth after the commencement of the consent; b. Thereafter at intervals of six menths for the first 18 menths after the date of exercising the consent; and c. ThereafterHold meetings at intervals of no more than 12 months unless 80% of the people attending a meeting agree that changes to the intervals are acceptable.'		In my opinion, the purpose for the NLG is likely better placed in Condition 34, which currently defines roles and responsibilities. In addition the purpose of the NLG as proposed is very limited and, in my view, unlikely to achieve outcomes of any significance. I also consider that it will likely be beneficial for the NLG members to meet more frequently than once per year, especially during the period of time after the commencement of this review when it is likely that there will be a reasonable amount of activity in bedding in to the amended condition of consent. I recommend that conditions 33(a) and (b) remain, but with amendments to require meetings to be held at those frequencies after the commencement of this Review. Recommended wording is included in the condition schedules attached in Appendix 2.	Report months after the date of exercising the consent commencement of the 2015 review of conditions; and c. Thereafter at intervals of no more than 12 months unless 80% of the people attending a meeting agree that changes to the intervals are acceptable.	meetings of the NLG at intervals of no more than 12 months.	
Condition 34		'The Permit Holder shall: a. Supply notes of each meeting to the Group Members; b. Forward an annual report to members and as sent to the Regional Council and the District Council; c. Forward any other information to the Group Members, in accordance with the conditions of the consents; and d. The Permit Holder shall ensure the NLG members are: i. Able to advise the Permit Holder of potential members of		As discussed above, I consider that the purpose of the NLG should be expressed in this condition. The purpose as proposed by HDC is limited. I consider that for the NLG to be successful, open discussion of issues that concern the community members of the group is required. In that respect the purpose should be widened to allow discussions of matters such as that provided for under conditions 34 (d)(v). I recommend that the purpose of the NLG is inserted into this condition and includes matters such as that listed under (v). I agree with the changes proposed to (b), and (d)(i). The changes to (b)	to provide a forum where: a. members can raise matters	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report with the following exceptions: Disagree with conditions 34(a) and (b). This purpose is too broad allowing the NLG to raise any matter of concern. These provisions are even broader than the current provisions. Without clearer guidance on what matters can be raised, the difficulties with the NLG as discussed in the evidence of Mr Gallo Saidy will continue. Disagrees with conditions 34(v) and (vi). This gives the NLG wide ambit to raise any issue	Maintains position — largely disagree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		the NLG.		do not change the intention of the	Report compliance assessments and	and requires the HDC to	
		(110 NES .		condition and simply make to		· .	
		ii. Given the opportunity		clearer. Condition 34(d)(i) is no	monitoring results	respond. Without refinement of	
		to inspect the		longer required because the		the matters that can be raised	
		operations on site on		proposed changes to condition 32	To facilitate the above the	by the NLG and refinement of	
		the occasion of NLG meetings, and/or on		have defined the membership of the	Permit Holder shall:	the circumstances in which the	
		such other occasions		group.	a. Supply notes of each	HDC is required to respond to	
		as are agreed by the			meeting to the Group	issues raised, the difficulties	
		Permit Holder and		The change to (d)(ii) is unnecessary	Members;	with the NLG as discussed in	
		Landfill Operator.		as the permit holder ought to have ultimate control over the site. In my	b. Forward an annual report	the evidence of Mr Gallo Saidy	
		The Permit Holder		view, arrangements for visitor entry	to members and as sent to the	will continue.	
		shall not		to the site are a matter to be agreed	Regional Council and the		
		unreasonably withhold such		between the permit holder and the	District Council;	Condition 34 should be worded	
		withhold such agreement. The		landfill operator and not the NLG.	c. Forward any other	as follows:	
		Permit Holder shall		B	information to the Group		
		grant the NLG		Recommended wording is included in the condition schedules attached	Members, in accordance with	The purpose of the NLG is	
		members access to			the conditions of the	solely to review and provide	
		the landfill property,		in Appendix 2.	consents; and	comment to the Permit Holder	
		during working			d. The Permit Holder shall	on environmental and	
		hours, subject to			ensure the NLG members	monitoring results in relation to	
		relevant health and safety regulations			are:	environmental mitigations at the	
		and the Management			i. Able to advise the Permit	Levin landfill in accordance with	
		Plan.			Holder of potential members	the conditions of consent. The	
					of the NLG.	Permit Holder may accept or	
		iii. Consulted by the Permit Holder as a			ii. Given the opportunity to	reject any comments with	
		group prior to any			inspect the operations on site	reasons to be provided to the	
		review of the			on the occasion of NLG	NLG representatives.	
		resource consents or			meetings, and/or on such		
		any change of			other occasions as are	The Permit Holder shall:	
		conditions pursuant			agreed by the Permit Holder.	a. Supply notes of each meeting	
		to section 127 of the Resource			The Permit Holder shall not	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Management Act			unreasonably withhold such	b. Forward an annual report to	
		1991 (and/or any			_	NLG representatives as sent to	
		consequential			Holder shall grant the NLG		
		amendments).				c. Forward any other information	
		iv. Provided by the				to the NLG representatives, in	
		Permit Holder with a				accordance with the conditions	
		copy of all monitoring			relevant health and safety	of the consents; and	
		reports and other			regulations and the	d. The Permit Holder shall	
		documentation			Management Plan.	ensure the NLG representatives	
		relating to the non- commercially			iii. Consulted by the Permit		
		sensitive,			Holder as a group prior to any	i. Given the opportunity to	
		environmental				inspect the operations on site on	
		operation of the			_	the occasion of NLG meetings,	
		landfill, at the same			conditions pursuant to section		
		time as such reports				as are agreed by the Permit	
		are provided to the			Management Act 1991	Holder and Landfill Operator.	
		Regional Council in			(and/or any consequential	The Permit Holder shall not	
		accordance with the resource consents.			amendments).	unreasonably withhold such	
					iv. Provided by the Permit	agreement. The Permit Holder	
		v. Able to raise with the					

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		Permit Holder, as necessary, any matter which the NLG member believes the Permit Holder should address in order to meet the conditions of the consent(s). Vi. Formally acknowledged and considered by the Permit Holder, with respect to NLG member's written suggestions to the Permit Holder on possible improvements to, or concerns about, the landfilling operations. Given reasons for any comments from the NLG at the annual meeting on environmental and monitoring results in relation to environmental mitigations at the Levin Landfill being rejected. Vii. Kept informed by the Permit Holder as to whether or not progress is being made towards a regional landfill.			Permit Holder, as necessary, any matter which the NLG member believes the Permit Holder should address in order to meet the conditions of the consent(s). vi. Formally acknowledged and considered by the Permit	shall grant the NLG representatives access to the landfill property, during working hours, subject to relevant regulations, including health and safety regulations, and the Management Plan. ii. Consulted prior to any review of the resource consents or any change of conditions pursuant to section 127 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (and/or any consequential amendments). iii. Provided with a copy of all monitoring reports and other documentation relating to the non-commercially sensitive, environmental operation of the landfill, at the same time as such reports are provided to the Regional Council in accordance with the resource consents. v. Given reasons for any comments from the NLG representatives at the annual meeting on environmental and monitoring results in relation to environmental mitigations at the Levin Landfill being rejected.	

Discharge Permit 6011 – discharge landfill gas, odour and dust to air

Condition	Notice of Review	HDC Response to	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in	HDC's response to Planning	HDC confirmed
Number		Review			Planning s42A Officer's	s42A Officer's Report	position
					Report		
New	From the commencement	From the		The air quality experts have agreed on	From the commencement date	HDC agrees with this condition as	Maintains position
Condition	date of the decision of the	commencement date of		the following condition in respect of	of the decision of the 2015	per the Officer's Report.	– agree
3(c)	2015 review of conditions,	the decision of the 2015		daily cover.	review of conditions, the		
	the Consent Holder must	review of conditions, the			Consent Holder must place		
	place daily cover over the	Consent Holder must		From the commencement date of the	daily cover over the entire		
	entire operational fill area	place daily cover over		decision of the 2015 review of	operational fill area to a depth		
	by the end of each	the entire operational fill		conditions, the Consent Holder must	of at least 150 millimetres by		

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
	operating day. Daily cover may be 150mm of soil or clay generated on site or imported, but may also be one of a number of nonsoil alternative daily cover options of an appropriate thickness where it can be demonstrated that they achieve a comparable level of control with respect to odour discharges, vermin, birds and litter. Raw sand cannot be used as daily cover.	operating day. Daily sever may be 150mm of seil or clay generated on site or imported, but may also be one of a number of non-seil alternative		place daily cover over the entire operational fill area to a depth of at least 150 millimetres by the end of each operating day. Daily cover material may comprise of sand, soil or mulched woody material and should be applied to ensure effective odour control. I note that this wording may allow for the sole use of sand for daily cover. However, what ever the material used, it will be required to ensure effective odour control. I recommend that the above wording be inserted as new condition 3(c).	, , ,		
New Condition 3(d)	three months. The combined depth of cover, including daily cover, over	Consent Holder must ensure that intermediate cover is placed over daily cover to close off a fill area that will not receive additional lifts of waste or final cover for more than three months. The combined depth of cover, including daily cover, over the waste shall be a minimum of 300 millimetres. Raw sand cannot be used as intermediate cover shall		The air quality experts do not appear to have proposed any alternative wording in respect of intermediate cover. However, they have agreed that the use of raw sand is not a suitable material for intermediate capping. Therefore, I recommend that the wording proposed in the Notice of Review be inserted into the consent as new condition 3(d).	From the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions, the Consent Holder must ensure that intermediate cover is placed over daily cover to close-off a fill area that will not receive additional lifts of waste or final cover for more than three months. The combined depth of cover, including daily cover, over the waste shall be a minimum of 300 millimetres. Raw sand cannot be used as intermediate cover. Intermediate cover shall be stabilised within 20 working days of completion.	per the Officer's Report with the exception that raw sand should not be excluded as a material that can be used as intermediate cover, and that the condition should contain a differentiation between the terms 'intermediate cover', 'temporary cap' and 'final cap'. HDC does however agree with the position of the experts agreed at	 disagree with condition proposed in Officer's Report but agree with condition proposed by Dr Boddy. Please note that the condition proposed by Dr Boddy that the HDC agrees with was proposed by Dr Boddy in the joint expert conferencing statement, not in his evidence as indicated in the previous column. The words underlined are additions inserted

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
						final cover for more than three months. The combined depth of cover, including daily cover, over the waste shall be a minimum of 300 millimeters. Intermediate cover material should be applied to ensure effective odour control and should comprise of uncontaminated soil and mulched woody material, and include a clay layer on top of the intermediate cover. It is noted that this is likely to result in both partially-capped (temporary cap) and permanently-capped (final cap) areas. Intermediate cover shall be stabilized within 20 working days of completion, and shall include a temporary or permanent cap on top of the intermediate cover.	between the joint expert conferencing and filing of HDC's evidence.
						[Advice Note: The purpose of the temporary or permanent cap is to: reduce water and air ingress; reduce fugitive odour emissions; improve the aesthetics of the landfill; improve the management of litter, vermin and birds; and improve the efficiency of the gas collection system.]	
New Condition 3(e)	bed. The monitoring of surface emissions shall be undertaken utilising emission testing methods	must carry out monthly surface emission testing for all areas of the landfill with final or intermediate cover, and the bio-filter bed. The monitoring of surface emissions shall be undertaken utilizing emission testing methods that have been given prior written certification as to their appropriateness by the Manawatu-Wanganui		Ms Ryan has discussed this proposed condition at paragraphs 37 to 42 of her report. She agrees with HDC in that there will be practical difficulties in achieving the ideal weather conditions and agrees with the following wording, provided an advice note is attached to outline the preferable weather conditions. The Consent Holder must carry out monthly surface emission testing for all areas of the landfill with final or intermediate cover, and the bio-filter bed. The monitoring of surface emissions shall be undertaken utilizing	carry out monthly surface emission testing for all areas of the landfill with final or intermediate cover, and the bio-filter bed. The monitoring of surface emissions shall be undertaken utilizing emission testing methods that have been given prior written certification as to their appropriateness by the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The monitoring of surface	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report with the exception that the first sentence of the condition should distinguish between the landfill cells with daily cover, intermediate cover, temporary capping and final capping as proposed by Doug Boddy as follows: The Consent Holder must carry out monthly monitoring for methane surface emission testing for across all areas of the landfill with intermediate cover, temporary capping, final capping—or	Maintains position – agree subject to minor amendment. Please note that the condition proposed by Dr Doug Boddy which the HDC agrees with was proposed in Dr Doug Boddy's evidence.
	Regional Council's	Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The		bed. The monitoring of surface	The monitoring of surface emissions shall not be undertaken during or	with intermediate cover, temporary	

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
hou any ave less	urs with no rain and on day where the erage wind speed is	surface emissions shall only be undertaken following a 72 houre period with no less than 75mm of rainfall and on any day where the average wind speed is less than 15 kilometres per hour.		to their appropriateness by the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The monitoring of surface emissions shall not be undertaken during or immediately after heavy rainfall or during strong wind speed conditions, and the meteorological conditions at the time of the monitoring shall be provided in the monitoring report. I have recommended the above wording and drafted an advice note based on paragraph 42 of Ms Ryans report.	rainfall or during strong wind speed conditions, and the meteorological conditions at the time of the monitoring shall be provided in the monitoring report. Page 28 of 37 [Advice Note: Favourable meteorological conditions for emission testing include those where weather and ground conditions are dry with less than 0.5 mm of rain having fallen for at least two days, and wind speed should be less than 25 km per hour ideally 5 – 10 km/hour.]	bed. HDC agrees with amendment to the Advice Note to Condition 3E as proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy as follows: [Advice Note: Favourable meteorological conditions for emission testing include those where weather and ground conditions are dry with less than 0.5 mm of rain having fallen for at least two days, and instantaneous wind speed should be less than 25 km per hour ideally 5 – 10 km/hour.]	
Condition 3(f) met by 1 con exc milli loca of tt rem und hou 24 beir sec con the acti dev imp met belc deta Mar Reg	thane, as determined testing carried out by adition 3(e) shall not seed 5,000 parts per ion (ppm) in any single ation. An exceedance the 5,000 ppm requires nedial action to be dertaken within 24 ars and retesting within hours of remediationing completed. If the conditioning results in a attinued exceedance at same location then an ion plan shall be reloped and plemented to reduce thane concentrations ow 5,000 ppm and ails provided to the nawatu-Wanganui gional Council advised in 48 hours of the	Agreed		Even though this condition was agreed, the air quality experts have noted that the 5000ppm level is a health and safety limit based on the Lower Explosion Level rather than an environmental or odour based limit. The experts agree that lower trigger levels for methane should be incorporated as conditions of consent and have proposed the following: 100 ppm for 'final cap' areas; 200 ppm for 'intermediate cover' areas; and, 5,000 ppm for onsite buildings and structures. The JWS directs one to Attachment A of the statement for a recommended condition. However, the levels in that document do not appear to align with that expressed above. As such, I have adapted the wording proposed in the notice of review to incorporate the levels noted above, acknowledging that further input will likely be required on the details of this condition.	Surface emissions of methane, as determined by testing carried out by condition 3(e) shall not exceed the following: 100 parts per million (ppm) for final capped areas 200 ppm for intermediate capped areas 5,000 ppm for onsite builidngs and structures. An exceedance of the above limits requires remedial action to be undertaken within 24 hours and retesting within 24 hours of remediation being completed. If the second testing results in a continued exceedance at the same location then an action plan shall be developed and	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report, subject to the following minor amendments as proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy: Surface emissions of methane, as determined by monitoring testing carried out by condition 3(e) shall not exceed_the following trigger levels: i. 100 parts per million (ppm) for final capped areas; ii. 200 ppm for intermediate cover and temporary capped areas intermediate capped areas; iii. 5,000 ppm for onsite buildings buildings and structures. An exceedance of the above limits requires remedial action to be undertaken within 24 hours and	Maintains position — agree with the parameters set by air quality experts in the joint witnessing statement. However, Mr Saidy will talk to the practicalities of compliance with this condition as well as the limits attached to the Bonny Glen conditions.

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
					Noport	remediation being completed. If the second round of testing results in a continued exceedance at the same location then an action plan shall be developed and implemented to reduce methane concentrations below the specified limits and details provided to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council advised within 48 hours of the retest.	
New Condition 3(g)	Records of surface emission testing must be included in the Annual Report and provided to Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council on request.	Agreed		This has been agreed by HDC and I recommend that it be inserted into the consent as new condition 3(g).		HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report, subject to the minor amendment proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy for clarification and consistency as follows: Records of surface emission testing monitoring for methane must be included in the Annual Report and provided to Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council on request.	Maintains position – agree subject to minor amendment.
New Condition 3(h)	Within six months of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions, the leachate collection chamber must be vented to a bio-filter. The bio-filter must be designed by a suitably qualified and experienced person.	Agreed		The air quality experts consider the proposed condition to be appropriate. I recommend that it be inserted into the consent as new condition 3(h).		HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report:	Maintains position – agree
New Condition 3(i)	The Consent Holder must employ an appropriately qualified person to undertake a comprehensive assessment of the biofilter performance on an	The Consent Holder must employ a suitably qualified person to undertake a comprehensive assessment of the biofilter performance on an		The air quality experts have agreed that an annual assessment of the bio-filter is appropriate. I recommend that the wording as proposed in the Notice of Review be inserted into the consent as new condition 3(i).	The Consent Holder must employ an appropriately qualified person to undertake a comprehensive assessment of the bio-filter performance on an annual basis. The assessment shall include, but	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report:	Maintains position – agree

assessment and middle contained to an evaluation of the media size distribution and evaluation of the media size distribution and evaluation of the media size distribution and composition and composition and contained size of the models size of contained size	Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
continuous display of differential pressure for the bird-filter bed, wheely reported to be bird-filter bed, wheely condered and after containing and short crossing. - Continuous display of differential pressure for the bird-filter bed, wheely consistent observations of Linconson Lockson Libbs-filter bed, wheely consistent observations of the bird-filter bed, wheely consistent of the bird-filter bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely consistent of the bird-filter bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely appeared bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely appeared bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely appeared bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely growth. Comparison and short arcaniting and financial filter bed, and the bird-filter bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely growth. Comparison and short arcaniting and financial filter bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely growth. Comparison and wheely comparison and short arcaniting and financial filter bed, and the bird filter bed and the sign of the bed, comparison and wheely appeared bed, particularly for signs of any short-ficuration, object to be bed, comparison and wheely growth. The bird filter bed, and the bird filter bed, an		assessment shall include, but not be limited to, an evaluation of the media size distribution and composition and effectiveness in removing contaminants.	basis. The assessment shall include, but not be limited to, an evaluation of the media size distribution and composition and effectiveness in removing contaminants.		The air quality experts have	of the media size distribution and composition and effectiveness in removing contaminants.	HDC agroes with this condition as	Maintaine position
clogging of the hed	Condition 3(j)	measure and record the following parameters: Continuous display of differential pressure for the bio-filter; Weekly recording of pressure across the bio-filter bed; Weekly general observations of the bio-filter condition, including weed growth, compaction and short circuiting; Quarterly media moisture content of the upper two thirds layer for the first two years of operation and then sixmonthly thereafter; Quarterly monitoring of the pH of the bio-filter bed media in the upper two thirds layer for the first two years then	measure and record the following parameters: Continuous display of differential pressure for the bie-filter; Weekly recording of pressure across the bie-filter bed; Woekly general observations of the bie-filter condition, including weed growth, compaction and chort circuiting; Quarterly media meisture content of the upper two thirds layer for the first two years of operation and then six menthly thereafter; Quarterly menitering of the pH of the bie-filter media in the upper two thirds layer for the first two years and then six		recommended the following wording for proposed condition 3(j): The Consent Holder shall maintain the biofilter, measure and record the following parameters: • Daily visual inspection of the state of the biofilter bed, particularly for signs of any short-circuiting, clogging of the bed, compaction and weed growth. • Daily inspection of the inlet gas fan and ductwork and any maintenance; • Continuous display of differential pressure for the biofilter; • Weekly recording of pressure across the biofilter bed; • Weekly inspection to check for odour at the biofilter (i.e. assessment of odour intensity in accordance with the most up to date good practice guidance for assessing and managing odour). • Weekly monitoring and recording of the biofilter media moisture content; • Monthly monitoring and recording of the pH of the biofilter media; • Quarterly raking and loosening of the biofilter media, or as otherwise required, to reduce	maintain the biofilter, measure and record the following parameters: Daily visual inspection of the state of the biofilter bed, particularly for signs of any short-circuiting, clogging of the bed, compaction and weed growth. Daily inspection of the inlet gas fan and ductwork and any maintenance; Continuous display of differential pressure for the biofilter; Weekly recording of pressure across the biofilter bed; Weekly inspection to check for odour at the biofilter (i.e. assessment of odour intensity in accordance with the most up to date good practice guidance for assessing and managing odour). Weekly monitoring and recording of the biofilter media moisture content; Monthly monitoring		

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
				I recommend that the above condition be inserted as new condition 3(j).	Quarterly raking and loosening of the biofilter media, or as otherwise required, to reduce the potential for short-circuiting, clogging of the bed, compaction and weed growth.		
New Condition 3(k)	The Consent Holder must ensure that the bio-filter and bed complies with the following limits at all times: • The air flow rate shall not exceed 100 cubic metres per hour per metre of bed; • The pH of the filter material shall be between 6 and 8 pH units; • An even distribution of gas flow through the filter bed; and • There shall be no short circuits of untreated air through and filter bed.	The Consent Holder must ensure that the bio- filter and bed complies with the following limits at all times: The air flow rate chall not exceed 100 cubic metres per hour per metre of bod; The pH of the filter material shall be between 6 and 8 pH units; An even distribution of gas flow through the filter bed; and There shall be no short circuits of untreated air through the filter bed'.		The air quality experts have recommended the following wording for proposed condition 3(k): The Consent Holder must ensure that the biofilter and bed complies with the following limits at all times: • Pressure drop across the biofilter shall be less than 100 mm water gauge; • Biofilter media moisture content shall be between 40-60% moisture content; • The air flow rate shall not exceed 100 cubic metres per hour per square metre of biofilter media; • The pH of the filter material shall be between 6 and 8 pH units; • An even distribution of gas flow through the filter bed; and • There shall be no short circuits of untreated air through and filter bed. I recommend that the above condition be inserted as new condition 3(k).	The Consent Holder must ensure that the biofilter and bed complies with the following limits at all times: • Pressure drop across the biofilter shall be less than 100 mm water gauge; • Biofilter media moisture content shall be between 40-60% moisture content; • The air flow rate shall not exceed 100 cubic metres per hour per square metre of biofilter media; • The pH of the filter material shall be between 6 and 8 pH units; • An even distribution of gas flow through the filter bed; and • There shall be no short circuits of untreated air through and filter bed.	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report:	Maintains position – agree
New Condition 3(I)	Within one month of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions, the Consent Holder shall investigate and identify the odour source identified in the MWH report titled Continuous Ambient Air Quality	Within one month of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions, the Consent Holder shall investigate and identify the odeur source identified in the MWH report titled Continuous Ambient Air Quality		Ms Ryan has briefly discussed proposed conditions 3(I), 3(m) and 3(n) at paragraphs 51 to 52 of her report. She has not made any specific recommendations other than to state that she does support the need for further investigation and control of odours. In its response, HDC has proposed to investigate the odour source to the north west of the landfill	commencement date of the 2015 review of conditions, the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council determines that odour is causing adverse effects on the environment, the Permit Holder shall investigate and identify the odour source	per the Officer's Report subject to the minor amendment proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy as follows: Within one month of the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of	Maintains position – agree subject to minor amendment.

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
	Monitoring for Hydrogen Sulphide – Levin Landfill and dated 10 July 2015.	Menitering for Hydrogen Sulphide - Levin landfill and dated 10 July 2015'.		Site. Given the mitigation actions that are occurring on the site (installation of biofilter, more robust capping and reinstatement of the gas flare), I am of the view that any such investigations into an alternative unknown odour source are probably best put aside at this stage. I consider that it is likely more productive and effective to address the known odour sources before being distracted by more investigations into unknown sources of odour. As such, I recommend that proposed conditions 3(I) to 3(n) be inserted into the consent but with amended wording to only require them to be actioned if the odour issue has not been resolved within 12 months after the commencement date of the 2015	titled Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for Hydrogen Sulphide – Levin Landfill and dated 10 July 2015.	shall investigate and identify the odour source identified potential odour source discussed in the MWH report titled Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for Hydrogen Sulphide – Levin Landfill and dated 10 July 2015.	
New	The Consent Holder shall	The Consent Holder shall		review. As above	The Consent Holder shall	HDC agrees with this condition as	Maintain position –
Condition 3(m)	remediate the odour source identified in condition 3(I) should the source be located on the Levin Landfill property.	remediate the edour source identified in condition 3(i) hould the source be located on the Lovin Landfill property.			remediate the odour source identified in condition 3(I) should the source be located on the Levin Landfill property	per the Officer's Report subject to the minor amendment proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy as follows: The Consent Holder shall remediate the odour source identified in condition 3(I) should the source be located on the Levin Landfill property and, in the opinion of a Regional Council Enforcement Officer, there is the	agree subject to amendment.
New Condition 3(n)	The Consent Holder shall provide a report to Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council and the Neighbourhood Liaison Group within 20 working days of condition 3(m)	The Consent Holder shall provide—a—report—to Manawatu-Wanganui Rogional Council and the Neighbourhood—Liaison Group within 20 working days—of—condition—3(m)		As above.	The Consent Holder shall provide a report to Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council and the Neighbourhood Liaison Group that outlines the remediation actions taken and outcomes within 20 working	potential for the discharge of odour from this source to be noxious, dangerous, offensive, or objectionable beyond the property boundary. HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report:	Maintains position – agree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
	being completed.	being completed'			days of condition 3(m) being completed.		
New Condition 3(o)				Although not proposed in the Notice of Review, it has become obvious from reviewing Ms Ryan's s42A report and the air quality expert JWS that the collection and flaring of landfill gas is one of the key components of controlling odour from the site (see paragraph 72 of Ms Ryan's report and question 1 in the JWS). As such I have recommend that a new condition be imposed that requires the installation and use of a landfill gas flare on the site at all times. It is acknowledged that HDC already has a resource consent for a flare However, there is no requirement that it actually be used and the existing consent could well be surrendered if HDC decided they no longer wished to pursue that option.	commencement date of the 2015 review of conditions, the Permit Holder shall install a	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report, but opposes the time frame proposed.	Maintains position – agree subject to an amendment to the timeframe. HDC seeks that the HDC have 12 months from the commencement date of the 2015 review of conditions to install a landfill gas collection system and flare on the site.
New Condition 3(p)	The Consent Holder shall			Again, not proposed in the Notice of Review; however, the air quality experts have agreed that certain matters should be outlined in an Odour Management Plan (OMP) (see question 6 of the JWS). I have recommended a new condition to require the development of an OMP and for it to be incorporated into the overall Landfill Management Plan.	commencement date of the 2015 review of conditions, the Permit Holder shall prepare an Odour Management Plan (OMP) that includes: i. Design specifications for daily, intermediate and final capping ii. Methodology for monthly boundary monitoring iii. Methodology for monthly surface monitoring for methane iv. Methodology for biofilter monitoring v. Odour control practices relating to the leachate pond vi. Odour control practices for the working face of the landfill vii. Maintenance and use guidelines for the gas collection system and flare.	HDC agrees with this condition as per the Officer's Report, subject to the minor amendments proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy as follows: i. Design specifications for daily, intermediate and final capping daily cover, intermediate cover, temporary capping and final capping. iii. Methodology for menthly surface monitoring monthly field odour monitoring for methane vii. Maintenance and use guidelines operational and maintenance procedures for the gas collection system and flare. Further HDC disagrees with the proposed timeframe.	Maintain position – agree subject to minor amendment. Please note that the condition proposed by Dr Doug Boddy that the HDC agrees with has not been included in his evidence.

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
Condition 6A	nominate a liaison person to manage any air quality complaint received. The name and contact details of the liaison person shall be provided to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The Consent Holder shall ensure a liaison person is available at all times to respond to odour or dust complaints.			condition I recommend that it be incorporated as new condition 6A.	Report nominate a liaison person to manage any air quality complaint received. The name and contact details of the liaison person shall be provided to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The Consent Holder shall ensure a liaison person is available at all times to respond to odour or dust complaints.	per the Officer's Report with the following exceptions: As per letter from Doug Boddy to Deborah Ryan dated 4 August 2016 it is recommended that condition 6A be amended so that the HDC is not required to have a person available at all times to respond to odour or dust complaints. This is impracticable and unrealistic. Condition 6A should be inserted as follows: The Consent Holder shall nominate a liaison person to manage any air quality complaint received. The name and contact details of the liaison person shall be provided to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council's Regulatory Manager. The Consent Holder shall ensure a liaison person is available to respond to	- agree subject to amendment that the HDC staff member not be required to be available at all times as this is impracticable.
						odour or dust complaints in a reasonable manner as per Condition 6B.	
New Condition 6B	received from a member of the general public regarding odour or dust is responded as soon as practicable and within 24 hours of the complaint being received, or at a	ensure that any complaint received from		Ms Ryan has discussed the proposed conditions at paragraph 55 of her report and states that the HDC version provides more clarity. Therefore I recommend that the HDC wording be inserted into the consent as new condition 6B	ensure any complaint received from a member of the general public regarding odour or dust emanating from the landfill site is investigated as soon as practicable and within 24	HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report with the following exceptions:	' '

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
					13401	24 hours of the complaint being received, or at a time mutually agreeable with the party making a	
New Condition 6C	The Consent Holder shall notify a Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council Consents Monitoring Officer as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any offensive or objectionable odour, or any complaint from a member of the public regarding odour.	The Consent Holder shall notify a Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council Consents Monitoring Officer as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any offensive or objectionable odour emanating from the landfill, or any complaint from a member of the public regarding odour. An explanation as to the cause of the incident and details of any remedial and follow-up actions taken shall also be provided to the Regional Council Consents Monitoring Officer."		Ms Ryan has discussed the proposed conditions at paragraph 55 of her report and states that the HDC version provides more clarity. Submitter 160 (MidCentral District Health Board) have requested that the Medical Officer of Health be notified of complaints at the same time as the Regional Council so as to enable the DHB to be better informed to communicate with the public if required. I do not see any particular issue with this. Therefore I recommend that the HDC wording be inserted, with an amendment referencing to the Medical Officer of Health, into the consent as new condition 6C	notify a Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council Consents Monitoring Officer and the Midcentral District Health Board's Medical Officer of Health as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any	complaint. HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report with the following exceptions: As per letter from Doug Boddy to Deborah Ryan dated 4 August 2016 it is recommended that condition 6C be amended so that the word "emanating" is replaced with the word "originating". Condition 6C should be inserted as follows: 6C. The Consent Holder shall notify a Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council Consents Monitoring Officer and the Midcentral District Health Board's Medical Officer of Health as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any offensive or objectionable odour originating from the landfill. An explanation as to the cause of the incident and details of any remedial and follow-up actions taken shall also be provided to the Regional Council	Maintain position – agree subject to minor amendment.
New Condition 6D	The Consent Holder must undertake monthly odour surveys around the boundary of the site, particularly those sections of the boundary that are between the landfill and residential houses, until such time as discharges of refuse to the landfill ceases. Thereafter, the frequency on inspection shall be determined in consultation with the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council. The	The Consent Holder must undertake monthly odour surveys around the boundary of the site, particularly those sections of the boundary that are between the landfill and residential houses, until such time as discharges of refuse to the landfill ceases. Thereafter, the frequency on inspection shall be determined in consultation with the Manawatu-Wanganui		The air quality experts have discussed boundary monitoring in question 6 of the JWS. There is agreement that such monitoring is required at multiple locations, upwind and downwind of the landfill. They also note that applying the German VDI standard 3940 in full is not practicable and that the method is generally adapted in NZ. As such, I recommend wording similar to that as proposed in the Notice of Review, but referencing an amended VDI 3940 method as certified by Horizons Regulatory Manager. I acknowledge that the wording of this	undertake monthly odour surveys around the boundary of the site, particularly those sections of the boundary that are between the landfill and residential houses, until such time as discharges of refuse to the landfill ceases. Thereafter, the frequency on inspection shall be determined in consultation with the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council. The monitoring shall be undertaken using a	Consents Monitoring Officer. HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report with "agreed" being changed to "certified" Subject to the amendment proposed in the evidence of Doug Boddy as follows: The Consent Holder must undertake monthly edour surveys field odour investigations at the working face, at the areas with intermediate cover, temporary capping and final capping and around the boundary of the site, particularly those sections of the	Maintain position – agree subject to amendment.

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
	monitoring shall be undertaken using a method that is consistent with the German VDI standard 3940 or subsequent method.	Regional Council. The monitoring shall be undertaken using a method that is consistent with the German VDI standard 3940 or subsequent method.		condition may require some further edits.	standard 3940 method as agreed by Horizons Regulatory Manager, or subsequent method.	boundary that are between the landfill and residential houses, until such time as discharges of refuse to the landfill ceases. Thereafter, the frequency of investigations on inspection—shall be determined in consultation with the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council. The monitoring shall be undertaken using a modified German VDI standard 3940 method as agreed by Horizons Regulatory Manager, or subsequent method.	
New Condition 6E	The Consent Holder must carry out a weekly walk-over survey of all the landfill surfaces, including the area around the bio-filter and leachate pond. The purpose of the walk-over survey is to check for odour, cracks in the landfill cap surface and integrity of any gas collection or leachate pipework.	The Consent Holder must carry out a weekly walk-over survey of all of the landfill surfaces, including the area around the bio-filter and leachate pend. The purpose of the walk-over survey is to check for odour, cracks in the landfill cap surface and integrity of any gas collection or leachate pipework.		Ms Ryan discusses this condition at paragraph 58 of her report and notes that HDC initially opposed the condition. However, HDC has since agreed to the condition with some minor amendments, primarily to replace the work survey with site inspection. Ms Ryan agrees with those amendments. As such, I recommend that the following wording is inserted into the consent as new condition 6E. The Consent Holder must carry out a weekly walk over site inspection of all the landfill surfaces, including the area around the bio-filter and leachate pond. The purpose of the walk over site inspection is to check for odour, cracks in the landfill cap surface and integrity of gas collection or leachate pipework.	6E. The Consent Holder must carry out a weekly walk over site inspection of all the landfill surfaces, including the area around the bio-filter and leachate pond. The purpose of the walk over site inspection is to check for odour, cracks in the landfill cap surface and integrity of gas collection or leachate pipework.	HDC disagrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Change of position - HDC now agrees with this condition.
New Condition 6F	The Consent Holder shall maintain a log of all inspections, investigations and actions taken in accordance with all monitoring and odour inspection conditions of this consent. The log shall be made available to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council on request and submit a	Agreed		Given that HDC has agreed to this condition I recommend that it be incorporated as new condition 6F.	6F. The Consent Holder shall maintain a log of all inspections, investigations and actions taken in accordance with all monitoring and odour inspection conditions of this consent. The log shall be made available to the Manawatu-Wanganui Regional Council on request and submit a summary of all results and assessments presented in the	HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree

Condition	Notice of Review	HDC Response to	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in	HDC's response to Planning	HDC confirmed
Number		Review			Planning s42A Officer's	s42A Officer's Report	position
					Report		
	summary of all results				Annual Report.		
	and assessments						
	presented in the Annual						
	Report.						
Condition 7			The Regional Council		The Regional Council shall	HDC disagrees with the condition	Maintains position
			shall may initiate a	_	may initiate a publicly notified	as per the Officer's Report.	- disagree
			publicly notified review	Permit 6010.	review of Conditions 3 and 6		
			of Conditions 3 and 6 of		of this permit in October 2015		
			this permit at ten yearly		and April 2020, 2025, 2030		
			intervals after the		and 2035, unless the		
			commencement date of		Neighbourhood Liaison Group		
			the decision of the 2015		(NLG) agrees that a review is		
			review of conditions in		unnecessary.—The reviews		
			April, 2015, 2020, 2025,		shall be for the purpose of		
			2030 and 2035, unless				
			the Neighbourhood				
			Liaison Group (NLG)				
			agrees that a review is				
			unnecessary . The				
			reviews shall be for the				
			purpose of:"				

Discharge Permit 7289 – discharge liquid waste onto and into land

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's	HDC's response to Planning s42 Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
					Report	Стория	poomon
Condition 5		The Permit Holder shall notify the Regional Council's Environmental Protection Regulatory Manager and the Neighbourhood Liaison Group as soon as practicably possible after receiving notification of the intention to dispose of waste at the landfill under the terms of this consent, or as soon as practicable following urgent disposal in accordance with Condition 3. The Permit Holder shall detail the reason for the discharge and timing of the discharge.		The changes update reference to Horizons Regulatory Manager to reflect a change to the role title and remove the requirement to notify the NLG members of such discharges. HDC have stated that this is an operational matter and that the NLG will be informed of such waste disposal in an annual report. I agree that there appears to be little need to be notifying members of the NLG for such matters when the consent conditions clearly authorise the disposal of liquid waste in contingency conditions. I recommend that the changes be made as requested.	the Regional Council's Environmental Protection Regulatory Manager and the Neighbourhood Liaison Group as soon as practicably possible after receiving notification of the intention to dispose of waste at the landfill under the terms of this consent, or as soon as practicable following urgent disposal in accordance with Condition 3. The Permit Holder shall detail	HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42 Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
		Each nominated representative of the Neighbourhood Liaison Group shall be notified in writing by post'.					
Condition 19			The Regional Council shall may initiate a publicly notified review of Conditions 5, 9, 12 and 17 of this permit at ten yearly intervals after the commencement date of the decision of the 2015 review of conditions in April 2015, , 2025, and 2035,. The reviews shall be for the purpose of	Agreed. For the same reasons as discussed for condition 30 of Discharge Permit 6010.	The Regional Council shallmay initiate a publicly notified review of Conditions 5, 9, 12 and 17 of this permit in October 2015 and April 2020, 2025, 2030 and 2035, unless the Neighbourhood Liaison Group (NLG) agrees that a review is unnecessary. The reviews shall be for the purpose of:	HDC disagrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - disagree

Discharge Permit 102259 – discharge stormwater to land and potentially to groundwater via ground soakage

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42 Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
Condition 5		'The Permit Holder shall ensure that the inspect the stormwater system on a fortnightly basis, including all drains and ponds, is kept and clear it of refuse at all such times'.		Mr Standen has assessed this proposed change at Paragraph 39 of his report. He does not agree with the changes as they would effectively permit refuse in the stormwater system for most of the time. I agree with Mr Standen in that it is important to keep the stormwater system clear of refuse. I also agree with the practical approach to compliance assessments for this condition. As such I recommend that his proposed change to condition 5 be rejected.	ensure that the stormwater system, including all drains and ponds, is kept clear of refuse at all times.	per the Officer's Report. As discussed in the evidence of Phillip Landmark it is impractical, hence the proposed changes. This is an absolute requirement that fails to reflect the nature of the landfill operation. The key environmental issue is not that there is no refuse but that what refuse may collect is cleared on a regular basis. Condition 5 should be worded as follows: The Permit Holder shall inspect the stormwater system on a fortnightly basis, including all drains and ponds,	Maintains position - disagree as it is impracticable and may invalidate the consents.
Condition 7	There shall be no ponding in the stormwater soakage areas 12 hours after the last rain event.	Agreed		Stormwater soakage areas are low lying areas where water may sit after heavy rain. It is unlikely that any effects on the environment arise from such ponding of stormwater. As such I	Condition 7 removed	and clear it of refuse at such times. Agree with removal of condition 7 as per Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree

Condition Number	Notice of Review	HDC Response to Review	HDC s127 Application	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in Planning s42A Officer's Report	HDC's response to Planning s42 Officer's Report	HDC confirmed position
				recommend the removal of this condition.			
Condition 9		'As far as practically possible, the Permit Holder shall ensure that all stormwater from the existing landfill area is directed to a the contralised soakage areas to the south of the existing fill, as shown on Plan C 102250 the latest version of the Stormwater Plan'.		Mr Standen has assessed this proposed change in his report and note that his reflects the current layout of the landfill. Therefore I recommend that this condition be changed as requested.	the Permit Holder shall ensure that all stormwater from the	HDC agrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report.	Maintains position - agree
Condition 18	Should any groundwater and surface water parameters tested for under Condition 14 of this consent exceed the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Water Quality Guidelines (2000) for Livestock Watering, the Permit Holder shall report to horizons.mw's Team Leader Compliance as soon as practicable on the significance of the result, and where the change can be attributed to the landfill operation, consult with horizons.mw's Team Leader Compliance to determine if further investigation or remedial measures are	No response or comment made.		This condition relates to groundwater sample collected under condition 14. Condition 14 does not require any surface water samples to be collected so the reference to surface water in this condition is unnecessary. I recommend that the condition be amended as proposed.	surface water parameters tested for under Condition 14	HDC disagrees with the condition as per the Officer's Report	Change of position - HDC now agrees with this condition.
Condition 19	required.	'The Regional Council shall—may initiate a publicly notified review of all conditions of this Permit in April 2015, 2020, 2025, 2030 and 2035 and thereafter at		Agreed. For the same reasons as discussed for condition 30 of Discharge Permit 6010.	The Regional Council shallmay initiate a publicly notified review of all conditions of this Permit in October 2015 and April 2020, 2025, 2030 and 2035, unless the Neighbourhood Liaison Group		Maintains position - disagree

Condition	Notice of Review	HDC Response to	HDC s127	Current Recommendations	Condition as amended in	HDC's response to Planning s42	HDC confirmed
Number		Review	Application		Planning s42A Officer's	Officer's Report	position
					Report		
		ten yearly intervals			(NLG) agrees that a review is		
		(2025,and 2035) unless			unnecessary. The reviews		
		the Neighbourhood			shall be for the purpose of:		
		Liaison Group (NLG)					
		agrees that a review is					
		unnecessary . The					
		reviews shall be for the					
		purpose of:'					