Overall Plan Hearing

Volume 2 - Part 2

Decisions on Individual Submissions and Further Submissions

Table of Contents

1.	Structure of the Proposed One Plan and Compliance with the RMA	2-1
2.	General Content of POP	2-8
3.	Compliance with s 32 and Cost-benefit Analysis	2-15
4.	Consultation	2-27
5.	Terms Used Throughout the POP	2-28
6.	Other Matters to be Included	2-35
7.	Miscellaneous Submissions	2-41

1. Structure of the Proposed One Plan and Compliance with the RMA

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought	Decision
LAND TRANSPORT NEW ZEALAND	8	1	Land Transport New Zealand (Land Transport NZ) supports in principle the proposed plan. There are specific matters Land Transport NZ wishes to support or see changes made, which are set out in the specific submissions.	Accept
WAIKATO DISTRICT HEALTH BOARD - PUBLIC HEALTH UNIT	12	6	The proposed One Plan is a substantial improvement to Horizons Policies/Plans including approach and support the Horizons One Plan with respect to plans relating to land, water, air, natural hazards and waste.	Accept
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ACADEMY OF NZ	118	3	Overall, Emergency Management Academy of New Zealand endorse and support the proposed One Plan with changes as recommended.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND FIRE SERVICE COMMISSION	149	1	Retain the proposed format of the One Plan.	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	1	 Withdraw the One Plan; and Notify a Regional Plan which is consistent with the outcomes sought in a Regional Policy Statement, the outcomes of which are consistent with Regional Plan rules. 	Reject
	X 481	9	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 490	1	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	10	The One Plan needs to be redrafted so that the relationship between objectives, policies and means of implementation (in particular, rules), is made clear, coherent and lawful for both the RPS (Part I) and the Regional Plan (Part II)	Accept
	X 481	75	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	8	Council submits that the One Plan is flawed, in that its Policies, Rules and Methods do not successful recognise the differences across the Region.	Reject
	X 481	73	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	29	ONTRACK supports Councils aim of creating a concise single planning document for the purpose of managing	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought	Decision
	470		the regions resources.	Deiert
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	1	 Withdraw the whole plan; and Notify a regional plan which is consistent with the outcomes sought in a regional policy statement, the outcomes of which are consistent with regional plan rules. 	Reject
	X 481	11	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 490	2	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept
	X 495	25	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 495	57	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	3	- Withdraw the whole plan; and - Re-evaluate the policy statement and provisions as required by sections 32, section 30 and section 5 of the Resource Management Act.	Reject
	X 481	274	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP	251	1	Adopt the Proposed Plan	Accept in part
	X 512	2	INGHAMS ENTERPRISES (NZ) PTY LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
MATT BELL	256	1	Redraft the One Plan so there are two separate documents, a RPS and a RP.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED	274	2	Address issues falling within the Regional Council's functions under section 30 of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	3	Re-evaluate the policy statement and provisions as required by sections 32, section 30 and section 5 of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part
	X 481	364	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	4	[Particular reference to RPS and Sections 1.1 and 1.3] Address all issues falling within the Regional Councils functions under section 30 of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part
	X 481	365	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought COUNCIL - Support	Decision part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	17	 Withdraw the whole plan; and Re-evaluate the policy statement and provisions as required by sections 32, section 30 and section 5 of the Resource Management Act. 	Reject
	X 481	477	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	3	- Withdraw the whole plan; and - Notify a regional plan which is consistent with the outcomes sought in a regional policy statement, the outcomes of which are consistent with regional plan rules.	Reject
	X 481	463	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 495	29	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	4	[Particular reference to RPS] Identify the implications of having all of the issue specific objectives and policies in the RPS rather than in the Regional Plan. This must include the identification of the cost, environmental, social, and economic, to the Wanganui District to give effect to the Proposed RPS.	Accept in part
	X 481	464	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	6	[Particular reference to Section 1.4] - Withdraw the whole plan; and - Renotify the Plan having addressed all issues falling with the Regional Council's functions under section 30 of the Resource Management Act.	Reject
	X 481	466	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN	303	1	The One Plan document as a whole be withdrawn and rewritten to combine the various regional plans and rules that presently exist without any changes to the content of the existing plans and rules.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought	Decision
CONTRACTING LTD	_			
	X 530	1	NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION - Support	Reject
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	2	All proposals for which the Regional Council does not have statutory authority must be removed.	Accept in part
ALISTAIR WILLIAM	327	1	I seek the following decision:	Reject
PARSONS			Withdraw the whole plan and renotify after addressing Horizons functions under the RMA.	
			Submitter comments on a number of chapters including	
			1 - 1.4 lack of objective ,	
			5 - Impinging on land owner rights, selective logging of native trees to prevent debris in rivers, DOC land administration,	
			6 - Anticipated environmental concerns are largely inept	
			7 - Outstanding landscapes poorly defined (especially costal), Horizon's role re biodiversity,	
			8 - Backyard burning ban,	
			9 - Logs & trash on the beach,	
			10 - Common sense should prevail, policy 10.6 is totally inadequate	
			14 - Backyard burning ban,	
			15 - Nothing specified,	
			16 - Allowing gravel extraction in Rangitikei River,	
			But only requests the plan to be withdrawn as above.	

Submitter	No X 509	Point 6	Decision sought WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF	Decision Accept
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	1	NEW ZEALAND - Oppose - Re-draft the One Plan to make it consistent with the outcomes sought in a regional policy statement, the outcomes of which are consistent with regional plan rules, or: - Withdraw the whole plan.	Accept in part
	X 481	36	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 490	4	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Reject
	X 495	26	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	3	 Re-evaluate the policy statement and provisions as required by sections 32, section 30 and section 5 of the Resource Management Act, or: Withdraw the whole plan 	Accept in part
	X 481	559	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	1	 Withdraw the whole plan; and Notify a regional plan which is consistent with the outcomes sought in a regional policy statement, the outcomes of which are consistent with regional plan rules. 	Reject
	X 481	38	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 490	5	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept
	X 495	27	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 522	371	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	3	 Withdraw the whole plan; and Re-evaluate the policy statement and provisions as required by sections 32, section 30 and section 5 of the Resource Management Act. 	Reject
	X 481	708	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
JILL STRUGNELL	366	1	I support the concept of a single plan to replace the series of plans dealing with different aspects of regional responsibilities at present in place.	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought	Decision
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY	381	1	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding the concerns in relation to creating of a clear distinction between its two parts of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu-Wanganui region.	Accept
	X 481	40	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X 495	28	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY	381	2	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding 'Distinguishing between Goals and Policies' of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu-Wanganui region.	Accept
	X 481	829	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY	381	5	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding a "one size fits all approach" - of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu- Wanganui region.	Accept in part
	X 481	832	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY	381	6	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding Relationship with Long Term Council Community Plan of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu-Wanganui region.	Reject
	X 481	833	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision sought COUNCIL - Support	Decision
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	1	Arbor Management Ltd supports in principle the intent of the One Plan to amalgamate all the Regional Plans and the Regional Policy Statement into one document.	Accept
	X 501	204	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X 520	101	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
RICHARD FORGIE	403	1	Submitter does not request a decision, however they do note: I support the strong lead which Horizons Regional Council is taking in developing comprehensive and well-researched policies and standards in the One Plan, and their goals of safe-guarding the regions environment, and reducing our vulnerability to doing irreversible damage to our rivers, lakes and aquifers.	Accept
MARGARET MILLARD	437	1	The Horizons Council is to be commended for collating all the policies into one document.	Accept
LANDLINK LTD	440	122	Notwithstanding the above we are generally supportive of the One Plan and its policy direction. The Council is to be commended on the quality of both process and product. We hope that it will serve as a benchmark for other Regional Councils to work towards.	Accept
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	10	Removal from all sections of the RPS references to any of the schedules attached to the Regional Plan	Accept in part
	X 492	22	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	91	Include a statement in the opening section of the regional plan and schedules that they form part of the Regional Plan and are not part of the Regional Policy Statement.	Accept in part
	X 492	26	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X 511	11	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part

2. General Content of POP

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	15	[States in submission that a number of rules in part II of the Plan make reference to particular codes, standards or guidelines and gives the following Provisions as examples: Rule 13-2; Rule 13-11; Rule 13-19; Rule 15-4; Rule 16-4]	Accept in part
			 (a) Redraft the above provisions in compliance with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the RMA. (b) Amendment to relevant provisions to ensure that the use of codes of practice, standards or guidelines as elements of rule compliance are lawful, enforceable and certain in terms of both interpretation and application, or otherwise remove them from the Plan (Part II). 	
	X 481	80	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 531	7	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support in part	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	9	 (a) The following general" submissions highlight the areas in need of redrafting, replacement or removal in order to make the One Plan understandable and functional. (b) The One Plan maps must provide more definitive and useable information as necessary. In particular, the maps for Floodable Area Maps", Highly Erodible Land and Significant Landscapes are not adequate and need to be enlarged to give the necessary detail. New Maps should be issued or there should be access to the GIS tool to view data at a farm and community level. Data at a Regional level is not adequate. (c) The inadequacy of the Maps in the One Plan makes submitting in any meaningful manner difficult and renders the consultation period extended to give concerned submitters an opportunity to make assessments on the economic, social, cultural and environmental impacts of the One Plan. 	Accept in part

one plan

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X 481	74	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 531	6	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	2	Delete all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of subjectivity, uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion	Accept in part
	X 481	273	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	30	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	7	[States in submission that a number of rules in Part II of the Plan make reference to particular codes, standards or guidelines and gives the following Provisions as examples Rule 13-2; Rule 13-11; Rule 13-19; Rule 15-4; Rule 16-4]	Accept in part
			- Withdraw the Plan; and - Redraft the above provisions in compliance with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act.	
	X 481	278	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES - MID CENTRAL HEALTH	174	1	References to New Zealand Standards throughout the document could become out of date as the standards are replaced. If amendments are not included to "future proof" the One Plan, then the plan may have to go through frequent amendment processes to ensure the appropriate and current standards are referenced.	Reject
BRUCE & MARILYN BULLOCH	237	1	Generally in support the whole plan with amendments	Accept in part
BRUCE & MARILYN BULLOCH	237	2	Some thought may have to be given as to whether it is possible to come up with an easier to follow and less confusing numbering system.	Reject
BRUCE & MARILYN BULLOCH	237	3	It would be a useful attempt to link Part I with Part II, that is, link Policies and Methods with the relevant Rules in Part II.	Accept in part
BYFORD'S QUARRIES LTD	252	1	No specific decision requested however they submit that the document is confusing and the continued reference to other sections in the plan tends to loose the focus on what should be	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			clearly defined as permitted, discretionary or controlled with the appropriate rules.	
POWERCO LIMITED	272	1	A1 - Council to review the linkages between the rules and the objectives and policies they are designed to give effect to.	Accept in part
	X 511	2	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED	274	15	Redraft, remove or replace all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	2	Delete all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of subjectivity, uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion	Accept in part
	X 481	363	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	31	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	7	[States in submission that a number of rules in Part II of the Plan make reference to particular codes, standards or guidelines and gives the following Provisions as examples Rule 13-2; Rule 13-11; Rule 13-19; Rule 15-4; Rule 16-4] Redraft the above provisions in compliance with Part 3 of the First	Accept in part
			Schedule to the Resource Management Act.	
	X 481	368	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	8	[States in submission that a number of rules in Part II of the Plan make reference to particular codes, standards or guidelines and gives the following Provisions as examples Rule 13-2; Rule 13-11; Rule 13-19; Rule 13-2; Rule 16-4] - Withdraw the whole plan; and - Redraft the above provisions in compliance with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act	Accept in part
	X 481	468	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	38	Provide better maps that clearly define the extent of all zones and areas.	Accept in part
	X 494	5	RANGITIKEI AGGREGATES LTD - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	2	Delete all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of subjectivity, uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion	Accept in part
	X 481	558	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	32	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	9	[States in submission that a number of rules in Part II of the Plan make reference to particular codes, standards or guidelines and gives the following Provisions as examples: Rule 13-2; Rule 13-11; Rule 13-19; Rule 13-4; Rule 16-4] Redraft the above provisions in compliance with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part
	X 481	565	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	2	Delete all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of subjectivity, uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion	Accept in part
	X 481	707	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	68	Re-draft or re-organise the One Plan so that the objectives that rules are relating to are clear.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
JILL STRUGNELL	366	2	No decision requested, but submitter would like council to give the plan a more user friendly format and make clear the proper role of territorial authorities	Accept in part
JILL STRUGNELL	366	3	I believe this flaw has followed through into the decisions about rules and conditions and needs to be remedied with further robust analysis.	Accept in part
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	6	Where the wording of the plan requires cross referencing between the regional plan sections and the regional policy statement sections of the proposed plan or between either of these sections and the NZCPS terms such as recognise, will give particular consideration to, have particular regard for or provide for should be replaced by give effect to when referring to the relevant plan or NZCPS provision, and the plan worded accordingly.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	24	Policy 17-1. Allocate some resources toward ensuring, erosion categories, land and water based biodiversity data and mapping and landscape mapping used in the One Plan is available to industry for incorporation into company GIS systems at little or no cost and that spatial data is linked to schedule codes; Create a web portal or disk for use by small scale players where the classifications and associated data can be easily scaled and matched against cadastral boundaries; Map the areas of threatened vegetation types from table E.1.	Accept in part
	X 501	239	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 520	130	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	2	Make clear in the One Plan the Objectives that Rules are relating to.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	1	The council should structure its general objectives and policies in a manner which establishes its long term aspirations for environmental bottom lines for the region in Part I, and sets out what it aims to achieve within 5 and 10 years on Part II. In both cases, objectives should be expressed with greatest possible clarity and be linked to dates for achievement.	Accept in part
	X 490	13	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Support	Accept in part
	X 529	7	ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU - Support	Accept in part
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	2	In drawing up this framework [objectives and policies which set out goals for achievement over time] the council should adopt the goal of solving the region's environmental problems within one generation. Any exceptions to this approach should be carefully justified.	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	129	Redraft, remove or replace all the conditions/standards/terms for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
	X 481	194	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	14	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	60	- Withdraw the whole plan; or - Redraft, remove or replace all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
	X 481	331	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	195	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	9	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	63	Redraft, remove or replace all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	
	X 481	424	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	197	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	12	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	16	- Withdraw the whole plan; or - Redraft, remove or replace all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain elements of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
	X 481	476	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	194	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	11	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	82	Redraft, remove or replace all the 'conditions / standards / terms' for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
	X 481	638	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	198	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	13	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	60	Redraft, remove or replace all the "conditions / standards/terms" for permitted activities in Part II of the Plan which contain any element of uncertainty, ambiguity or discretion.	Accept in part
	X 481	765	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	196	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 526	10	POULTRY INDUSTRY OF NZ; TEGEL FOODS LTD; TURKS POULTRY & MAINLAND POULTRY GROUP - Support	Accept in part

Compliance with s 32 and Cost-benefit Analysis 3.

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
NEVILLE PEARSON	35	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
RICHARD CRAIG NEALE	54	1	That none of the proposed one Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
WHITE HERON (DVKE) LTD	61	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
SHAUN GRAHAM FORLONG	64	2	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation	Accept in part
LYN NEESON	77	1	I would like you to undertake an indepth, long term cost analysis, considering the cost to farmers and ratepayers and publish the findings before adopting any of the recommendations.	Accept in part
х	487	5	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP	Accept in



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought LIMITED - Support	Decision part
	X 495	14	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
CHARLIE PEDERSEN	101	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
	X 495	9	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
NEIL ALAN FILER	102	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
	X 495	10	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
ROD SOUTHGATE	103	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
	X 495	11	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
DAVID MATTHEW COLLIS	114	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ROBERT JOHN CASTLES	117	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
CAMMOCK FARMS LTD	126	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
MERVYN H GEORGE	141	1	The One Plan is redone, based on tackling worst areas first (10 years), and only after full cost analysis is presented. Costings should be realistic that business and ratepayers CAN AFFORD not an idealistic (unrealistic) plan	Accept in part
IAN EDWARD ROKE	142	1	I oppose all of the One Plan and ask that it be withdrawn.	Reject
	X 509	7	WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
PHILIPA ANN ROKE	143	1	I oppose all of the One Plan and ask that it be withdrawn.	Reject
HEATHER OLIVER	144	1	Withdrawal of the whole plan	Reject
WINSTON OLIVER	145	1	Withdrawal of the whole plan	Reject
ANTONIE HENDRIK EGGINK	147	1	That full & proper, independent analysis of the implications of adoption be carried out before adoption of the scheme Plan with particular attention to the transfer of costs & benefits between land owners & society. The onus is on the Council to provide this information before informed consent can be given. Consultation as defined by Justice Mc Geehan requires "adequately informing". Supporting sources and references are available. I welcome dialogue if ears & minds are open.	Accept in part
	X 495	8	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought Support	Decision part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	4	Council submits that the One Plan is flawed, that Regional Council has not discharged its responsibility under the Section 32 of the RMA 1991 and therefore the One Plan must be withdrawn until a full assessment of other methods is undertaken for the Section 32 analysis.	Reject
	X 481	69	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 522	121	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	6	Council submits that the One Plan is flawed, that Regional Council has not discharged its responsibility under the purpose the RMA 1991 to provide for the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of communities and therefore the One Plan must be withdrawn.	Reject
	X 481	71	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	7	That the One Plan will limit growth in the District and there needs to be more analysis of the social and economic costs of the policies in the One Plan. The One Plan must be withdrawn until this economic assessment is completed.	Reject
	X 481	72	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
HARVEY JAMES FALLOON	160	1	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
RIVERSIDE AGRICULTURAL LTD	162	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			for informed stakeholder consultation.	
STUART MC NIE	198	1	Withdrawal of the whole plan	Reject
JAMIESON AGRICULTURE LTD	203	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
SANDRA ROGERS	206	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
JULIE CAMPBELL	211	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
TAHAMATA INCORPORATION	213	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
LIONEL WEST	221	1	I oppose all of the One Plan and ask that it be withdrawn.	Reject
G M & S M DEADMAN PARTNERSHIP	224	1	I seek the withdrawal of the One plan entirely.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LANDCORP FARMING LTD	235	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
	X 487	1	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X 519	114	MIGHTY RIVER POWER - Oppose	Reject
HAMLIN FAMILY TRUST	236	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
MATT BELL	256	2	Redraft the One Plan so there is a realistic balance between environmental gains and economic costs.	Accept in part
ANDREW TODD BLATCHFORD	259	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
WALTER EDWARD BLATCHFORD	260	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
DERMOT MILLER	262	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
	X 490	9	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept in part
POPLAR PARTNERSHIP LTD	278	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	1	That the Proposed One Plan be withdrawn and renotified only after a comprehensive section 32 evaluation has been completed and the Plan has been amended to reflect the outcome of the evaluation.	Reject
	X 481	22	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X 487	2	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X 490	3	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept
	X 495	15	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
JIM STEWART	293	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
WILLIAM PEHI SNR	294	1	I oppose all of the One Plan and ask that it be withdrawn.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ALAN WILLIAM COOPER	296	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
ANTHONY DAVID ROGERS	297	1	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
ANTHONY DAVID ROGERS	297	20	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
JAMES ARTHUR CHESSWAS	298	1	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
G 4 B TRUST	299	1	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
BRIAN LESLIE DOUGHTY	344	1	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented until a robust cost benefit analysis has been completed and is used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part
JOHN BATLEY	355	9	The cost of the One Plan is the biggest issue. The consent costs and paperwork which is required for small levels of activity with minimal effects on the environment and water quality in particular seem to be totally out of proportion to the benefit.	Accept in part
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	17	That a comprehensive independent Cost Benefit Analysis be undertaken to identify the ultimate business impact of the One Plan on the Region (a detailed economic impact analysis).	Accept in part
	X 487	14	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	4	Request - It is a major concern that there is no authoritative Cost Benefit Analysis of Councils approach. Needs addressing, include strong reference to self motivation and personal responsibility.	Accept in part
	X 495	18	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	63	WITHDRAWN Section 32 Report Provide a more thorough cost benefit analysis of the impacts of the Proposed One Plan at both the farm and regional levels.	Withdrawn
	X 487	15	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X 492	24	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X 495	22	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 500	221	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X 507	221	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	221	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	128	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	221	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE &	373	64	WITHDRAWN Land Section of Section 32 Report	Withdrawn
FORESTRY			Provide references on the effectiveness of Whole Farm Business Plans as a means of achieving environmental outcomes.	
	X 487	16	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X 495	23	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WITHDRAWN	373	65	WITHDRAWN	Withdrawn
MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE &			Land Section of Section 32 Report	
FORESTRY			Provide cost benefit analyses for the regulatory and industry advocacy approaches.	
	X 487	17	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	24	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 533	1	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF	373	66	WITHDRAWN Water Section of Section 32 Report	Withdrawn
AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY			Include provision for industry based accreditation schemes.	
	X 487	18	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X 531	8	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI	381	3	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding Economic costs - of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu-Wanganui region.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY				
	X 481	830	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL, MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL, RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL, RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL, TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL AND WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL JOINTLY	381	4	Redraft the One Plan to meet the concerns regarding the impact on district growth and development - of the principal territorial local authorities of the Manawatu-Wanganui region.	Accept in part
	X 481	831	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
FONTERRA CO- OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED	398	5	Section 32 Report and Relevant Aspects of the Proposed One Plan. Fonterra considers that the Section 32 Report should be revised to adequately consider the costs to dairying and processing sectors associated with complying with the Proposed One Plan provisions. Fonterra also considers that the Section 32 Report and the relevant aspects of the Proposed One Plan (in particular Chapter 6) be revised to address the mischaracterisation of the intensification and effects of agriculture discussed above. Fonterra also considers that the Section 32 Report be revised to assess the costs associated with departing from the NESAQ.	Reject
	X 492	16	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X 495	20	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 500	40	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	40	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	40	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	48	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept in



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought Support	Decision part
	X 532	40	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 535	1	ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND - Unknown	Reject
JAMES BULL HOLDINGS LIMITED	400	5	Horizons have a legal responsibility to conduct a cost benefit analysis on proposals under section 32 of the RMA. This Analysis must be backed up by genuine science and commercial reality.	Accept in part
	X 487	12	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	21	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RICHARD FORGIE	403	3	Horizons has a responsibility to the entire region to ensure that analysis of the economic impact of the standards very recently prescribed in the latter stages of development of the One Plan are analysed accurately before the One Plan is instituted.	Accept in part
MARGARET MILLARD	437	4	No decision requested however submitter notes:	Accept in part
			A full financial analysis of the income generated by agriculture in the region be undertaken.	
			A financial analysis of income generated excluding retired land.	
			A financial analysis of income generated with reduced production.	
			An overlay of the social impact of each analysis.	
			Model the financial and social impact as if there was no agriculture in the region.	
			Another round of consulting is required with the full economic and social impact assessments included.	
	X 487	10	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X 495	19	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
DIANA BAIRD	443	20	Therefore my, submission is that Horizons prepare and publish a	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			comprehensive cost-benefit analysis of the implications of this Plan.	
	X 501	275	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
PAUL BARBER	457	7	That none of the current Proposed One Plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on dairy farms or the limitation of permitted water takes by dairy farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to agricultural activity, be agreed or implemented in the absence of a robust cost benefit analysis having been completed and used as the basis for informed stakeholder consultation.	Accept in part

Consultation 4.

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MUAUPOKO CO- OPERATIVE SOCIETY	1	1	"The Proposed One Plan document be rejected by Horizons Regional Council due to the Council's failure to meet the obligations as per section 3(1)(d) of the First Schedule of the RMA 1993 to consult with the Muaupoko Co- operative Society, and iwi authority of Muaupoko during the preparation of the Plan"	Reject
	X 495	1	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	26	No decision requested but the submitter wishes to note "I submit that the formal consultation process has been flawed."	Reject
CHARLES RUDD	209	1	If Maori have not been properly consulted and communicated with in a meaningful and purposeful wayHorizon Regional Council, "One Plan" must go back to the drawing board, in compliance of the Resource Management Act 1991. [Paraphrased from submission "Introduction"]	Reject
	X 495	2	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	2	That Horizons Regional Council accept that if all of the Territorial Authorities within the Region have a common concern, they may have a point. That the Proposed One Plan be withdrawn and renotified only after all TAs have had sufficient time to evaluate the	Accept in part



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			implication and the Plan in its entirety, or alternatively, extend the period for submissions to allow sufficient time for all TAs to evaluate the implication of the Plan in its entirety, and to make a comprehensive, fully informed submission.	
	X 481	462	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MANUNUI NO 2 TRUST, KIWITAHI TRUST, TAUREWA 5 WEST TRUST	389	1	That the consultation process engagement with Maori begin and don't bow to political pressure with the pretence the RMA legislation is fair and equitable for all - Maori are the second part to a consultation process.	Reject
VISION MANAWATU	407	1	As such, Vision Manawatu asks Horizons to manage the future development of the One Plan in a way that engages with all in the region in a prescribed and consultative manner at each and every step. It is vital that the process from here on in is transparent via appropriate consultation across each and every proposed change, amendment or addition to the Plan.	Reject
	X 495	6	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
TAMAHAKI INCORPORATED SOCIETY	410	1	Tamahaki ask that the One Plan be withdrawn and re submitted once proper and appropriate consultation with Tamahaki has taken place.	Reject
	X 495	4	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
BRUCE & PAMELA HODGES	436	1	I want the Council to have a good look at the One Plan. They need to consult with the people that are affected and see if we can come up with some sensible solutions.	Accept in part
	X 495	5	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
HAINSWORTH - KELFER PARTNERSHIP	139	6	All information is disclosed by Horizons to all participants in the consultation process without exception.	Accept in part

5. Terms Used Throughout the POP

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	1	Change all relevant references from 'water management zone' to 'water management sub-zone'.	

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	2	Change all relevant references from' Natural state water management zones' to 'natural state water bodies'.	Accept in part
	X 492	8	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	99	Insert in the beginning of the glossary a paragraph explaining that terms in the plan marked with an asterix are defined in this glossary.	Accept
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	8	Delete reference to area size and replace with a definition relating to the earning capacity of the property.	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	2	Decision Sought: That a descriptor is added either at the start of the Glossary section or an appropriate section of the Plan that states that terms defined in the 'Glossary' are identified in the text of the Plan with an asterisk *.	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	3	Decision Sought: Include relevant definitions from the RMA in the One Plan so that users have ready access to all necessary terms.	Reject
	X 511	532	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
GRANT JOHN STEPHENS	369	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management	Reject
			Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the	



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			environment (including people)	
	X 522	429	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - / Oppose	Accept
MASON STEWART	394	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	Reject
	X 522	430	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - / Oppose	Accept
	X 527	245	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE I GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG)	395	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical	Reject
			resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	
	X 521	31	Allco Wind Energy NZ Ltd - Oppose	Accept
	X 522	431	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
SUE STEWART	396	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary:	Reject
			Sustainable management	
			Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	
	X 522	432	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED -	Accept
	V EOZ	204		Deject
	X 527	304	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary:	Reject
			Sustainable management	
			Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	
	X 522	433	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X 527	370	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	215	Include relevant definitions from the RMA in the One Plan so that users have ready access to all necessary terms.	Reject
	X 511	537	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
ROBERT LEENDERT SCHRADERS	442	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	Reject
	X 522	434	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X 527	477	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PAUL & MONICA STICHBURY	452	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	Reject
	X 522	435	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X 527	537	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
SHONA PAEWAI	467	53	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary: Sustainable management Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	Reject
	X 527	600	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
TONY PAEWAI	468	47	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary:	Reject
			Environment:	
			 (a) ecosystems and their constituent parts, including people and communities (b) all natural and physical resources (c) amenity values (d) the social, economic, aesthetic and cultural conditions which affect the matters (a) to (c) or which are affected by those matters 	
	X 527	652	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TONY PAEWAI	468	57	Add the following RMA definition to the glossary:	Reject
			Sustainable management	
			Managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while: (a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations (b) Avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment (including people)	
	X 522	437	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X 527	662	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	108	Amend the glossary term for 'property' to read: 'refers to one or more allotments as contained in a single certificate of title and includes all adjacent land in the same ownership. Land is considered to be adjacent if it is only separated by a legal road. A legal road is considered to be a property for the purposes of this plan.'	Reject
	X 500	175	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X 502	291	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X 507	175	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X 515	175	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X 517	84	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X 532	175	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X 533	61	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Oppose	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	60	1. Property refers to one or more adjacent allotments that are in the same ownership. A legal road is considered a property for the purposes of this Plan.	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	25	Decision Sought: Amend the definition of property to be all that land which is managed by the same business entity.	Reject
MURRAY CHARLES LOWE	423	3	Change the glossary definition of "property" to accommodate Maori land blocks.	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	230	Amend the definition of property to be all that land which is managed by the same business entity.	Reject
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	24	Prepare a definition which accurately describes what is being defined.	Reject

6. Other Matters to be Included

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
AFFCO NEW ZEALAND LTD - MANAWATU	50	1	Include in RPS and Regional Plan Policies and objectives to ensure that the contribution of industry and development towards the socioeconomic wellbeing of people and communities is taken into consideration when assessing applications for	



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			resource consent.	
	X 480	2	WINSTONE PULP INTERNATIONAL LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 519	122	MIGHTY RIVER POWER - Oppose	Reject
AFFCO NEW ZEALAND LTD - WANGANUI IMLAY	51	1	Include in RPS and Regional Plan Policies and objectives to ensure that the contribution of industry and development towards the socioeconomic wellbeing of people and communities is a matter to be taken into consideration when assessing applications for resource consent.	Accept in part
	X 519	124	MIGHTY RIVER POWER - Oppose	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	24	I submit that the approach taken by Horizons Regional Council is to try to address these issues (sustainable development) alone within the framework of the One Plan. I submit that this approach is entirely insufficient and may lead to poorly controlled/encouraged development/resettlement. I submit that a forum containing developers, surveyors, engineers, soil experts, territorial local authorities and Horizons Regional Council needs to be required to through the Regional Policy Statement (RPS) of the One Plan. The role of this forum would be to ensure continual dialogue between different parts of the industry as time moves forward, climate change impacts more and population density steadily increases.	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	25	I also submit that the RPS of the One Plan should require Territorial Local Authorities to form long term (50-100 year) development strategies for development in conjunction with the above mentioned (submission point 116/24) forums.	Reject
VISIT RUAPEHU	152	1	Visit Ruapehu believes that the One Plan could be strengthened by recognising the relationship between natural resource management and the visitor industry.	Reject
HIGGINS GROUP	153	1	Higgins general submission is that the One Plan must better provide for and enable Higgins activities.	Accept in part
	X 527	1	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Oppose	Decision
HIGGINS GROUP	153	16	Clarification to be added to the Proposed One Plan regarding financial implications associated with Higgins activities, particularly gravel extraction.	Accept in part
TANENUIARANGI MANAWATU INC	238	18	Submitter seeks appropriate amendment to the provisions of the Proposed One Plan to "to take into account the (eel, tuna) species is under the management of the Ministry of Fisheries and the obligations that exist under The Maori Fisheries Act (2004) and the Kaimoana Customary Fishing Regulations (1998) and for Maori to act as tangata kaitiaki."	Accept in part
MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	243	1	Either The addition of a separate chapter to "PART 1: The Regional Policy Statement Our Regions Significant Issues" to ensure that extraction of the regions mineral resources is recognised and provided for as an important driver of regional economic development and a key factor in building community wellbeing. The Manawatu-Wanganui region is an important mineral producing region in New Zealand, and the proposal must recognise the value of the use and development of those mineral resources in providing for social and economic wellbeing at regional and national scales. A suggested policy framework for minerals is as follows: Issue 1 (As a significant resource management issue to address) Responsible and efficient utilisation of the regions mineral resources, which contribute at regional or national levels to peoples and communities wellbeing, may be compromised through land uses or developments above or in close proximity to mineral deposits or by unnecessary plan provisions. Issue 2 Adverse effects of the use and development of mineral resources on other natural and physical resources. Objectives:	Accept in part

No Point Decision Sought

Recognition of the distribution and value of the regions mineral resources (including petroleum, coal, aggregate) and their potential utilisation to enable people and communities to provide for their economic and social wellbeing, but in such a way that avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the environment.

Objective 2

Options for prospecting, exploration, extraction, processing and conveyance of presently or potentially valuable mineral resources (and existing extraction sites) are not unnecessarily hindered or compromised from land uses and sensitive activities which establish near to those resources (and sites) or by unnecessary plan provisions.

Policies:

Policy 1

To enable utilisation of the regions mineral resources for people and communities to provide for their economic and social wellbeing, and in such a way that avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the environment.

Ensure that the adverse effects of prospecting, exploration, extraction, processing and conveyance of mineral resources are avoided, remedied or mitigated while managed in a manner that is consistent with objectives, policies and other provisions elsewhere in this One Plan.

Policy 2

Identification and protection of significant mineral resources:

1.To maintain appropriate buffer areas for noise, vibration, dust and other effects, around significant extraction sites.

2.To maintain access to potentially valuable mineral resources.

2-38

or

3.To improve access to information on the value and distribution of the regions mineral resources.

Methods of implementation

1. Develop policies, rules and other means as necessary to allow responsible and efficient utilisation of the regions mineral resources, and to ensure integrated management of the effects of mineral use and development.

2.Consider provisions in district plans controlling land use and subdivision activities in areas adjacent to significant extraction sites. Where there are mining/quarrying activities, to require assessments and consideration of reverse sensitivity effects in determining resource consent applications for other sensitive uses, or plan changes.

3.To compile and provide access to information on the value and distribution of the regions mineral resources to enable local authorities and interested persons to make informed resource management decisions.

4.At district plan level, consider establishing mineral resource protection areas (being a buffer area around an extraction site or quarry to restrict the establishment of land uses which would be sensitive to the mining activity).

X 490	8	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Reject
X 492	2	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
X 494	3	RANGITIKEI AGGREGATES LTD - Support	Accept in part
X 498	2	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	243	2	OR [refer to decision in 243/1] Specific recognition of the value of mineral resources in the region by integrating the preceding policy framework within chapter 5 Land of the proposal.	Accept in part
			And Specific recognition of the value of petroleum resources in the regions coastal marine area in chapter "9 Coast", and in particular at section 9.1.2 "The Coastal Resources."	
			And Such further relief or alternative relief as is appropriate to give effect to this submission (to include consequential changes to relevant sections "Principal reasons for adopting the objective", "Anticipated Environmental results", and "Explanations and principal Reasons").	
	X 492	9	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Accept
NEW ZEALAND HISTORIC PLACES TRUST - CENTRAL REGION	353	15	Part II of the One Plan includes a section informing users where an authority from NZHPT may be required.	Accept in part
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	2	The addition of a new section to the Regional Policy Statement that includes objectives and policies which expressly recognise the benefits that people and communities obtain from the use and development of natural and physical resources.	Reject
	X 511	4	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X 525	101	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Reject
VISIT RUAPEHU	152	11	No specific decision requested but considers the rules proposed by the One Plan as appropriate and forward thinking. Notes however that the some of the regulations could be strengthened with regard to effects on tourism and recreation within the region [Ruapehu] [Specific submissions follow].	Accept in part
TANENUIARANGI MANAWATU INC	238	1	Submitter seeks "the inclusion of the other issues facing the region or at least a list of priorities."	Reject
	X 529	1	ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	30	ONTRACK further support Council's recognition of key infrastructure such as the national rail network. This includes providing scope to facilitate the establishment, maintenance, alteration, upgrading and expansion of the rail network within the Horizons Region. ONTRACKS submission is therefore aimed at seeking for Council to provide a consistent approach to allowing ONTRACK to conduct its activities to ensure the safety and efficient operation of the railway.	Accept in part

7. Miscellaneous Submissions

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HOANE TITARI JOHN WI	2	17	One Plan over reacts in some areas and is a rather bulky document.	Reject
HOANE TITARI JOHN WI	2	3	No specific decision requested but advocate for fully resourced community education programmes. These education programmes be structured around the core principles of the One Plan.	Accept in part
PAHIATUA ON TRACK	24	4	More time allocated to decision.	Reject
LAKEVIEW FARM LTD, OHURANGI FARM LTD AND EVERTON FARM LTD	47	1	That One Plan Not Proceed.	Reject
HANS BRINK	49	1	This plan can not proceed until the issue of financial compensation for the loss of livelihood and lifestyle are sorted out as well as financial assistance for fencing, planting and pest control must be laid out.	Reject
	X 495	13	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
I C H Y T H U S CONSULTING	59	7	A written reply detailing the decision and reasoning for agreement/disagreement with this submission will be provided to ICHYTHUS Consulting.	Reject
SHAUN GRAHAM FORLONG	64	1	The decision I seek from the Regional Council is that despite the already high cost of preparing the One Plan that Horizons should not adopt the Plan until it has decided, and notified all those concerned, how it is going to achieve it's objectives along with, at	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			least indicative, budgets.	
LYN NEESON	77	2	I would like you to include the human resources in your One Plan and explain how you intend to recruit, train and pay for them.	Reject
	X 495	17	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
LYN NEESON	77	5	You should put in place schemes that are manageable within the current budget and not expect farmers to agree to pay for something that has no apparent financial controls	Accept in part
BRUCE CAVE	93	1	That the Council conduct a review of their charges to reflect what is reasonable rather than what they can get away with to fund growth in bureaucracy.	Reject
OLWEN BURBERY	97	1	No specific decision requested but is concerned about Audio Equipment at Hearings.	Reject
OLWEN BURBERY	97	2	No specific decision requested but submits that Environmental Groups should be entitled to financial assistance from Regional Councils for work that they do.	Reject
JAMES EDMUND FAHEY	109	1	I require that all I have stated be accepted.	Reject
MARY GABRIELLE FAHEY	110	1	I require that all I have stated be accepted.	Reject
PETER GRAHAM FAHEY	111	1	I require that all I have stated be accepted.	Reject
JOHN FRANCIS FAHEY	112	1	I require that all I have stated be accepted.	Reject
VECTOR GAS LIMITED	115	1	(a) The Plan be adopted, subject to some specific amendments that are sought below, or amendments which give effect to Vector's concerns as set out in this submission (to Vector's satisfaction).	Accept in part
			(b) Any other necessary consequential amendments be made.	
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	2	That the One Plan has not taken into account the increased cost to ratepayers and residents, and therefore has not discharged its obligations under the LGA 2002 and the RMA and should be withdrawn until that	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			assessment is completed and thorough.	
	X 481	67	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	3	Council submits that the One Plan methodology in development is flawed, that Regional Council has not discharged its responsibility under the LGA and therefore the One Plan must be withdrawn until a full assessment of the four wellbeings is undertaken.	Reject
	X 481	68	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	5	Council submits that Regional Council has not discharged its responsibility under the LGA and therefore the One Plan must be withdrawn until a full assessment of the cost and timeframes has been assessed.	Reject
	X 481	70	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
NGATI KAHUNGUNU IWI INCORPORATED	180	107	We also ask for any consequential changes to the One Plan in order to make it cohesive with our requested amendments. While implementing its decision-making role, we agree to Horizons altering the amendments sought via the NKII submission provided the meaning and intent remain substantially the same.	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	148	Horizons would be happy with any changes made which are not specifically requested but which provide the same intent as those sought. We also seek any consequential changes to the plan as a result of this submission.	Accept in part
MODEL DAIRY TRUST	190	1	No specific decision requested but is opposed to the Proposed One Plan as it seems to be a huge amount of paper work and creating a lot of jobs.	Reject
LIONEL WEST IN ASSOCIATION WITH PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NZ	220	1	No specific decision is suggested, however the submitter considers the One Plan as "Ultra Vires against citizens rights and therefore the Plan is null and void." The submitter considers "property rights are supreme" and the one plan unnecessarily impinge on owners property rights.	Reject
NGATI PARERAUKAWA	228	18	Horizons adopt the material presented here and integrate all agreed points	Reject



Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			into the 'One Plan'.	
THE AGGREGATE & QUARRY ASSOCIATION OF NEW ZEALAND LTD	230	9	Such consequential or other relief required to give effect to this submission.	Accept in part
TANENUIARANGI MANAWATU INC	238	2	Develop policy and encourage practises that ensure that our environment and its resources are protected or managed in a sustainable manner for the next 10 years.	Accept in part
TANENUIARANGI MANAWATU INC	238	3	No decision requested, but submitter is encouraged to see that many of the sections in the One Plan do have Maori translations and is supportive of this.	Accept
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	1	That the submission by the Palmerston North City Council is accepted in full and that those sections of the Proposed One Plan that are supported by the Palmerston North City Council be included in the final One Plan adopted by Horizons Regional Council.	Accept in part
	X 500	56	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	56	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	56	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	192	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	56	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	102	PNCC requests that Horizons makes all consequential amendments required to the Regional Plan to give effect to the submission points made by PNCC on the RPS section of the One Plan.	Accept in part
	X 500	296	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	296	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	298	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	284	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	296	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	2	That the submission by the Palmerston North City Council is accepted in full and that those sections of the Proposed One Plan that are opposed by the Palmerston North City Council be removed from the final One Plan adopted by Horizons Regional Council or amended to give effect to the submission points made by PNCC.	Accept in part
	X 500	57	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	57	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	57	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	193	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	57	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	3	No specific decision requested however PNCC note their interest in the Plan.	Accept in part
	X 500	58	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	58	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	58	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	194	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	58	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	97	PNCC requests that Horizons makes all consequential amendments required to the Regional Plan to give effect to the submission points made by PNCC on the RPS section of the One Plan.	Accept in part
	X 500	152	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	152	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	152	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	280	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	152	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
BYFORD'S	252	2	Byfords wish HRC to further examine	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
QUARRIES LTD			the linkage of the principles of the RMA ie. social, economic and environmental.	part
	X 495	34	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	1	 The specific amendments sought in the attached schedules, and any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions. Any other such relief as to give effect 	Accept in part
HIMATANGI STATION	266	1	to the submissions. That the One Plan be withdrawn until	Reject
LTD	200	I	further investigations are undertaken and reported back to the Public for further consultation and discussion.	Reject
	X 490	7	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Oppose	Accept
	X 495	3	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
HIMATANGI STATION LTD	266	4	I oppose the costs forecasted for the One Plan.	Reject
HIMATANGI STATION LTD	266	5	Recognition be given to the worth of high performing farms to the community in any further discussions of the One Plan.	Accept in part
SHELL NZ LTD, B P OIL NZ LTD, MOBIL NZ LTD & CHEVRON NZ	267	1	A. The specific amendments sought in the attached schedules, and any additions, deletions or consequential amendments made necessary as a result of the matters raised in these submissions.	Accept in part
SHELL NZ LTD, B P OIL NZ LTD, MOBIL NZ LTD & CHEVRON NZ	267	2	B. Any other such relief as to give effect to the submissions.	Accept in part
GENESIS POWER LTD	268	53	Genesis seek that wherever wording is proposed by Genesis Energy, it seeks the inclusion of such wording in the plan or words of like effect.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	1	Amend the "One Plan" to resolve the concerns set out in the submission.	Accept in part
	X 481	20	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
DUFFILL WATTS CONSULTING GROUP	287	34	No change to the proposed One Plan is sought by this submission, but an analysis of requirements for, and sources of, funding and human resources for the implementation of One Plan should be disclosed before	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			its implementation is committed.	
PAT KELLY	295	1	Submitter does not request any decisions, however they do note:	Accept in part
			They are supportive of One Plan	
			When they speak they would like to comment on landscape and wind-farm issue	
			They are happy with the provisions in the One Plan to introduce New Water Quality Standards for the Manawatu River	
			They have some concerns that about the provisions for water quantity, and the general policy move from mitigation to avoidance, which seems to suggest there won't be any opportunity for alternatives to be investigated in respect to flooding.	
NZ RECREATIONAL CANOEING ASSOCIATION	306	8	The NZRCA are aware of the contents of the Ruahine Whitewater Club's submission and in principle would support many of their specific recommendations.	Accept in part
GRIFFIN AG - AIR LTD	314	2	Griffin Ag - Air is in full support of submissions made by Mr John Maber of New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association and will not restate his already made submissions.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	1	Amend those policies and rules that need minor refinement to provide for continuation of Army's long term land use and continued protection of natural values.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	2	Amend those policies and rules that need minor refinement to provide for continuation of Army and Air Force's long term use of the land and waterways for accommodation and training of operational military units	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	3	Amend those policies and rules that need minor refinement to provide for inclusion of NZDF as a significant provider of community water and wastewater services.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	4	Amend those policies and rules that need minor refinement to provide for recognition of COP for military training	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			derived from RSO and supporting and similar documents.	
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	44	To make any other consequential amendments and alterations to the Plan as appropriate to give full and proper effect to these submissions.	Accept in part
	X 501	187	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND	351	1	No specific decision requested but NCWNZ, Wanganui Branch, wishes to applaud the Regional Council on the production of the One Plan and agrees with the policies promoted in the document. It is especially appreciative of the Council's achievement in raising funding for all projects before the commencement of the Plan.	Accept in part
WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND	351	4	No specific decision requested but if the Regional Council find that this [too much consultation leading to a lack of submissions] is relevant, it could perhaps be alleviated by only accepting submissions from recognised groups, or asking for submissions only from invited submitters.	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	9	Consequential amendments to give effect to the relief sought by Mighty River Power in its submission to the objectives, policies, rules, methods, glossary, explanations and schedules of the regional policy statement and regional plan	Accept in part
BRUCE NOEL RHODES	368	1	The proposed One Plan should be rewritten	Reject
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	1	Request - I recommend that independent representation be part of any Submission Hearing Committee.	Accept
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	10	Strong representation to Government on this GST type matter is required, particularly in face of coming elections.	Reject
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	11	The Plan acknowledges the progress and the forward plans of many of your stakeholders.	Accept in part
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	12	The One Plan be set aside to allow further input, amendments or alternatives.	Reject
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	19	That an effective relationship with the New Zealand Dairy Industry be established to understand its place and progress on environmental matters.	Accept in part

2-48

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	21	The intention to have applied a mutually acceptable framework addressing environmental, economic and other matters of substance, aimed at bringing Council, stakeholders and public together.	Accept in part
J M & L C WHITELOCK & B J & C J WHITELOCK	371	5	Request - there is no doubt from Horizons point of view the Dairy Industry is an easy target. I encourage you to get to know it. I sight what is being done from the Farm, to Food Production, the added products and Waste Management chain, now Ethanol from Whey.	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	243	Where any decision sought is specified in Attachment A or B to this submission seeks specific wording inserted in a specific place, the decision sought includes the following words:	Accept in part
			'or words to like effect, and/ or in some other appropriate location in the One Plan.'	
			The submission also includes such consequential amendments as are necessary to give effect to this submission.	
TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD	374	1	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: Overall, we are supportive of the plan and the policies set out in it, but wish to see slight redirection, a strengthening of the Councils leadership role in some situations, some additions, and some more specificity in a number of objectives and methods.	Accept in part
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	11	FUNDING RWNZ supports the views and submissions of the Tararua farming families. Further to this, RWNZ submits that Council should exercise caution and fiscal prudence in the implementation of any unnecessarily burdensome and impractical regulatory processes and activities. Unnecessary administrative costs and regulatory activities are a deviation from Council's core functions and should not burden ratepayers or the regional economy.	Accept in part
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	12	RWNZ submits that if local government wants to be of assistance to rural communities, a less hidebound and wasteful bureaucracy would be a good start.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	14	Therefore, RWNZ submits that if Council were to focus on its core activities, it could substantially cut both expenditure and rates, which would make the region a more attractive place for farming families and for the wider community.	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	19	RWNZ submits that unless the Plan is amended appropriately, rural communities are in danger of being stifled by regulation without knowledge, ending in rhetoric before results, and systems before people.	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT WAIKATO	385	9	Environment Waikato requests that further discussion be held between Horizons Regional Council to ascertain any area of potential inconsistency in policy and rule framework that may negatively impact on either Councils ability to achieve their own resource management objectives.	Accept in part
LAURA M SIVYER	388	7	No specific decision requested, however submitter asks: "Why are we rated for Rooks?"	Reject
PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND INC	393	1	We seek the following decision from the local authority - That the Regional Council removes the Plan until such time as the Policy Statement has become operative.	Reject
FONTERRA CO- OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED	398	56	That any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to Fonterra submission be made.	Accept in part
JAMES BULL HOLDINGS LIMITED	400	1	Horizons must take note of existing best practices within the region where they will find that a significant percentage of property owners adopt practices that are "fair and reasonable" and operate these on a daily basis without the threat of going through a consent process.	Accept in part
JAMES BULL HOLDINGS LIMITED	400	4	I submit that it is the property owner that has the right to make land use decisions - not a Regional Authority.	Accept in part
RICHARD FORGIE	403	2	I also strongly believe that the policies of the One Plan need to be introduced carefully with reasonable periods of notice.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MILTON CHARLES PEDLEY	418	1	1. The One Plan must encompass the total area within the boundaries of the Manawatu Wanganui Regional Council.	Reject
			2. There must be only One Rate; CV. Based on Capital Value will provide a fair and even payment by all.	
			3. Rain wind sunshine cloud, snow, fog cold and warm air temperature, gales, floods, slips, silting, has effect on all inhabitants who live in the boundaries of the region.	
			4. Each resident and ratepayer receives benefit of all the above natural services which form the environment, of which you claim to protect.	
			5 Therefore the cost of this One Plan must be shared evenly to all. The basis of rating must change = now.	
			6. This can be achieved by using the following formula Total ha, Total C.V. Total Assessments, Total Population, = "Shannon Formula"	
			Please explain in writing to me, why any of the above questions cannot be carried out. You have far too many River and Drainage schemes, overlapping each other. You have policies in place but no policeman, or woman to carry out the Law. To see if they are broken. You have no control over weeds yet rate for them.	
			For such an important document why is cost not taken into consideration?	
L M TERRY	425	1	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: The proposed One Plan in its current form is not acceptable for the long term development and future of the region.	Accept in part
L M TERRY	425	4	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: Discriminatory against Wanganui, Ruapehu, Rangitikei districts as increased red tape and compliance costs will restrict income.	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	1	No decision specifically requested in this part, but general opposition which is outlined in more detail in specific parts of submission.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X 506	36	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Oppose	Reject
	X 535	2	ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND - Unknown	Reject
EUAN HODGES	431	1	One Plan to be scrapped and go back to common sense.	Reject
EUAN HODGES	431	2	The rates increase needs to be addressed by Horizons.	Reject
JENNIFER HODGES	432	1	No specific decision requested but is opposed to Proposed One Plan in its entirety.	Reject
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	1	No decision specifically requested here, but whole submission supports plan with amendments. Specific amendments sought are outlined in the submission point 433/2 - 433/60.	Accept in part
			Annexed to submission is a list of suggestions regarding layout and language to be considered in redrafting the One Plan.	
IAN GRANT	434	1	No specific decision requested but is opposed to the Proposed One Plan and feels that Horizons needs to be more accountable with rates and compliance costs have to be capped if not reduced.	Reject
BRUCE & PAMELA HODGES	436	4	I would also like to see Council accept late submissions from people that have only just now been made aware of what the Council is proposing.	Reject
MARGARET MILLARD	437	2	Submitter does not request a decision, however they do note: The Resource Management Act was intended to be permissive not regularity.	Accept in part
MARGARET MILLARD	437	3	No decision requested, however submitter notes: the increase in rates	Reject
			from 2006 to 2007 was 17.078%. An unacceptable increase.	
MARGARET MILLARD	437	8	The submissions of Federated Farmers, Rural Women New Zealand and the Pork Industry Board accurately reflect the opinion of farmers and are supported.	Accept in part
DIANA BAIRD	443	19	Therefore, my submission is that Horizons commit to actively initiating and funding comprehensive research projects over all the "Big Four" issues.	Reject
DIANA BAIRD	443	21	No decision requested however Submitter wishes to support and endorse the submissions made by	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Federated Farmers of NZ, Rural Women NZ, and Horticulture NZ.	
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTY ASSOCIATION	444	2	No specific decision requested but submits that HRC must be committed to an ongoing monitoring and research programme on environmental indicators.	Accept in part
GEORGE MC NIE	466	1	Set rates under control plan on collecting 10% less rates next year but doing 10% more with it	Reject
COLIN BOND	470	1	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: Because of the sheer volume of this plan, I believe that few individuals will have the resources to adequately consider it	Reject
COLIN BOND	470	4	I submit that a notification process needs to be retained in some meaningful form and prescribed clearly.	Reject
TULLOCHGORUM PARTNERSHIP	471	1	No decision specifically requested, but states that to avoid ratepayer suspicion and mistrust what is needed is real independence, clear thinking, genuine consultation and mutual trust.	Reject
	X 495	7	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
GRANT JOHN STEPHENS	369	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question: Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	Reject
	X 527	133	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
MASON STEWART	394	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question: Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	Reject
	X 527	204	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG)	395	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question: Has One Plan taken an approach that	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
SUE STEWART	396	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question:	Reject
			Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
	X 527	263	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question:	Reject
			Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
	X 527	329	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
ROBERT LEENDERT SCHRADERS	442	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question: Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant	Reject
			existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
	X 527	436	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
PAUL & MONICA STICHBURY	452	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question:	Reject
			Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
	X 527	496	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
SHONA PAEWAI	467	12	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question:	Reject
			Has One Plan taken an approach that	

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	
	X 527	559	TARARUA-AOKAUTEREGUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TONY PAEWAI	468	2	Not specified but would appear the submitter wishes the Council to consider the question: Has One Plan taken an approach that focuses on attempting to fix significant existing problems at the expense of a complimentary proactive approach of avoidance?	Reject
	X 527	607	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
MARGARET MILLARD	437	5	Submitter does not request any decision, however they do note: Full compensation of all land retired	Reject
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	103	PNCC requests that Horizons makes all consequential amendments required to the Regional Plan to give effect to the submission points made by PNCC on the RPS section of the One Plan.	Accept in part
	X 500	297	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 507	297	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 515	299	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 517	285	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X 532	297	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MARION GILLARD	46	2	I submit that the One Plan is flawed, that Horizons has not met its responsibility under the Local Government Act 2002 and therefore the One Plan must be withdrawn until a full assessment of the 4 well beings has been carried out.	Reject
	X 495	16	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject