

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT WELLINGTON

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991
("the Act")

AND

IN THE MATTER of clause 14 of the First Schedule of the
Act

BETWEEN **FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND**

ENV-2010-WLG-000148

AND

DAY, MR ANDREW

ENV-2010-WLG-000158

AND

MINISTER OF CONSERVATION

ENV-2010-WLG-000150

AND

HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND

ENV-2010-WLG-000155

AND

WELLINGTON FISH & GAME COUNCIL

ENV-2010-WLG-000157

Appellants

AND

**MANAWATU-WANGANUI REGIONAL
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**STATEMENT OF REBUTTAL EVIDENCE BY ANDREW JOHN BARBER FOR
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND IN RELATION TO THE APPEALS ON THE
PROPOSED ONE PLAN FOR MANAWATU WANGANUI REGIONAL
COUNCIL ON SURFACE WATER QUALITY**

(17 APRIL 2012)



QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

1. My name is Andrew John Barber and I prepared a statement of evidence dated 14 March 2012. In that statement of evidence I have set out my qualifications and experience and confirms that I will comply with The Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in the Environment Court's Consolidated Practice Note dated 1 November 2011. I reaffirm that that information and confirmation applies to this rebuttal evidence.

SCOPE OF REBUTTAL EVIDENCE

2. I have not provided any specific rebuttal to the evidence filed in chief by any party. In relation to the land topic I prepared a statement of supplementary rebuttal that responded to evidence from some parties (namely Messrs Eyles and Ngapo for Wellington Fish and Game) regarding the robustness of the development of the Code of Practice for Commercial Vegetable Growing in the Horizons Region ("**Code**").
3. As the consideration of the Code is also relevant to Horticulture New Zealand's interests in the surface water quality topic I have attached a copy of my supplementary rebuttal to this statement.

A J Barber

17 April 2012