

Land Hearing

Volume 2 - Part 4

Chapters 5 and 12 and Schedule A

Decisions on Individual Submissions and Further Submissions

Table of Contents

1.	Chapter 5 General	4-1
2.	5.1 Scope and Background	4-24
3.	5.1.1 Chapter Content	4-25
4.	5.1.2 Accelerated Erosion	4-25
5.	5.1.3 Land and Soil Management	4-27
6.	Issue 5-1 Accelerated Erosion	4-28
7.	Objective 5-1 Accelerated erosion	4-29
8.	Policy 5-1 Sustainable management of Highly Erodible Land - whole farm business plans	4-34
9.	Policy 5-2 Sustainable management of other land - whole farm business plans	4-39
10.	Policy 5-3 Regulation of vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible Land	4-41
11.	Policy 5-4 Regulation of significant disturbance on land that is not Highly Erodible Land	4-53
12.	Policy 5-5 Codes of practice and best management practices	4-55
13.	Methods General	4-59
14.	Method 5-1 - Sustainable Land Use Initiative - Hill Country Erosion	4-60
15.	Method 5-2 - Whanganui Catchment Strategy	4-64
16.	Method 5-3 - Sustainable Land Use Initiative - Soil Health	4-65
17.	Method 5-4 - Sustainable Land Use Codes of Practice and Best Management Practices	4-66
18.	Method 5-5 - Land Research, Monitoring and Reporting Programme	4-67
19.	Method 5-6 - Infrastructure Protection	4-67
20.	Method 5-7 - Education in Schools - Land	4-69
21.	5.6 Anticipated Environmental Results - Table	4-69
22.	5.7 Explanations and Principal Reasons	4-71
23.	Chapter 12 General	4-71
24.	Chapter 12 Policy - General	4-81

25.	Policy 12-1 Consent decision-making for vegetation clearance and land disturbance	4-82
26.	Policy 12-2 Recognition of industry standards	4-84
27.	Policy 12-3 Important and essential activities	4-88
28.	Policy 12-4 Large-scale consents	4-91
29.	12.2 Rules - Vegetation Clearance and Land Disturbance	4-95
30.	Chapter 12 Rules - General	4-100
31.	Rule 12-1 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance not covered by other rules	4-102
32.	Rule 12-2 Production forestry	4-111
33.	Rule 12-3 Land disturbance	4-124
34.	Rule 12-4 Vegetation clearance	4-131
35.	Rule 12-5 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance on coastal foredunes and near waterbodies	4-137
36.	Rule 12-6 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance that do not comply with permitted and controlled activity rules	4-143
37.	Schedule A	4-144
38.	Glossary Terms - Land	4-151

1. Chapter 5 General

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	4	Therefore, RWNZ submits that the Policies and Objectives under heading 5 be amended with words to the effect that only activities causing significant adverse effects are captured by the regulatory regime contained in the Plan and that all relevant rules, flowing from these policies and objectives, be amended accordingly.	Accept in part
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	5	We submit that Council has failed to consult adequately with affected stakeholders.	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	6	We submit that a projected budget to 2017 be made public and that it sets out staff requirements for the WFBP scheme and the cost of the scheme to the region's ratepayers.	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	7	Therefore, we submit that all references to the compulsory imposition of WFBPs be deleted from the One Plan.	Accept
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	8	Therefore, we submit that Council apply a triple bottom line analysis to the regulations proposed under heading 5, giving social and economic impacts on our community equal weight with actual environmental impacts.	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	9	Therefore, we submit that Council avoid basing its decisions on pessimistic precautionary predictions and confine its compulsory regulatory controls to actual and demonstrable significant adverse effects.	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	48	We urge Council to consider the issues discussed in this report [The Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment's 2004 report on intensive farming - Growing for Good] and the initiatives recommended in the report. We are keenly interested in partnership opportunities for dealing with the land management (and associated water management) issues outlined in the report, but relevant to us in our rohe.	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	48	As for 386-48	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL	386	50	We endorse Councils policy for the use of 'whole farm business plans' as	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WORKING PARTY			a means of achieving the objectives and policies in Chapter 5.	part
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	50	As for 386-50	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	51	We ask that Council insert a new policy and/or objective within Chapter 5 to provide a cross reference to Chapter 4 (Te Ao Maori). The policies and objectives of Chapter 4 are important to, and interlinked with, policies and objectives throughout the rest of the Plan. We encourage this approach so that Maori issues and perspectives on environmental management are not isolated to Chapter 4, but made relevant and meaningful through all aspects of the One Plan.	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	51	As for 386-51.	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	1	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes their opposition to this provision.	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	2	As for 387-1.	Reject
LAURA M SIVYER	388	3	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: If farmers want farm advisory services they are available but it is not up to ratepayers to pay for it.	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	2	Arbor Management views the forestry provisions of the current One Plan as regressive. We oppose in part those provisions of the Plan that relate to plantation forestry.	Accept
	X501	213	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	102	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	63	Amend policies to introduce a policy to prevent wind farm development on Highly Erodible Land	Reject
	X525	4	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X527	380	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	4	Retain this section.	Accept in part
	X492	64	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
POHANGINA VALLEY COMMUNITY	408	3	The adoption of policies and procedures that coordinate projects	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
COMMITTEE			aimed at reducing erosion for increased effectiveness and cost efficiency	
POHANGINA VALLEY COMMUNITY COMMITTEE	408	4	The development of plans to address the serious problems posed by high river bank areas that are liable to slip, and that these plans should be integral to all soil erosion schemes.	Reject
TE RUNANGA O RAUKAWA INC	424	1	Objectives, Policies and Methods We seek decisions from Horizons when considering submissions on these objectives, policies and methods outlined in Chapter 5 (land) that are consistent with reducing the impact of the accumulative nature of discharges and the serious impact on the coastline and waterways because of the movement of coastal currents on the coastal areas within the Ngati Raukawa tribal boundaries from Rangitikei River to the Kukutauaki Stream south of Te Horo.	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	23	Delete the word damage and replace with adverse environmental effects, throughout the chapter and a consequential amendment throughout the plan.	Accept in part
	X511	125	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X531	41	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	36	Provide consistency in terminology between properties, farming and land use in the context of this section of the regional policy statement.	Accept
HOANE TITARI JOHN WI	2	11	All projects have significant impact on hapu and Iwi communities and there needs to be recognition that this part of the Horizons Regional Council community have access to all relevant updated information.	Reject
WAIKATO DISTRICT HEALTH BOARD - PUBLIC HEALTH UNIT	12	1	The Waikato DHB concurs with and supports Horizons proposal in particular the proposed approach of working with landowners to promote sustainable land-use practices.	Accept
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	6	I suggest, if you insist on going ahead with this folly, that: A: A Horizons staff member be available to inspect and assess whether or not a job presents a risk of erosion and then approve prospective	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ICHYTHUS CONSULTING	59	1	jobs at 24 hours notice, irrespective of the degree of slope, or B: that contractors be authorised to assess whether or not a job presents a risk of erosion. That they can then decide if the job goes ahead or not. That Net Water Balance (NWB) criteria will be given consideration in rules and consenting criteria/conditions.	Reject
BERT JUDD	96	8	Cut all trees and don't plant again, we have big problems [Manawatu River mouth & Waitarere forestry].	Reject
B C & J E GOWER PARTNERSHIP	106	1	That you give consideration to the difference in Land Use of the Parapara by changing the rules regarding scrub regrowth and Land Use.	Accept
B C & J E GOWER PARTNERSHIP	106	2	Amendments to Heading 5 Only activities with significant negative effects under normal wet conditions be regulated and the relevant rules amended.	Accept in part
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	4	I submit that the scope of this One Plan needs to be looked at again and bought back into line with the core business of Horizons. Leave the managing of the farm businesses to the farmers. We have farmed for many years and know our land. The contractors in this area are also very experienced, far more so than horizons staff.	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	48	Council is concerned that the retiring land in the Ruapehu District will affect the viability of the individual farmer and support industries, the local economy and the rating base.	Accept in part
	X481	113	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	49	Council submits that landowners receive significant benefits from Farm Plans such as not needing to apply for resource consents. This should be used to offset the price of developing the whole Farm Plan rather than burdening ratepayers with the cost of the plan. Alternatively a loan or Regional Council rating subsidy could be offered to attract farmers to undertake Whole Farm Plans.	Reject
	X481	114	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	50	Farm Plans need to be introduced over time to match resource levels. Farmers who do not receive this resource allocation should not be penalised. Phasing in of Farm Plans needs to be undertaken under a realistic time frame, without triggering resource consent requirements.	Reject
	X481	115	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	52	Stormwater does not need treating to first flush standards in a rural area.	Accept in part
	X481	117	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	53	Councils are exempt from application of resource consents for two years to fund the development a code of practice for roading maintenance works to enable these activities to be allowed as permitted activities for their particular parent types.	Reject
	X481	118	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	54	That Highly Erodible Land is defined in a scientific and robust manner, and the slope is not used as a definition as it is too blunt an instrument.	Reject
	X481	119	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	55	The Map depicting Highly Erodible Land is not of sufficient quality to be useful and must be withdrawn.	Accept
	X481	120	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X522	122	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
	X531	43	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	56	More Discussion on Highly Erodible Land in relation to Transport is under the Rules Section.	Reject
	X481	121	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	11	ONTRACK (New Zealand Railways Corporation) supports Horizons Regional Council's Proposed One Plan statement that:- "From analysis of the February storm, we know that on steep land, forest cover reduces	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	23	slipping by about 90% when compared to grass." [Particular reference to Policies 5-3 and 5-4] - Withdraw the whole plan; or - Introduce a new policy stating that maintenance works, emergency response and minor improvements for the roading network and infrastructure recognised under Policy 3-1 will generally be allowed.	Accept in part
	X481	294	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	14	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
JILESEN CONTRACTORS LTD	173	1	Amend the Policy Statement by adding a further paragraph: The Council will as part of its Plan create rules that are easily understood and are transparent to all affected parties. The Council will develop a rapid response process for all consents other than major consents that can be processed on site, within 24 hours of application at no cost to the applicant, thereby recognising the public good of the applicants actions, and in keeping with Section 36 4(b) 1 & 2 of the RMA.	Reject
DAVID HAROLD PORRITT	215	1	As for 173-1	Reject
MICHAEL PETERSEN - PETCO CONTRACTS LTD	229	1	As for 173-1	Reject
DARRIN BROWN	352	1	As for 173-1	Reject
SANDRA ROGERS	206	2	In general opposes retirement of steeper country due to effects on the economy of the region. No decision specifically expected.	Reject
SANDRA ROGERS	206	3	Unspecified concerned at retirement of HEL land into forestry effect on the economy	Reject
LIONEL WEST IN ASSOCIATION WITH PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NZ	220	2	If the general public demand retirement of land then the general public must pay not through rates - compensation - not provided for in the One Plan.	Reject
LIONEL WEST IN ASSOCIATION WITH PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NZ	220	4	No decision requested but submitter appears to want council to consider if it is applying the need for consents unnecessarily to some properties.	Accept
PALMERSTON	241	41	That Horizons adopt accelerated	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
NORTH CITY COUNCIL			erosion as one of its key issues.	
	X500	96	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X507	96	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X515	96	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X517	227	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X532	96	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	5	Identify HEL where there are more than minor adverse effects and work with land owners and occupiers affected.	Accept
TREVOR & WENDY SCHROEDER	255	1	No specific decision requested but questions if the Regional Council is going to pay the farmer a production loss for retired land annually as we the farmer pay rates on this land. With no production on this land no rates can be paid.	Reject
POWERCO LIMITED	272	13	Chapter 5 objectives and policies to remain unchanged.	Reject
	X511	122	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	24	[Reference to Policy 5-3 and 5-4] Introduce a new policy stating that maintenance works, emergency response and minor improvements for the roading network and infrastructure recognised under Policy 3-1 will generally be allowed.	Accept in part
	X481	385	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	12	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	46	Introduce rules or other mechanisms that regularise the actions identified in whole farm plans.	Reject
	X481	506	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	56	[Particular reference to Policy 5-3 and 5-4] - Withdraw the whole plan; or - Introduce a new policy stating that maintenance works, emergency response and minor improvements for the roading network and infrastructure recognised under Policy 3-1 will	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			generally be allowed.	
	X481	516	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X525	260	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support in part	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	35	As for 291-56	Accept in part
	X481	591	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	13	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	23	As for 291-56	Accept in part
	X481	728	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	11	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD	319	1	NZFM generally supports the Horizons MW Regional Council's (the Council) proposed methods for managing land management issues within the region.	Accept in part
	X501	136	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD	319	2	The recognition that future agricultural practices have the potential to increase the rate of land and soil damage if natural limitations of the land are not taken into account is particularly supported.	Accept in part
	X501	137	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	10	Amend Chapter 5 to address all land in a similar fashion. Further details are included below.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	11	Amend Chapter 5 to confirm that the policies apply only to farmland.	Reject
WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND	351	2	No specific decision requested but the only point NCWNZ, Wanganui, would question is that none of the Plan will be mandatory at present. This may be necessary in future, particularly in the case of erosion on hill country, which is a major problem in this area. The Wanganui Branch suggest that the Council make it clear that the requirements will be made mandatory, if effective improvement is not seen within a specified short time.	Reject
JOHN BATLEY	355	7	Inequalities in funding are a concern.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Those properties involved in the SLUI Project are funded by taxpayer and ratepayer assistance whereas those who apply for consents directly pay all the costs. This will mean another increase in rates already running at extremely high levels. Parallel circumstances occur at present where many properties not receiving pest control by Horizons, fund properties that are.	
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	49	Decision Sought: Include a definition for accelerated erosion as follows: Soil erosion occurring at a rate exceeding the rate of natural weathering, and commonly due to human activity.	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	53	Meridian opposes Chapter 5 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Delete Schedule A; or Amend Schedule A to more accurately map the land that is highly erodible and include details as to which properties with the Region it affects. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept in part
	X511	123	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X531	42	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	54	Meridian opposes Chapter 5 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend the objectives and policies to enable other activities on highly erodible land, subject to appropriate management plans. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept in part
	X502	17	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
	X511	124	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	19	Provide interpretation of the term 'sustainable land management' and its application either as an explanatory note, in the Glossary, or with reference to the outcomes sought in Table 5.6.	Reject
	X531	40	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose in part	Accept
	X533	8	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
TARANAKI / WHANGANUI	374	10	The plan should be amended to clearly specify SLM target. Chapter (5)	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
CONSERVATION BOARD				
TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD	374	8	This section should be cross referenced to other chapters with relevant objectives and policies.	Accept in part
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	10	Therefore, we submit, based on our experience of the current situation, that Council does not have the resources to provide the practical, cost effective and timely delivery of such a scheme.	Reject
	X483	4	WILLIAM JOHN FORREST - Support	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	3	RWNZ submits that monitoring and forecasting of environmental effects is a good that accrues to the community and if the community desires this, then the community should share the cost of such efforts.	Accept
	X502	16	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	28	Clarification as to: What the actual target is for HEL areas; and whether the WFBP operates as a consent and what within a plan would trigger a more regulatory approach being needed.	Accept in part
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	39	Add any other method(s) which contributes to environmental enhancement of the landscape. These may be incorporated into Codes of Practice and Sustainable Land Use Initiatives.	Reject
BRUCE & PAMELA HODGES	436	3	I also think if this is what the Council wants, it should look at doing the fencing, planting of trees, and perform the maintenance of both. It should also pay the farmer yearly market rental for the land lost, the Council can earn money from the carbon credits for this. They should also look at doing the same for what they call eroding hill country.	Reject
B W TYLEE ON BEHALF OF PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND	439	1	We object and demand that this title [Highly Erodible Land - HEL] be struck from the plan forth with.	Accept
B W TYLEE ON BEHALF OF PROPERTY RIGHTS IN	439	2	To summarise we believe that there is a lot more work to be done before any decisions can be made on the way we handle these erodible land formations.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
NEW ZEALAND			We know that time is of the essence but if we get it wrong and have to undo all the work that is done then we haven't taken the right options in the first place and we displace a lot of farming families and breached those families property rights that will be contributing to the national economy and for no reason in the first place based on a political agenda rather than sound science.	
LANDLINK LTD	440	27	Whole Farm Business plans are supported alongside the implementation of 'farm consents'.	Accept in part
DIANA BAIRD	443	16	Classification of HEL. Therefore, my submission is that Horizons return to the previous well established, well recognised and understood Land Classification system, breaking it down further where required	Reject
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	3	No specific decision requested but submits that the Regional Council should investigate the implementing of a differential sustainable farming rate.	Reject
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	4	No specific decision requested but submits that Horizons should adopt a proactive role in facilitating this process [carbon credits] and work with groups of interested farmers.	Reject
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	3	The council should uphold the proposed definitions and maps of highly erodible land.	Reject
DON STEWART	462	1	The submitter does not request a decision, but notes: - Farmers have been the back bone of the New Zealand economy for years and don't like being told by Horizons what and how to do it. - The mere fact you talk about putting restrictions on land of greater than 20 degree slopes proves Horizons lacks any understanding of the nature of farming, most of our fit healthy breeding stock graze on country that is far steeper than that.	Reject
PETER LEFEAUX NEVINS	29	1	Removal of Chapter 5 (FIVE) of the Policy Statement and introduce relevant objectives after such time as MWRC (Horizons) has carried out sufficient evaluation and consultation with effected parties. This is legally required in the terms of the Resource Management Act and the Local	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Government Act 2002.	
	X502	46	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
LYNDA JEAN BAINES	40	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X483	2	WILLIAM JOHN FORREST - Support	Reject
	X502	51	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
GLENDA LUSCOMBE	41	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	52	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
HELEN CLAIRE MCKENZIE	42	1	As for 29-1.	Reject
	X502	53	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ALAN GEORGE & CATHERINE SUSAN DONALDSON	62	1	As for 29-1.	Reject
	X502	54	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
	X509	1	WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
BARBARA ANNE TAYLOR	66	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	55	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SALLY JANE & KEITH THOMAS SHERSON	67	1	As for 29-1.	Reject
	X502	56	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
PETERSEN FAMILY TRUST	68	1	As for 29-1.	Reject
	X502	57	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KERRY BLACKBURN	69	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	58	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
PETER & MAXENE HOWIE	70	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	59	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
JUDY JOHANSEN	71	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	60	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
PETER DOUGLAS	72	1	As for 29-1	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HAWKINS				
	X502	61	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
BRIGETTE NEESON	73	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	62	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ELAINE COUPER	74	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	63	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
MIRIAM JANE TARRANT	75	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	64	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
RODNEY BREARS	76	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	65	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
AJIT SINGH BELLING	78	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	66	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
WITHDRAWN LYALL WALKER	79	1	WITHDRAWN As for 29-1	Withdrawn
	X502	67	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SUSAN CONRAD	80	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	68	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
EDWARD NELSON TARRANT	81	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	69	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SHERYL YVONNE FRASER	82	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	70	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
GENEE LEONIE LUDLAM	83	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	71	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
AMY COUPER	84	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	72	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
LANCE & MANNIX HOUPAPA	85	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	73	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE -	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
GEOFF & JOSE HEALE	86	1	As for 29-1 Oppose	Reject
	X502	74	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ANNIE CARMICHAEL	87	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	75	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
GRAHAM CARMICHAEL	88	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	76	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
EVELYN HEALE	90	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	77	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
MERLE HEMOPO	91	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	78	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SEAN ROBERT TRAFFORD & ALEXANDRA ROGERS	92	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	79	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KEN MARSHALL	95	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	80	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
PETER & GAIL GOWER	119	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X483	1	WILLIAM JOHN FORREST - Support	Reject
	X495	83	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X502	18	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
GARRY BURGESS DICKIE	120	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X495	84	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X502	19	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
G S HALL	128	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X495	85	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X502	20	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
S GALL	129	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X495	86	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X502	21	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
N COLLIER	130	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	22	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ROSS CHARLES & JUSTINE FRANCES WALKER	131	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	23	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	26	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
PAUL ALEXANDER MC GLADE & EUNICE ROBIN WEIR	134	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	25	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
R T WALLER	135	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	27	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
N C TYLEE	136	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	28	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
GORDON ROBERT GOWER	146	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	30	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
IVAN BRENT & ROSEMARY LYNETTE WATTS	150	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	31	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
DEAN SADDLER GOWER	184	1	As for 29-1.	Reject
	X502	37	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
RON & SANDRA CAREY	193	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	38	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
NEVILLE FRANCIS WHEELER	194	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	39	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SPLIT ROCK STATION LTD	199	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	40	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
NEIL & ANNIE PETERSEN	210	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	41	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
TREVOR OWEN COUPER	212	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	42	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
TANIA FAYE BOLTON	216	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	43	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ROBERT GEORGE & COLLEEN MARY DONALDSON	219	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	44	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
TREVOR ALLEN JOHNSON	233	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	45	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
SCOTT GOWER	254	3	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	81	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KAWAUTAHI FARMS LTD	321	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	47	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
DIGBY MILNE BRICE	345	1	As for 29-1	Reject
	X502	50	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KURUA FARMS	132	1	Removal of Chapter five of the Policy Statement as I believe this to be much to general and needs much consultation we must be able to continue development for the benefit of the region.	Reject
	X502	24	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
GEORGE ALEXANDER HOPEFUL GOWER	138	1	Removal of Chapter 5 (FIVE) of the Policy Statement and introduce relevant objectives after sufficient evaluation and consultation with effected parties.	Reject
	X502	29	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
VELMA JUNE SIEMONEK	167	1	Remove chapter 5 of the policy statement and replace it by a variation with a chapter that fulfils obligation as defended in Section 59 of the RMA being a complete overview derived from sound monitoring as set down in section 35 of the RMA	Reject
	X502	32	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
HELEN MARGARET IRWIN LILEY	191	1	Removal of Chapter 5 and provide a much more accurate evaluation and consultation of the local economy. It is clearly apparent to those of us who live here that we can attend to environmental protection and by continuing to exist, provide a very useful safety valve for other farming areas prone to ravages such as drought.	Reject
KARL SPLITT	169	1	Removal of Chapter 5 of the Policy Statement and introduce relevant policies and objectives after such time as the MWRC has carried out sufficient evaluation and consultation with affected parties as it is legally required to in the terms of the RMA Section 32 & 35 and the Local Government Act 2002 Sections 3, 82 and 78.	Reject
	X502	33	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KEVIN JOHN SIEMONEK	170	1	As for 169-1	Reject
	X502	34	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
P JOHN CHUMUN	171	1	As for 169-1	Reject
	X502	35	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
LUKE CHRISTOPHER GREEN	183	1	As for 169-1	Reject
	X502	36	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
J N TURNER	322	1	As for 169-1	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X502	48	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
G P & C S DEMPSEY	324	1	As for 169-1	Reject
	X502	49	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
KIRSTEN ANN BRYANT	429	1	I request the chapters 5 be withdrawn from the Proposed One Plan until such time that the science, practicality, sustainability and impact of the proposed policies and rules on the rural community be adequately evaluated and assessed. I request that horizons show how they intend to implement and fund the ideals and rules in chapters 5.	Reject
	X483	3	WILLIAM JOHN FORREST - Support	Reject
MALCOLM FARMING LTD	195	1	I believe that the plan should be immediately withdrawn, and if it is to be redrawn to further investigate and take on board some practical experiences of farmers, farmers to get involved.	Reject
PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND INC	393	3	That the whole chapter [Land] be removed or amended to recognise that sediment originates from many sources, not just from landslides. Given that the Regional Council has not carried out a Section 32 monitoring programme of its previous rules, we seek that Council returns to the Status quo and fulfil its obligations. When Council can identify all the sources of sediment loading it will be in a position to regulate if that can be shown to be justifiable.	Reject
JOHN GRAHAM DOBSON	34	1b	Submitter does not request a decision, however they do note: - There must be more tighter control on animal birds and fish that have no real place coming into this country if its not to late. -Some of the laws you intend pursuing in some cases may be necessary but as in most cases would be a waste of time and cost an other cost that is driving farming into the ground. -An over all law that governs all farmers that don't have a farm plan sounds ridicules.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
J N TRIPE	52	1	<p>- Farmers just want to get on with farming they don't want all this pleasir stuff. Individual or grouped farm management plans is a must.</p> <p>No decision requested however submitter notes:</p> <p>- their land is classed as "Highly Erodible Land" yet is still a major contributor to the economy.</p> <p>- since the One Plan was published hill country has been devalued by prospective buyers because of perceived costs.</p> <p>- their concern about the potential costs in applying for and administering of normal farm practices such as clearing scrub.</p> <p>- in general terms they support the One Plan. Those land users who do not practice sensible management and farm practice need to be controlled. However history shows that the conscientious (the vast majority) also pay.</p>	Accept in part
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	7	I submit that the scope of this One Plan needs to be looked at again and bought back into line with the core business of Horizons. Leave the managing of the farm businesses to the farmers. We have farmed for many years and know our land. The contractors in this area are also very experienced, far more so than horizons staff.	Accept in part
JAMES EDMUND FAHEY	109	3	I require the Regional Council recognise that land movement by rivers and subsidence is a natural phenomenon, and science tells us that the 25mms a year removed by this process is replaced by upward land movement.	Reject
MARY GABRIELLE FAHEY	110	3	I require the Regional Council recognise that land movement by rivers and subsidence is a natural phenomenon, and science tells us that the 25mms a year removed by this process is replaced by upward land movement.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PETER GRAHAM FAHEY	111	3	I require the Regional Council recognise that land movement by rivers and subsidence is a natural phenomenon, and science tells us that the 25mms a year removed by this process is replaced by upward land movement.	Reject
JOHN FRANCIS FAHEY	112	3	I require the Regional Council recognise that land movement by rivers and subsidence is a natural phenomenon, and science tells us that the 25mms a year removed by this process is replaced by upward land movement.	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	1	The decision that Ernslaw One seeks from the Council is that plantation forestry activities are provided for as Permitted Activities subject to performance standards (ie subject to conformance with the Operational Planning (AEE) matrix and Rules specified in Part 1 of the NZ Forest Owners Association's new Environmental Code of Practice (E-CoP, 2007) without restriction based on the size of the activity, landscape setting, slope angle, catchment area etc or other arbitrary restrictions. We submit that this approach is consistent with Council's Policy 12-2 Recognition of Industry Standards.	Accept in part
	X 492	3	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X 498	3	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
	X 520	8	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
RAYONIER N Z LIMITED	310	1	Recognition and endorsement by Horizons of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association. Inclusion of the above in the Proposed One Plan as a non regulatory method for production forestry to remain a permitted activity .	Accept in part
	X 501	112	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 520	40	N Z FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ROGER WILLIAM LUSCOMBE	320	2	I believe that the one plan document is trying to take away many of our legal rights. I have no confidence in One Plan. I propose that the One Plan is inappropriate and should be scrapped.	Reject
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (N Z) LTD	331	1	Review and amend the approach of the Plan to plantation forestry to make plantation forestry activities a permitted activity (as it is under the current plan) through the use of permitted activity rules specifying performance requirements.	Accept
	X 498	4	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
	X 501	149	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X 520	55	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (N Z) LTD	331	2	In the alternative [to decision requested in 331/1], or in addition make forestry a permitted activity upon compliance with appropriate industry Codes of Practice.	Accept in part
	X 492	4	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X 501	160	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 520	56	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (N Z) LTD	331	3	[331/1 and 331/2] reflects a general approach and various specific relief is sought in relation to particular sections of the plan identified. Notwithstanding, it may be necessary to reword rules in a manner not identified to give effect to the concerns and decisions sought raised in 331/1 and 331/2.	Accept in part
	X 501	171	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 520	57	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
A & C BOVEY PARTNERSHIP	343	1	Submitter has not requested a decision, however they note: As an Agricultural Contractor our concern is the "One Plan" is far too vast for the many independent regions. Any contract Agricultural work is always done in conjunction with the landowner who has invested hundreds of thousands, if not millions of dollars into their assets. Nobody knows their land and its abilities better than these people themselves. They are better	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			<p>caretakers of their land with more to lose than any employer or One Plan enforcer.</p> <p>Note: the One Plan will have a major impact on the average Kiwi. If development is stopped the flow on effect will destroy the stock market for lamb etc plus meat workers etc. all the new laws in the world will never stop Mother Nature and her wrath.</p>	
JILL STRUGNELL	366	4	<p>My suggested remedy is for the regional plan to acknowledge in the Regional Policy Statement (Part One) that the function of regional councils under the Act includes the preparation of objectives and policies in relation to any actual and potential effects of the use, development and protection of land which is of regional significance but that the TAs also have obligations with regard to objectives and policies and in addition "methods to achieve integrated management of the effects of the use, development and protection of land.. ." and also have responsibility for the control of land use.</p> <p>In Part Two to delete rules and conditions relating to control of land use such as rules on subdivision, but to retain rules clearly related to soil conservation and the avoidance or mitigation of natural hazards, such as investigating and monitoring contaminated land.</p>	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	1	No specific decision requested but opposes in part - due to concerns regarding harvesting and associated earthworks being controlled or discretionary activities.	Accept in part
	X 501	223	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X 520	114	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
ANDREW EDWARD DAY	421	2	Submits that "per property" is a very poor measure of resource use or allocation. Depending on the particular clause of rules, either per ha or per standard stock unit or per ha of a particular LUC would be a more appropriate measure of resource use or allocation.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X 487	6	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X 502	1	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Reject
C R GRACE, M HURLEY, HINAU STATION LTD, DUNCAN LAND CO LTD, TE KUMU ESTATES LTD, OTAIRI STATION LTD, A HURLEY KNOWN JOINTLY AS "THE HUNTERVILLE HILL COUNTRY OBJECTORS"	422	9	In our submission, Central Government should be contributing something in the order of 80% of the cost of the implementation and future management of the "One Plan". We would like to see significantly more pressure placed on Central Government to meet the cost of the Plan, given that it is something to benefit the whole country.	Reject
EUAN HODGES	431	3	That none of the current proposed one plan provisions relating to the imposition of regulatory controls on farms or the limitation of permitted activities on farms, nor any revised provisions that may emerge in relation to any agricultural activity be agreed or implemented.	Reject
	X 487	8	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Reject
MARGARET MILLARD	437	6	Submitter does not request a decision, however they do note: Farm Plans to be only prepared on properties that have a proven significant adverse effect on the environment.	Accept in part
MARGARET MILLARD	437	7	Submitter does not request a decision, however they do note: Horizons to pay the full costs of any farm plans.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION	458	1	NZ Contractors Federation requests that it be added to that list of bodies and organisations that Council will consult and work with as contemplated in para 1.6, chapter 2 - Administration, and 5.4.2 5-5(b) and other relevant sections of the document.	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	47	Introduce rules or other mechanisms that regularise the actions identified in whole farm plans.	Accept in part
	X 481	507	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

2. 5.1 Scope and Background

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	9	5.1 Scope and Background is supported.	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	61	5.1 Scope and Background is supported.	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	61	5.1 Scope and Background is supported.	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	22	Reword 5.1 paragraph two to read: Agriculture, particularly pasture-based farming is the foundation of the Region's economy and is one of the key elements that have defined our social and economic wellbeing and defined the natural character of the rural landscape. However, inappropriate land management practices can to lead to adverse effects of accelerated erosion. Land management practices must be managed in a way that takes the natural limitations of the land into account to reduce the effects of accelerated erosion. (or words to this effect)	Accept in part
	X487	46	FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
DIANA BAIRD	443	9	Paragraph 1 Therefore, my submission is that Horizons amend this section to read "often" rather than "mainly" from activity.	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	14	Seek to have soil contamination (particularly of Cadmium) listed as an important issue, and policy, objectives and rules developed accordingly	Reject
	X531	44	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND -	Accept Oppose

3. 5.1.1 Chapter Content

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	10	5.1.1 Chapter Content is Supported.	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	2	5.1.1 Chapter Content is Supported.	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	2	5.1.1 Chapter Content is Supported.	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	24	Delete the term "agricultural practice" and replace with "land management practice".	Accept in part
	X531	45	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part

4. 5.1.2 Accelerated Erosion

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
B C & J E GOWER PARTNERSHIP	106	3	That the rare February 2004 storm not be used as a reason for regulation the use of the affected areas as such regulations would not have altered the 2004 outcome.	Accept in part
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	11	5.1.2 Accelerated Erosion is supported.	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	3	5.1.2 Accelerated Erosion is supported.	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	3	5.1.2 Accelerated Erosion is supported.	Accept
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	20	On p.5-2 add the following sentence to the end of the 4th paragraph (after 'productive land'): 'On the other hand the remnants of the Manawatu dune fields are of national and regional significance in terms of biodiversity, landscape and natural character of the coast. They require a state of dynamic equilibrium in order to support transient and ephemeral wetlands and other ecosystems which are characteristic of the coastal landscape. The two extremes of accelerated erosion and the establishment of static land forms and modified soils are threats to their ecological and landscape values. They require careful and sensitive management to protect their values	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			and avoid the effects of accelerated erosion on adjacent land.'	
	X506	1	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Reject
	X533	6	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	21	Add as a method in Section 5 or Section 7 a project to encourage active management of remnant dune fields in order to both preserve, restore or rehabilitate their natural values and avoid or reduce the effects of accelerated erosion on adjacent land.	Reject
	X506	2	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Reject
	X533	7	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	60	Amend to add point (d) local and cross boundary visual effects	Reject
	X527	377	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	5	Retain this section, but amend 5.1(a) to: (a) 50% of farms with Highly Erodible Land (see Schedule A) are sustainably managed by 2017; (b) 50% of farms with Highly Erodible Land have a whole farm business plan in place by 2017 (c) re-number (b) - (e) as (c) - (f).	Reject
	X533	4	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
DIANA BAIRD	443	10	Paragraph 1 Therefore, my submission is that Horizons amend this section to read "often caused by historical ..."	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	15	No decision requested but submitter supports as an accurate description and definition of key issues.	Accept

5. 5.1.3 Land and Soil Management

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	1	To prevent further damage to the rail network that will be caused by future storm events, ONTRACK would like to work with both Farmers and Horizons Regional Council (HRC) to improve land management practises on Highly Erodible Land. ONTRACK will encourage providing incentives to reduce accelerated erosion.	Accept in part
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	5	Recognition and endorsement of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association by Horizons and include in the Proposed One Plan for forestry to remain a permitted activity.	Reject
	X501	131	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	42	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	12	5.1.3 Land and Soil Management is supported.	Accept in part
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	4	5.1.3 Land and Soil Management is supported.	Accept in part
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	4	5.1.3 Land and Soil Management is supported.	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	5	Retain and give effect to the statements in section 5.1.3.	Accept in part
	X501	188	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	59	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	50	Decision Sought: Retain support for recognised industry developed code of practice or similar and apply to all land uses.	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	57	WITHDRAWN Add the following sentence at the end of paragraph one of section 5.1.3. "This process will be assisted through the formation of catchment groups each of which will be guided by an appropriately trained facilitator."	Withdrawn
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	61	Amend to add bullet point: wind farm development	Reject
	X527	378	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	3	Retain and give effect to the statements in section 5.1.3.	Accept in part
	X501	244	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	116	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	25	Retain 5.1.3 as written	Accept in part
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	16	We would want to see non-industry key stakeholders involved in the development of codes of practice	Accept in part
	X506	3	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept in part

6. Issue 5-1 Accelerated Erosion

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	42	That Horizons adopt Issue 5-1.	Accept
	X500	97	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X507	97	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X515	97	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X517	228	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
	X532	97	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	13	Issue 5-1 Accelerated erosion is supported.	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	5	Issue 5-1 Accelerated erosion is supported.	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	5	Issue 5-1 Accelerated erosion is supported.	Accept
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	62	Amend to add point (d) land disturbance and vegetation clearance from wind farm development	Reject
	X527	379	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	11	Issue 5.1 is supported and we wish it be retained.	Accept in part
	X492	65	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	26	Reword Issue 5-1 (a) to replace: "farming practice" with "hill country land management", (or words to that effect)	Accept in part
LANDLINK LTD	440	25	[Reword issue 5-1(c)] as follows: (c) Land disturbance from urban development Most other land-use activities are not of a sufficient scale to have significant regional adverse effects. However, earthworks related to urban expansion and development can have significant temporary and ongoing adverse effects on waterways within local catchments if silt and sediment control measures are inadequate or poorly managed.	Accept in part
DIANA BAIRD	443	11	Issue 5-1 (a) (l) Therefore, my submission is that Horizons amend this section to read "Accelerated erosion is often causing..."	Accept
DIANA BAIRD	443	12	As for 443-11	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	17	No decision requested but supports as accurate description and definition of key accelerated erosion issues.	Accept in part

7. Objective 5-1 Accelerated erosion

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
J N TRIPE	52	2	No decision requested, however submitter asks: What will be the cost of the Business Plan for the 50% of farms with 'highly erodible' land required throughout the region by 2017	Reject
J N TRIPE	52	3	No decision requested, however submitter asks: (Objective 5-1(c)) what is the definition of "minimised land disturbance"	Reject
VECTOR GAS LIMITED	115	6	Vector supports retaining without further modification the following, under section 5.3 Objectives, Objective 5-1: Accelerated erosion.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	2	"Land is used in a manner that ensures: (d)the damage to roads and other infrastructure caused by landslides and sediment run-off from hill country is minimised" ONTRACK therefore seeks for Council to amend Objective 5-1(d) as follows: "the damage to roads, rail and other infrastructure....."	Reject
SUSTAINABLE WHANGANUI	176	5	Would like to see the development of sustainable management or whole business plans in place by an earlier date eg. 2012.	Reject
WILLIAM JOHN FORREST	208	1	Think again of the chances of carrying this through successfully. The rural community are already disadvantaged by the numbers game on the electoral scene. The result must be democratically Acceptable.	Reject
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	43	That Horizons adopt Objective 5-1 subject to satisfactory details on how it is to be funded.	Accept in part
	X500	98	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X507	98	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X515	98	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X517	229	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X532	98	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	7	Delete Objective 5.1 (a)	Reject
TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	9	Retain, without further modification Objective 5-1 and in particular parts (d) and (e)	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	2	While detail may not be appropriate, Council should make some effort to outline a Plan B framework and also a trigger point in time and success rate by which work would commence on preparing the alternative for implementation. This is considered important as part of the bigger picture to incentivise the uptake and execution of farm plans and reinforce Councils commitment to getting hill	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			country erosion under control.	
	X501	90	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X506	6	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Reject
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	14	Objective 5-1 Accelerated erosion is supported.	Accept in part
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	6	As for 311-14	Accept in part
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	6	As for 311-14	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	12	Amend text and/or Schedule A to ensure clarity. (Further submissions on Schedule A are included below.)	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	14	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept
	X522	123	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	15	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept
	X495	88	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	16	That this objective be retained.	Accept in part
	X492	66	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	26	ENM seek the clarification as to what conditions would trigger a more regulatory approach being needed.	Reject
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	27	That as part of the farm plan process activities that would otherwise require a consent go through a consent process so that there are enforceable conditions for the activity.	Accept
	X531	47	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	36	Amend Objective to replace the word 'minimised' with 'avoided, remedied or	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			mitigated.'	
	X511	126	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	22	Objective 5-1(e) Add 'landscape values, including coastal dune systems' after 'infrastructure'.	Reject
	X533	9	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Oppose	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	60	WITHDRAWN Clarify the meaning of "sustainably managed" and explain how the sustainable management of Highly Erodible land can be demonstrated.	Withdrawn
	X495	104	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD	374	30	There should also be a recognition of soil as a biological entity, and aquatic biodiversity.	Reject
TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD	374	9	Add "(e) the damage to property, infrastructure*, the natural character of coastal land forms, and significant habitat areas caused by accelerated wind erosion of coastal sand, and landslides and sediment run off from the natural coastal cliffs, is minimised,"	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	53	We seek the following decision from the Regional Council - that the following amendment is made to this provision in the form of an addition: (e) the damage to property, infrastructure*, significant Maori sites and significant habitat areas caused by accelerated wind erosion of coastal sand is minimised. (e) ka whakaitingia te pakaru o nga rawa, nga kaupapa o raro, nga wahi Maori me nga wahi noho whakahirahira na te tere whakahoro ahau o nga oneone takutai moana.	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	53	As for 386-53	Reject
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	12	Amend Objective 5-1(a) to read "50% of farms with Highly Erodible Land* will have a whole farm business plan in place by 2017" Add a new (b) into the Objective to read: "the operation of farms with whole farm business plans in place will be monitored and the plans updated as necessary" Add a new (c) into the Objective to read "the development, including research, of	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			sustainable land management practices will be pursued and proven methods implemented" There is then sufficient policy support for this in Policy 5-1(b)(c) and Policy5-5	
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	27	Retain objective 5.1(b) - (e) as written.	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	28	Reword objective 5-1 (a) to read: "50% of Highly Erodible Land (see schedule A) have either implemented methods to effectively reduce accelerated erosion, or have a whole farm business plan in place by 2017." (or words to that effect)	Accept in part
	X484	77	WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY Support	Withdrawn
	X522	124	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
	X531	46	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	27	That HRC re-writes Objective 5.1 so it is clear that the operators of HEL properties that are having the worst impacts on soil loss and water quality will definitely be required to improve management, whether under a WFBP or by regulatory means.	Reject
LANDLINK LTD	440	26	Add another clause (or similar) to objective 5-1 (a) Urban expansion is located and constructed in a manner that avoids significant earthworks and protects freshwater resources.	Reject
DIANA BAIRD	443	13	Objective 5-1 (a) Therefore, my submission is that Horizons re-think and publicly consult the place/role of whole-farm-plans	Accept
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	5	No specific decision requested but submits that to be consistent with the RMA your objectives should focus on environmental outcomes such as hectares of erosion prone land retired, sediment reduction and flooding reduction.	Reject
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	5	Policy objective 5-1(a) should be amended to require 100% of farms to be either sustainable managed, or have a whole farm business plan by 2017	Reject
	X484	84	WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE &	Withdrawn

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			FORESTRY - Oppose	
	X495	87	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	6	Proposed policy objective 5-1(b) should be upheld.	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	18	(c, d, e) - quantify what is meant by "minimised"	Reject
AOHANGA INCORPORATION	464	3	Te Hika a Papaauma object to this whole objective.	Reject

8. Policy 5-1 Sustainable management of Highly Erodible Land - whole farm business plans

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SUSTAINABLE WHANGANUI	176	31	We applaud the concept of whole farm plan.	Accept
SUSTAINABLE WHANGANUI	176	6	To have 50% of all farms on highly erodible land on whole farm plans by 2017 is commendable but not tight enough. We seek either a higher percentage or an earlier date for farms on highly erodible land to be covered by a whole farm plan	Reject
	X495	91	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X522	125	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
CHRIS TEO - SHERRELL	181	1	Strongly in support of SLUI but would like to see farm plans as a required condition for use of land classified as moderately or severely prone to erosion.	Reject
PONGAROA & THE WAY TO GO RURAL WOMEN	197	1	What additional meetings are planned where and when to inform on Chapter 5.	Reject
PONGAROA & THE WAY TO GO RURAL WOMEN	197	2	Do you have staff who can give a précis of the one plan to interested parties eg. Women in Farming.	Reject
PONGAROA & THE WAY TO GO RURAL WOMEN	197	3	Please consider more time for consultation.	Reject
FORREST CHAMBERS	202	1	If a land management system is causing erosion and flood problems for the region, it must be changed.	Reject
SANDRA ROGERS	206	4	None requested but wants an answer to the question, Is there going to be	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
L A CARMICHAEL	218	1	compensation for farmer's loss of their land? That a total review of the implementation and costing of Whole Farm Business Plans.	Reject
	X495	89	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
L A CARMICHAEL	218	2	In their current form they are not legally binding. Farmers /Landowners are under no obligation and conviction to abide by any activities in the WFBP.	Accept
L A CARMICHAEL	218	3	At the expense of approx \$10,000 per plan this is unsustainable expenditure and irresponsible spending and wastage of Rate payers monies.	Reject
G M & S M DEADMAN PARTNERSHIP	224	2	I seek the following decision from the Regional Council: That the Regional Council withdraw Figure A:1 and review their classification of HEL after much more consultation with potentially affected landowners.	Accept
	X495	90	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept Support
G M & S M DEADMAN PARTNERSHIP	224	3	I seek the following decision from the Regional Council: That the Regional Council implement Whole Farm business Plans only for properties that are contain land deemed severely and very extremely erodible and that rules 12.2, 12.3, 12.4 be implemented on an individual property basis after much more scientific investigation.	Reject
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	44	That Horizons reconsider Policy 5-1 and 5-2 and provide an analysis of costs and benefits of SLUI, in particular the costs that will fall upon regional ratepayers in the future.	Reject
	X500	99	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
	X507	99	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
	X515	99	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
	X517	230	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
	X532	99	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	7	Adopt recommendations as stated in the plan that support non regulatory methods for achieving sustainable	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			management.	
	X501	133	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	44	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	15	Policy 5-1 Sustainable management of Highly Erodible Land - whole farm business plans is supported.	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	7	As for 311-15	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	7	As for 311-15	Accept
ROGER WILLIAM LUSCOMBE	320	1	I am shocked at proposals such as the retirement of land over 20% gradient, being only allowed to cut one hectare of regenerating scrub & native plants, etc.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	13	Amend text and/or Schedule A to ensure clarity. (Further submissions on Schedule A are included below.)	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	16	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept
	X522	126	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	17	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept
	X522	127	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	32	Include the material from Policy 5-1 in Part II of the One Plan, probably in Chapter 12.	Accept in part
	X481	588	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	35	Recognise the role that environmental management plans play in avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects from erosion; and	Accept
	X511	127	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
WITHDRAWN			WITHDRAWN	Withdrawn

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	45	Retain Policy 5-1.	
	X492	68	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
	X495	105	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	58	WITHDRAWN Retain Policy 5-1 (b).	Withdrawn
	X495	106	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	59	WITHDRAWN Change Policy 5-1 (a) text be consistent with Objective 5-1 by adding "50% of farms with Highly Erodible Land are either being sustainably managed or have a whole farm business plan..."	Withdrawn
	X495	107	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	61	WITHDRAWN Retain WFBP approach.	Withdrawn
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	62	WITHDRAWN Reduce the size of the Whole Farm Business Plan template.	Withdrawn
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	2	RWNZ supports Policy 5.1 where it states that agriculture is the foundation of the Region's economy and is one of the key elements that have defined the social and visual landscape. However, RWNZ cautions Council against taking an unnecessarily restrictive precautionary approach toward entire land categories.	Accept
DAVID LEONARD HOPKINS	382	1	Clarification is required, please describe what is meant by other methods.	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	5	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes their opposition to this provision.	Reject
PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND INC	393	4	That the whole chapter [Land] be removed or amended to recognise that sediment originates from many sources, not just from landslides. Given that the Regional Council has	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			not carried out a Section 32 monitoring programme of its previous rules, we seek that Council returns to the Status quo and fulfill its obligations. When Council can identify all the sources of sediment loading it will be in a position to regulate if that can be shown to be justifiable.	
	X475	1	B W TYLEE ON BEHALF OF PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
	X514	1	VELMA JUNE SIEMONEK - Support	Reject
MICHAEL GEORGE PETERSEN	399	1	Removal of the personal impositions of qualifying for the Farm Business Plan in favour of a friendly and affordable scheme based on education and healthy sustainability of the community.	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	6	Retain this section	Accept in part
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	13	Policy 5-1 is supported and we wish it be retained.	Accept in part
	X492	67	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	29	Reword Policy 5-1 (a) "Regional Council aims to have 50% of farms with Highly Erodible Land covered by whole farm plans or have implemented methods to effectively reduce accelerated erosion by 2017." (or words to that effect)	Reject
	X522	128	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	30	Reword Policy 5-1 (c) as follows: "(c) A non-regulatory approach has been adopted to encourage the use and uptake of whole farm business plans to achieve sustainable land use on highly Erodible Land." (or words to that effect)	Reject
GEORGE R ROSS	441	1	I support the principles of SLUI and the management of Highly Erodible Land, however 5.4.1 (c) the initiative must remain non regulatory as it would be outside Horizons role and area of expertise to become involved in implementing Whole Farm Plans.	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	4	No specific decision requested, however submitter does suggest that more education and guidance is needed to help complete the WFBP requirement within time frame suggested. More thought needs to be given to means of encouraging action on recommendations as it would seem that little tree planting is planned for the immediate future. In agreement with other forestry submissions, we also believe there is a case for Whole Forest Business Plans.	Reject
	X501	277	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	1	No specific decision requested but submits that the regional plan must therefore focus on eliminating the EXISTING pastoral land use on the 300 000 hectares of class VIII and VIIE land identified in this plan.	Reject
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	2	No specific decision requested but submits that we need policy that faces up to the historic reality of 60 years of farmer denial and intransigence; rather than pretend that yet more education and awareness raising will suddenly be successful. There is no logical reason why farmers should be exempt from the polluter pays principle and obligations that other industries have to work within.	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	19	Amend as follows "other methods, including rules will be evaluated and implemented as necessary to achieve the desired outcomes within specified timeframes"	Reject

9. Policy 5-2 Sustainable management of other land - whole farm business plans

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	45	That Horizons reconsider Policy 5-1 and 5-2, and provide an analysis of costs and benefits of SLUI, in particular the costs that will fall upon regional ratepayers in the future.	Reject
	X500	100	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X507	100	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X515	100	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X517	231	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X532	100	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	18	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept
	X522	129	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	19	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept
	X522	130	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	33	Include the material from Policy 5-2 in Part II of the One Plan, probably in Chapter 12.	Reject
	X481	589	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	46	WITHDRAWN Retain Policy 5-2.	Withdrawn
	X492	69	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	6	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision.	Reject
MICHAEL GEORGE PETERSEN	399	2	Removal of the personal impositions of qualifying for the Farm Business Plan in favour of a friendly and affordable scheme based on education and healthy sustainability of the community.	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	7	Retain this section	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	31	Retain as Policy 5-2 written.	Accept in part

10. Policy 5-3 Regulation of vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible Land

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND	36	1	Airways seek the retention of Policy 5-3 as per the Proposed One Plan	Accept in part
	X476	1	PALMERSTON NORTH AIRPORT LTD - Support	Accept in part
AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND	36	2	Airways also request that this policy be carried through to Rules in the Plan, by including a rule that allows maintenance activities, such as vegetation clearance, around its infrastructure (such as navigational aids) a permitted activity. Possible wording of this rule could be: "The maintenance of existing infrastructure, including the trimming and removal of plants where these pose a risk to the continuation of operations, is a permitted activity provided a) vegetation is not left in a position where it may enter a waterbody; b) there shall be no discharge of contaminants, other than sediment, into any waterbody."	Accept in part
	X476	2	PALMERSTON NORTH AIRPORT LTD - Support	Accept in part
MARION GILLARD	46	6	Remove Policy 5.3 until the definition of Highly Erodible land is more clearly defined.	Accept in Part
	X495	92	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in Part
ANTHONY DAVID & GAYLENE MAY ATKINS	56	2	Vegetation clearance and land disturbance shall generally be allowed on HEL unless there are more than minor adverse effects, which are unable to be mitigated, avoided, remedied or offset in any way.	Accept
	X485	3	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
RICHARD JOHN & CORAL EVELYN EDWARDS	57	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	4	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	5	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
PUKEKAHU FARM	60	2	As for 56-2	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LTD				
	X485	6	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
DAVID EARLE ROBINS MATTHEWS	65	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	7	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
RUSSELL SULLIVAN	94	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	8	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
BRUCE EDWARD CULLEY	98	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	9	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
ALLAN FRANCIS O'NEILL & F J O'NEILL & SONS	113	2	As for 56-2	Accept
PETER ALEXANDER ANDERSON	121	2	As for 56-2	Accept
HEATHER OLIVER	144	3	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	10	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
WINSTON OLIVER	145	4	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	13	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
JOHN COLLIER DONALD	154	2	As for 56-2	Accept
BARRY & GLENDA WADE	155	2	As for 56-2	Accept
COLIN CASELEY	156	2	As for 56-2	Accept
WARRICK & SALLY STREET	157	2	As for 56-2	Accept
DONALD ALAN WINDLE	186	2	As for 56-2	Accept
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	3	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	11	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	4	As for 56-2	Accept
	X485	14	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
PATRICK WILLIAM CARROLL	189	2	As for 56-2.	Accept
STUART MC NIE	198	3	As for 56-2	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X485	12	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept
ROSEANNE PARKES	217	2	As for 56-2	Accept
DAVID JOHN WELLS	223	2	As for 56-2	Accept
RICHARD PORRITT	247	2	As for 56-2.	Accept
MATTHEW BLACK	248	2	As for 56-2	Accept
ANDREW PORRITT	249	2	As for 56-2	Accept
GEOFFREY THOMAS BURTON	271	2	As for 56-2	Accept
FRASER LINDSAY HORROCKS	289	2	As for 56-2	Accept
JOHN COLIN BLACK	292	2	As for 56-2	Accept
BLAIR PATRICK SHORTALL	302	2	As for 56-2	Accept
JAMES TRUEBRIDGE & SUE YEREX	304	2	As for 56-2	Accept
ATIHAU - WHANGANUI INCORPORATION	309	2	As for 56-2	Accept
DONALD JAMES POLSON	329	2	As for 56-2	Accept
	X524	2	DEAN GREGORY SPARKES - Support	Accept
GEORGE ANTHONY MATTHEWS	333	2	As for 56-2	Accept
STEWART LESLIE MATTHEWS	342	2	As for 56-2	Accept
ROB KIRK & TIM MATTHEWS	453	2	As for 56-2	Accept
ANTHONY DAVID & GAYLENE MAY ATKINS	56	3	Normal farm activities including vegetation clearance and land disturbance shall generally be allowed on HEL unless there are more than minor adverse effects, which are unable to be mitigated, avoided, remedied or offset in any way.	Accept
RICHARD JOHN & CORAL EVELYN EDWARDS	57	3	As for 56-3	Accept
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	3	As for 56-3	Accept
PUKEKAHU FARM LTD	60	3	As for 56-3	Accept
DAVID EARLE ROBINS MATTHEWS	65	3	As for 56-3	Accept
RUSSELL SULLIVAN	94	3	As for 56-3	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
BRUCE EDWARD CULLEY	98	3	As for 56-3	Accept
ALLAN FRANCIS O'NEILL & F J O'NEILL & SONS	113	3	As for 56-3	Accept
PETER ALEXANDER ANDERSON	121	3	As for 56-3	Accept
HEATHER OLIVER	144	4	As for 56-3	Accept
	X495	93	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
WINSTON OLIVER	145	5	As for 56-3	Accept
JOHN COLLIER DONALD	154	3	As for 56-3	Accept
BARRY & GLENDA WADE	155	3	As for 56-3	Accept
COLIN CASELEY	156	3	As for 56-3	Accept
WARRICK & SALLY STREET	157	3	As for 56-3	Accept
KERRY JOHN THOMPSON	175	3	As for 56-3	Accept
DONALD ALAN WINDLE	186	3	As for 56-3	Accept
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	4	As for 56-3	Accept
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	5	As for 56-3	Accept
PATRICK WILLIAM CARROLL	189	3	As for 56-3	Accept
STUART MC NIE	198	4	As for 56-3	Accept
ROSEANNE PARKES	217	3	As for 56-3	Accept
DAVID JOHN WELLS	223	3	As for 56-3	Accept
RICHARD PORRITT	247	3	As for 56-3	Accept
MATTHEW BLACK	248	3	As for 56-3	Accept
ANDREW PORRITT	249	3	As for 56-3	Accept
ATIHOU - WHANGANUI INCORPORATION	309	3	As for 56-3	Accept
GEOFFREY THOMAS BURTON	271	3	As for 56-3	Accept
FRASER LINDSAY HORROCKS	289	3	As for 56-3	Accept
JOHN COLIN BLACK	292	3	As for 56-3	Accept
BLAIR PATRICK SHORTALL	302	3	As for 56-3	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
JAMES TRUEBRIDGE & SUE YEREX	304	3	As for 56-3	Accept
DONALD JAMES POLSON	329	3	As for 56-3	Accept
	X524	3	DEAN GREGORY SPARKES - Support	Accept
GEORGE ANTHONY MATTHEWS	333	3	As for 56-3	Accept
STEWART LESLIE MATTHEWS	342	3	As for 56-3	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	8	Normal farm practices must remain permitted activities, without conditions	Accept in part
	X514	5	VELMA JUNE SIEMONEK - Support	Accept in part
B C & J E GOWER PARTNERSHIP	106	4	Scrub regrowth clearance should not be included in the restrictions that the ONE PLAN has put in place as noted in 5.3a	Accept in part
VECTOR GAS LIMITED	115	7	Vector supports retaining without further modification the following, under section 5.4.1 Accelerated Erosion, Policy 5-3: Regulation of vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible Land. "(a) Vegetation clearance and land disturbance, including excavation, filling, tracking and soil cultivation, shall generally not be allowed on Highly Erodible Land unless: (iii) the activity is for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a fenceline or other infrastructure and there is no reasonable alternative location,"	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	51	Remove Policy 5.3 until a more appropriate definition of Highly Erodible Land is available to access the effect on the four well beings of the Ruapehu communities under the LGA.	Reject
	X481	116	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
DEAN ROBERT SHERSON	158	1	I oppose this policy as the Council has failed to show that normal farm practices are reducing the productive capacity or degrading the life supporting capacity of this land	Reject
BRIAN DOUGLAS SHERSON	159	2	As for 158-1	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	3	ONTRACK supports Policies 5.3 and would like to be involved in developing best management processes.	Accept in part
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	1	Drafting of a new rule demanding that clearing of regenerating vegetation (eg Manuka and carpet fern) be as of right in the proposed HEL land area in the One Plan. It is my considered opinion that if draconian regulations are enacted against me my farm would revert to scrub within 10 years. This would be an economic disaster for my family.	Accept in part
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	2	I demand that our harvesting of exotic plantation be as of right.	Accept in part
	X501	15	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	1	As for 187-1.	Accept in part
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	2	As for 187-2	Accept in part
	X501	16	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	10	A. Retain, without further modification Policy 5-3 and in particular part (a)(iii).	Accept in part
	X485	2	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
GENESIS POWER LTD	268	21	Retain Policy 5-3	Accept in part
	X511	128	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	9	Delete Policy 5.3	Accept in part
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	10	Clarify the status of forests planted under 5-3(a)v as to whether harvest of these forests will be allowed as under 5-3(a) iv and in particular wishes such activities to be permitted.	Accept
	X501	113	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	9	Rayonier support non regulatory methods for allowing activities to continue that provide long term benefits for sustainable management of the region and limit erosion and no decision is sought.	Accept
	X501	135	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL	311	16	DELETE "significantly."	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
CARE ASSN INC				
	X511	134	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	8	As for 311-16	Accept
	X531	50	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	8	As for 311-16	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	20	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	21	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	28	Amend Policy 5-3 (a)(iii) by replacement of the word fencelines with the words essential facilities or activities	Reject
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	10	Reword policy 5.3 (a) (v) to also allow for subsequent harvesting and reestablishment activities	Accept in part
	X501	150	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	64	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	6	Amend policy 5-3 to ensure that the policy of generally not allowing" vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible land only applies to that land which is in fact highly erodible, as opposed to the blanket area shown on the map in Appendix A.	Accept
	X501	189	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	60	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	7	Alternatively [to 331/6] amend the definition of Highly Erodible Land	Accept
	X501	190	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X520	61	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	8	Alternatively [to 331/6] amend the map in Appendix A	Accept
	X501	191	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	62	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	9	Retain clauses (a) (iv) and (v) of policy 5.3	Accept in part
	X501	192	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	63	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
GRAHME WATKINS	335	1	No specific decision requested but is opposed to the clearing of Manuka.	Reject
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	17	Policy 5-3 (a)(iii) That this policy be retained.	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	23	A definition of what is an operative farm plan constitutes, ENM suggest that operative farm plan is one that is following the recommendations /works schedule.	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	23	Either insert an additional Policy 5-3 provision (a)(iii) as follows: "(iii) the activity is for the purpose of establishing or maintaining infrastructure and development of energy generation facilities. Or, insert a cross-reference in Policy 5-3 (a) to the policies and objectives of Chapter 3 in relation to providing for infrastructure and energy generation. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Policy 5-3 as proposed in this submission.	Reject
	X522	131	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	24	Amend Policy 5-3(b) to include: or land instability, except for temporary construction activities in relation to creating and maintaining infrastructure and renewable energy developments. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Policy 5-3 as proposed in this submission.	Reject
	X522	132	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED -	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Support in part	
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	37	Recognise the role that environmental management plans play in avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects from erosion; and Delete the words in (iii) to read as follows: (iii) The activity is for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a fence line	Reject
	X511	129	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	38	Insert a new point (v) as follows and renumber existing (v) as (vi): (v)The activity is for the purpose of establishing and maintaining infrastructure and a comprehensive environmental management plan has been submitted to the Council.	Reject
	X511	130	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X522	133	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	55	Meridian opposes in part Policy 5-3 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Add a new clause to address renewable energy generation facilities as follows: The activity is for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a renewable energy generation facility in accordance with a renewable energy development plan. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	131	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	56	Meridian opposes in part Policy 5-3 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (a)(iii) to delete the words: and there is no reasonable alternative location". Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	132	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	57	Meridian opposes in part Policy 5-3 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (b) as follows: (b)Any vegetation clearance or land disturbance that is allowed on highly erodible land shall either: I) not significantly increase the risk of erosion or land stability; or ii) have Acceptable standards in whole farm business plans and renewable energy	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			development plans to ensure no substantial increase in erosion risk. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	
	X511	133	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	23	Retain policy as written.	Accept in part
	X506	9	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept in part
	X511	135	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
	X522	456	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
DANIEL WEBB	378	2	I can only suggest that it needs to be taken right out as this will be impossible to police and is Horizons going to prosecute every hill country farmer? The District plan has provisions to prosecute stupidity. Horizons should adopt an education and advisory approach on this matter	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	54	We seek the following decision from the Regional Council - that the following amendment is made to this provision in the form of an addition: (c) all activities will take into consideration the objectives and policies of Chapter 4. (d) in the discovery of koiwi (bones) and/or any artifacts, all activities will cease, the Regional Council and local Maori will be contacted immediately.	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	54	As for 386-54	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	3	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes their opposition to this provision.	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	9	As for 387-3	Reject
MICHAEL GEORGE PETERSEN	399	3	Removal of the personal impositions of qualifying for the Farm Business Plan in favour of a friendly and affordable scheme based on education and healthy sustainability of the community.	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	8	Retain this section	Accept in part
	X485	1	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			ZEALAND – Support	part
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	4	Amend policy 5-3 to ensure that the policy of "generally not allowing" vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible land only applies to that land which is in fact highly erodible, as opposed to the blanket area shown on the map in Appendix A. Alternatively amend the mapping of highly erodible land as requested under our submission "Schedules General.	Accept in part
	X501	245	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X520	117	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	32	Delete policy 5.3. Re-draft to state the effects of accelerated erosion which are to be avoided on highly erodible land.	Accept in part
	X506	39	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Oppose	Reject
	X511	136	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X525	151	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Reject
GRANT ADKINS	428	1	Complete compensation must be paid to any landowners who are forced to retire land.	Reject
LANDLINK LTD	440	28	Insert into policy 5-3 (vii) The activity is for carbon farming under any national or international carbon trading frame work or agreement to produce carbon credits.	Reject
GEORGE R ROSS	441	2	Policy 5.3 (b) Vegetation Clearance and Land Disturbance on HEL - Delete "that is allowed".	Accept
TIM MATTHEWS	445	2	Change Policy 5-3 to "Vegetation clearance and land disturbance shall generally be allowed on HEL unless there are more than minor adverse effects, which are unable to be mitigated, avoided, remedied or offset in any way."	Accept in part
	X531	49	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI PROVINCE OF FEDERATED FARMS INC	446	2	As for 445-2	Accept in part
	X506	7	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			GREEN PARTY - Oppose	
TIM MATTHEWS	445	3	<p>Normal farm activities including vegetation clearance and land disturbance shall generally be allowed on HEL unless there are more than minor adverse effects, which are unable to be mitigated, avoided, remedied or offset in any way.</p> <p>2. Council undertakes a section 32 (RMA) analysis sufficient to identify cost and benefits in dollar terms, to adequately measure the impact's of the proposed regime, and the benefits of the policies, regulations and intent of the Proposed One Plan, particularly in terms of section 5 (2) of the RMA.</p> <p>3. Council withdraws the proposed provisions of the One Plan relating to regulation of activities on H.E.L. until:</p> <p>(a) Sufficient evidence of significant adverse effects are occurring from farm activities is documented, and</p> <p>(b) HEL has been more accurately defined and notified to land owners, and</p> <p>(c) Reasonable rules to address identified significant adverse effects have been developed in consultation with land owners and managers.</p>	Accept in part
ROB KIRK & TIM MATTHEWS	453	3	As for 445-3	Accept in part
WANGANUI PROVINCE OF FEDERATED FARMS INC	446	3	As for 445-3	Accept in part
DAVID AISLABIE ON BEHALF OF THE WHANGANUI BRANCH OF THE GREEN PARTY	451	6	No specific decision requested but submits that this particular exception to land disturbance on Highly Erodible Land not generally being allowed is far too easy to abuse. Allowing tracking "for the purpose of establishing or maintaining a fence line or other infrastructure" is a loophole that you could drive a D8 bulldozer through!	Reject
	X523	8	TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD - Oppose	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	20	No decision requested, however submitter notes: This is a reasonable and well articulated policy - support all	Accept in part
	X506	8	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept in part

11. Policy 5-4 Regulation of significant disturbance on land that is not Highly Erodible Land

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
BERT JUDD	96	6	Keep heavy stock off high and steep country and replant with hybrid willows as they have rapid growth, good root structure to cling to rock shale plus also giving great shelter. Open up tree nurseries again and cloning a must and subsidise farmers	Reject
DEAN ROBERT SHERSON	158	2	It does not identify the proportion, scale or intensity of HEL on a particular property. Land owners cannot readily identify HEL on their property	Accept
	X495	95	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
BRIAN DOUGLAS SHERSON	159	1	As for 158-2	Accept
	X495	94	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	4	ONTRACK supports Policies 5.4 and would like to be involved in developing best management processes.	Accept in part
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	10	Delete Policy 5.4	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	51	Decision Sought: Amend Policy 5-4 by adding 'Significant' at the commencement of the policy.	Reject
	X511	139	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	25	Retain Policy 5-4, Regulation of significant land disturbance on land that is not Highly Erodible Land, as read. Any similar provisions to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the retention of Policy 5-4 as proposed in this submission.	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	39	Retain policy as notified.	Reject
	X511	137	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
DANIEL WEBB	378	3	I can only suggest that it needs to be taken right out as this will be impossible to police and is Horizons going to prosecute every hill country farmer? The District plan has provisions to prosecute stupidity. Horizons should adopt an education and advisory approach on this matter	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	55	We seek the following decision from the Regional Council - that the following amendment is made to this provision in the form of an addition: (c) all activities will take into consideration the objectives and policies of Chapter 4. (d) in the discovery of koiwi (bones) and/or any artefacts, all activities will cease, the Regional Council and local Maori will be contacted immediately.	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	55	As for 386-55	Reject
	X525	152	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Accept
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	7	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision	Accept in part
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	64	Policy 5-4 to read Land disturbance on land that is not Highly Erodible Land shall be regulated in order to avoid increases in the risk of erosion land instability, or sediment discharges to waterways.	Reject
	X527	381	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	9	Retain this section	Reject
	X492	70	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	33	Reword Policy 5-4 as follows: "Land disturbance on land that is not highly erodible land shall be managed by a range of methods, in order to avoid any significant increase in the risk of erosion, land instability or sediment discharges to waterways". (or words to that effect)	Reject
	X484	78	WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY - Support	Withdrawn
	X506	42	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Oppose	Accept
	X511	138	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X531	51	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
TIM MATTHEWS	445	4	The Plan Policies and consequent rules be amended to permit normal farm activities to occur without consent, particularly where no	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			significant or only temporary adverse effects occur.	
	X495	96	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
WANGANUI PROVINCE OF FEDERATED FARMS INC	446	4	As for 445-4	Accept
	X495	97	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
ROB KIRK & TIM MATTHEWS	453	4	As for 445-4	Accept
	X495	98	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept

12. Policy 5-5 Codes of practice and best management practices

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	5	ONTRACK supports Policies 5.5 and would like to be involved in developing best management processes.	Accept in part
SNOW COUNTRY GARDENS LTD	178	2	That the 1) The Soil and Water management Waimarino District - Better Management Practice Guidelines and 2) NZGAP - Hort NZ's approved Supplier Programme be incorporated into regulatory framework of the One Plan so that market gardening in the Water Management Zones Whau_3b, Whau_3c and Whau_3d be a permitted activity.	Reject
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	11	Delete Policy 5.5 (b)	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	3	Allocate some resources toward ensuring land and water based biodiversity data and mapping is available to industry for incorporation into Company GIS systems at little of no cost.	Reject
	X501	101	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	16	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	4	Create a web portal or disk for use by small scale players where the classifications and associated data can be easily scaled and matched	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			against cadastral boundaries.	
	X495	99	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X501	106	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	17	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	5	Consider working with industry to create a small compact set of specific requirements applicable to defined catchment/geological conditions that combined with the Forestry Environmental Code form the basis of permitted activity status subject to rules.	Reject
	X501	107	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	18	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	6	Look at revising the extent to which controlled consent is required given the above.	Accept in part
	X501	108	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	19	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	6	Recognition and endorsement by Horizons of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association.	Reject
	X501	132	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	43	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	8	As for 310-6	Reject
	X501	134	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	45	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	29	Retain Policy 5-5 and include a new policy (5-5d) committing Horizons to adopt Accepted COP in a timely and effective manner.	Reject
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	11	Retain and give effect to Policy 5.5 through reference to the NZFOA Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry in the rules in section 12 of the plan.	Reject
	X501	151	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X520	65	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	18	That this policy be retained and that Council recognise Transits various codes of practice and best management practices as being appropriate to ensure that any adverse effects arising from state highway maintenance and construction works are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Transit would like to work with Council to ensure that its various codes of practice and other good practice initiatives will provide an environmental benefit to the region.	Reject
	X502	85	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Reject
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	34	Include the material from Policies 5-5 in Part II of the One Plan, probably in Chapter 12.	Reject
	X481	590	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	25	No decision requested, however submitter notes: ENM remain concerned over the lack of clarity regarding their (codes of practice) legal status (see general comments).	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	52	Decision Sought: Retain Policy 5-5.	Accept
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	40	Retain policy as proposed.	Accept in part
	X502	87	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
	X511	140	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
RAVENSDOWN FERTILISER CO-OPERATIVE LIMITED	379	8	Ravensdown seeks Council to address its concerns regarding Farm Plans as outlined in other parts of this submission.	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	52	We seek the following decision from the Regional Council - that the following amendment is made to this provision in the form of an addition: (d) Resource consent applicants are required to undertake remedial action to rectify adverse effects to environs. (e) The Regional Council will lobby the relevant legislative bodies to impose penalties for non compliance that: i) are appropriate to the adverse environmental effects	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			<p>ii) account for the remedial process, and</p> <p>iii) will act as a deterrent for those intending not to comply.</p> <p>(f) The relevant Maori/ iwi and/or hapu organisation shall be notified of any disturbance to sites of significance for Maori</p> <p>(g) The relevant Maori/ iwi and/or hapu organisation shall be notified of any discovery of koiwi (bones) or artefacts' and any type of activity shall stop until the appropriate processes have been completed.</p>	
	X502	88	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	52	As for 386- 52	Reject
	X502	89	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	56	<p>We seek the following decision from the Regional Council - that the following amendment is made to this provision in the form of an addition:</p> <p>(c) all activities will take into consideration the objectives and policies of Chapter 4.</p> <p>(d) in the discovery of koiwi (bones) and/or any artefacts, all activities will cease, the Regional Council and local Maori will be contacted immediately.</p>	Reject
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	56	AS for 386-56	Reject
	X525	153	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Accept
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	8	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	10	Retain this section	Accept in part
	X502	86	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND FERTILISER MANUFACTURERS RESEARCH ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED	415	6	Fert Research supports development of codes of practice and best management practices, and requests that the intent and approach with respect to these initiatives be retained.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND FERTILISER	415	7	The council look to more strongly supporting these initiatives by	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MANUFACTURERS RESEARCH ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED			including them as requirements for permitted activity status and including web site links.	
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	14	Amend Objective 5-1(a) as suggested.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	5	Retain and give effect to Policy 5-5 through rules in the plan.	Accept in part
	X501	246	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X502	84	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
	X520	118	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	34	Amend 5.4.2 as follows: "Where appropriate, codes of practice targeted at achieving sustainable land use will be recognised within the regulatory framework" (or words to that effect)"	Accept in part
	X506	40	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Oppose	Reject
	X511	142	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	21	Support all but advocate non-industry involvement in the development of codes of practice.	Accept in part
	X506	4	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept in part
	X511	141	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part

13. Methods General

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	46	That Horizons amend Section 5-5 Methods, to provide relief to the reservation about costs and benefits of SLUI expressed above.	Reject
	X500	101	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X507	101	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X515	101	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X517	233	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X532	101	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject

14. Method 5-1 - Sustainable Land Use Initiative - Hill Country Erosion

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	12	I also believe that detailed methodology for the various non-regulatory projects should also be included within the One Plan - not just summaries in table form. This includes a full and explicit outline of what is involved in a Sustainable Land Use Initiative (SLUI) Farm Plan - including the business accounts.	Reject
	X495	101	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	13	I support the non-regulatory stance taken on farmers getting SLUI farm plans. I submit that this stance should be extended to all farmers.	Reject
	X495	102	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	16	There should also be an accreditation system set up with agencies such as Agriquality that can check that such plans are Acceptable, to allay farmer concerns about having to open their books to the Horizons.	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	17	Farms with SLUI farm plans or equivalent must be monitored. In particular I submit that every 5 years, or after a 1:100 year storm if happens earlier, the present erosion part of the New Zealand Land Resource Inventory (NZLRI) units should be reassessed. At the same time the impact of browsing animal pests on retired areas should be monitored.	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	18	If they don't already SLUI plans should include a section on how to monitor the quality of water leaving the property. By water quality I don't mean the Stream Health Monitoring Kit (SHMAK) or Waiora, I mean taking samples in an appropriate manner and	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			<p>sending them to a laboratory for analysis, for P, sediment and bacteria levels. There should be simple calendars showing when to do this through the year, and Horizons should form a Memorandum of Understanding or other protocol with a laboratory to ensure that farmers can sign up with the lab and the results go both to the lab and to Horizons. Maybe the lab could send reminders to the farmers when its time to test. Horizons could even identify appropriate places for sampling to occur and include a map showing such in the SLUI farm plan.</p>	
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	19	<p>Horizons need to acknowledge that all farmers in its region are an important asset.</p> <p>I submit that Horizons needs to commission a report about the history of hill country farming from all the different communities that exist or have existed around the region. This report should focus on how environmental issues were related to social and economic issues.</p>	Reject
	X495	103	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Reject Support
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	20	<p>I also submit that Horizons needs to focus on cost-efficient mapping which encourages farmer buy-in, in a process similar to that provided by the Soils Underpinning Business Success programme - as part of the SLUI concept.</p>	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	21	<p>I submit that a more transparent and accessible forum needs to be established to allow a range of experts and interested parties to have input on further development of the SLUI concept into the future.</p>	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	23	<p>I also submit that the term "active management" as defined in the One Plan must be redefined - and broadened. It must also be recognised that sensitive landscapes being used for pastoral or production forestry uses can be looked after through "active management"</p> <p>I'm not saying that all that is now used should be farmed, but maybe we need to take our time and really ensure that farmers are the ones driving the decisions on how to get more sustainable.</p>	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	6	ONTRACK would prefer a quicker response target than 2017 to establish farm business plans on Highly Erodible Land.	Reject
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	7	ONTRACK would like to be involved in this project.	Reject
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	6	Promote the voluntary management of HEL by land owners and occupiers	Accept in part
	X495	100	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	50	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
POWERCO LIMITED	272	14	J1 - Recognition that there are links to Policies 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-4 and 5-5.	Reject
POWERCO LIMITED	272	15	J2 - Refer Submission P for amended definition of "whole farm business plan"	Reject
CLAYTON & MICHELLE POTTS	361	5	No decision requested but following objection raised The One Plan requirement of undertaking "Farm Plans" has undertones of a Big Brother attitude. We will not be part of any such plan where a council office controls what and how we farm our property. To us the One Plan is another opportunity for the council at the landowners' expense in regard to the amount of consents required for each activity. There has been no indication as to what the cost of carrying out such a vast scheme will be particularly to the sector directly affected the most, the landowner	Reject
ELAINE GUBB & MICHAEL SANDERSON	362	5	As for 361-5	Reject
BRUCE NOEL RHODES	368	2	Non requested but opposes any measures other than the Eurogap protocol	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	24	Add 'Department of Conservation' to list of parties included in the 'Sustainable Land Use Hill Country' project.	Reject
	X490	18	TARANAKI / WHANGANUI CONSERVATION BOARD - Support	Reject
	X522	457	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED -	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X529	10	Oppose ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU - Support	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	52	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	5	The main tool the plan identifies for achieving this is the use of "Whole Farm Plans" with forestry being included as an integral part of these plans and Arbor Management supports this non regulatory initiative.	Accept in part
	X501	216	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
C R GRACE, M HURLEY, HINAU STATION LTD, DUNCAN LAND CO LTD, TE KUMU ESTATES LTD, OTAIRI STATION LTD, A HURLEY KNOWN JOINTLY AS "THE HUNTERVILLE HILL COUNTRY OBJECTORS"	422	10	The Council needs to reduce significantly the number of instances where WFBP's or Resource Consents are needed. Council also needs to resist the temptation of seeing WFBP's as a solution. Council needs to find ways to simplify the effects and implications of the Plan and to find ways to incentives its implementation at the lowest possible, sustainable cost	Accept
As above	422	11	Horizons must find a way to ensure that only risky major works require the expense of a Resource Consent application or the production of a WFBP	Accept in part
As above	422	7	In our submission, the Council needs to give serious consideration to mitigating the effects of reduced income and depopulation. If the Council does not do so, the sustainability of the programme will seriously be at risk and no-one will benefit.	Reject
As above	422	8	We submit that there needs to be more clearly stated policies with regard to the incentives for voluntary retirement of at risk land.	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	35	Amend 5.5 as follows: Targets "50% of properties with Highly Erodible Land will have an operative whole farm business plan or have implemented methods to effectively reduce accelerated erosion by 2017."	Reject

15. Method 5-2 - Whanganui Catchment Strategy

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MICHAEL STANWICK	44	1	In the above context of the Environment report and with reference to the One Plan and the problem of extensive soil erosion in the Wanganui hill country, I propose extensive replanting of locally sourced, native forest species, suitably fenced, where erosion threats are imminent or occurring in those areas where commercial forestry is not a viable option. If this replanting is considered as a serious measure against erosion threats and a serious tool in erosion management, I propose the preservation of the resultant native stands of forest be considered in perpetuity.	Reject
MICHAEL STANWICK	44	2	I further propose that the preservation concept be ring-fenced, also in perpetuity, from the harvesting of any resultant native forest stands (from soil erosion replanting) and rating relief be apportioned on a paddock by paddock basis where farmers are encouraged to set aside land for the above purposes.	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	92	Identification of the part WDC will play in the development of this strategy, and the potential cost to farmers in the Wanganui District.	Reject
	X481	552	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	25	Add 'Department of Conservation' to list of parties included in the 'Whanganui Catchment strategy' project.	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	53	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	16	Retain this section	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	37	Amend 5.5 as follows: "50% of properties within the Wanganui Catchment with Highly Erodible Land will have an operative whole farm business plan or have implemented methods to effectively reduce accelerated erosion in place by 2017."	Reject

16. Method 5-3 - Sustainable Land Use Initiative - Soil Health

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	22	I submit that it is also important to continue to develop a culture of farmers working as communities to resolve issues in their area. The Sustainable Land Management Groups model can also be helpful in kick-starting this role.	Accept
HAINSWORTH - KELFER PARTNERSHIP	139	1	Horizons provide a list of farm plan providers and Farm Advisors. Horizons work alongside Farmers, providing a list of farm plan providers and Farm Advisors. The Advisors are to encourage the implementation of strategies that conserve our natural heritage as outlined in the One Plan.	Reject
HAINSWORTH - KELFER PARTNERSHIP	139	2	Farmers will not have to disclose financial information to complete a farm plan. Farmers will not be expected to disclose their financial information, however written consent will be given by the Farmer to their Accountant to inform the Farm Advisor if farmers are able to afford to retire land, if land retirement is required.	Accept
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	17	Targets INSERT - All current and future intensive pig farming operations	Reject
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	9	As for 311-2	Reject
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	9	As for 311-2	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	53	Decision Sought: Delete the project "Sustainable Land Use Initiative - Soil Health" or amend the reference to VegFed to Horticulture NZ.	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	51	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
JAMES BULL HOLDINGS LIMITED	400	3	The Horizons Plan as proposed includes reference to Land Policy, Section 5.5 under "Soil Health initiative", deciding who will be major cropper/horticulturist and major contractors who will be required to operate under undisclosed regimes by certain dates. This proposal is repugnant bureaucracy. I can offer a quite different approach using current practice and common sense.	Reject
	X531	52	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND -	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	15	Support in part Retain this section	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	22	No decision requested, however submitter notes: "support the project"	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	23	Support in part would want to see non-industry stakeholders involved in the development of programme of action	Accept
TE IWĪ O NGATI TUKOREHE TRUST	461	4	The Trust would like to see more effort by the One Plan into actively creating natural and cultural landscape buffer zones for the Horowhenua south west coast by preventing peri-urban subdivision on coastal foredunes, as proposed at Waikawa, Hokio, Waitare, Foxton and further north	Reject

17. Method 5-4 - Sustainable Land Use Codes of Practice and Best Management Practices

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	8	ONTRACK would like to be involved in this project.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	31	Retain the Method as is in the Proposed One Plan	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	21	WITHDRAWN Enable the use of codes of practice and other sector-based initiatives for sustainable land use, construction, production and operating methods as part of a catchment focused FARM Strategy.	Withdrawn
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	50	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	14	Retain this section	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	38	Amend Target 3 to state "90% of pastured based farms are managed in accordance with agreed sector-based best management practice by 2017". (or words to this effect)	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION	460	24	Support in part would want to see non-industry stakeholders involved in the	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND			development of codes of best practice.	
	X506	5	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept in part

18. Method 5-5 - Land Research, Monitoring and Reporting Programme

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	9	ONTRACK would like to be involved in this project.	Reject
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	49	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	13	Retain this section	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	25	Support	Accept
	X506	10	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept

19. Method 5-6 - Infrastructure Protection

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ON TRACK (NZ RAILWAYS CORPORATION)	161	10	ONTRACK would like to be involved in this project.	Accept in part
GENESIS POWER LTD	268	22	Retain Method 5-5 Infrastructure Protection.	Accept
	X511	144	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
POWERCO LIMITED	272	16	The range of participants be expanded to explicitly include electricity and gas distribution owners.	Accept in part
POWERCO LIMITED	272	17	Recognition that there is link to Policy 5-3.	Reject
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	18	Project Description INSERT Review the continuation of paper roads in the dune systems that currently have permitted use for recreational purposes. REASON Off-road vehicle recreation is clearly evidenced as being wreckreation.	Reject
MANAWATU	312	10	As for 311-18.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ESTUARY TRUST				
	X500	206	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X507	206	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X515	206	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X517	115	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X532	206	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	10	As for 311-18.	Reject
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	19	Amend the second sentence under this method to read: 'Infrastructure, such as roading can in some instances be a contributor to erosion _ _.' Transit is happy to be identified as an agency which would work with Council on this project.	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	26	Amend Method 5.5: Infrastructure Protection Project to include reference to renewable energy development. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Method 5.5 and/or Section 5.7 as proposed in this submission.	Reject
	X525	237	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	41	Retain Infrastructure Protection method	Accept
	X511	145	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	47	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	11	Retain this section	Accept

20. Method 5-7 - Education in Schools - Land

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SUSTAINABLE WHANGANUI	176	7	The Youth Environment Forum should be included in Education in Schools and should be acknowledged in the One Plan.	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	48	WITHDRAWN Retain Method in Section 5.5.	Withdrawn
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	12	Retain this section	Accept
DIANA BAIRD	443	14	Therefore, my submission is that Horizons abandon the "Green-rig" project.	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	1	We strongly urge HRC to extend this programme to general media programmes, field days, discussion groups, etc. Older age groups need education in this area just as much as school children and rules are no substitute for a lack of understanding of soil and water processes.	Accept in part
	X501	265	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	26	Good initiative	Accept
	X506	11	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Accept

21. 5.6 Anticipated Environmental Results - Table

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC	311	19	INSERT Indicator Hard structures installed on a prograding coast	Reject
MANAWATU ESTUARY TRUST	312	11	As for 311-19	Reject
	X500	208	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X507	208	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X515	208	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X532	208	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Oppose	
GEORGE & CHRISTINA PATON	313	11	As for 311-19	Reject
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	20	That this Anticipated Environmental Result be retained in the plan.	Accept
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	26	In first column add anticipated quantitative and measurable results to be achieved by 2012 and by 2017, in terms of the indicators set out in the third column and any other relevant outcome measures. These should include identification of a target date for meeting the relevant turbidity and clarity standards in Schedule D with 'minimum standards to be achieved by 2012 and 2017 for rivers which do not currently meet the standards.	Reject
	X506	12	MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY - Support	Reject
	X511	143	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X531	53	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	10	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision	Reject
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	17	Retain this section, but amend "net reduction" to "significant reduction" or something more specific that has the same meaning.	Reject
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	15	That the Anticipated Environmental Result be replaced to read: "The turbidity standards at half median for each water management zone, specified in Schedule D, Table D17, will be met"	Reject
DIANA BAIRD	443	15	Therefore, my submission is that Horizons restate these aims less emphatically, or with wider parameters.	Reject

22. 5.7 Explanations and Principal Reasons

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
SUSTAINABLE WHANGANUI	176	8	We seek a policy which has a mix of carrots (incentives), sticks (regulations) and sermons (public education).	Accept in part
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	27	Amend Section 5.7: Explanations and Principal Reasons to include reference to alternative low impact land uses such as wind farms or providing for renewable energy. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Section 5.7 as proposed in this submission.	Reject
	X525	238	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Reject
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	11	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision	Reject
ALISON MARGARET MILDON	401	59	Some acknowledgement could be made in 5-7 Explanations and Principal Reasons of the opportunity to avoid some causes of erosion altogether.	Accept in part
	X527	376	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Accept in part

23. Chapter 12 General

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PETER LEFEAUX NEVINS	29	2	Removal of Chapter 12 (TWELVE) of the Plan and introduce relevant rules after such time as MWRC (Horizons) has carried out sufficient evaluation and consultation with effected parties. This is legally required in the terms of the Resource Management Act and the Local Government Act 2002.	Reject
	X502	205	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
LYNDA JEAN BAINES	40	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	210	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GLENDALUSCOMBE	41	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	211	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
HELEN CLAIRE	42	2	As for 29-2	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MCKENZIE				
	X502	212	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ALAN GEORGE & CATHERINE SUSAN DONALDSON	62	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	213	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
	X509	2	WANGANUI BRANCH OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF WOMEN OF NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
BARBARA ANNE TAYLOR	66	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	214	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SALLY JANE & KEITH THOMAS SHERSON	67	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	215	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
PETERSEN FAMILY TRUST	68	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	216	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
KERRY BLACKBURN	69	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	217	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
PETER & MAXENE HOWIE	70	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	218	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
JUDY JOHANSEN	71	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	219	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
PETER DOUGLAS HAWKINS	72	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	220	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
BRIGETTE NEESON	73	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	221	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ELAINE COUPER	74	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	222	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
MIRIAM JANE TARRANT	75	2	As for 29-2	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X502	223	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
RODNEY BREARS	76	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	224	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
AJIT SINGH BELLING	78	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	225	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
WITHDRAWN LYALL WALKER	79	2	WITHDRAWN As for 29-2	Withdrawn
	X502	226	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SUSAN CONRAD	80	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	227	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
EDWARD NELSON TARRANT	81	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	228	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SHERYL YVONNE FRASER	82	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	229	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GENEE LEONIE LUDLAM	83	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	230	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
AMY COUPER	84	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	231	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
LANCE & MANNIX HOUPAPA	85	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	232	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GEOFF & JOSE HEALE	86	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	233	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ANNIE CARMICHAEL	87	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	234	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GRAHAM CARMICHAEL	88	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	235	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
EVELYN HEALE	90	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	236	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
MERLE HEMOPO	91	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	237	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SEAN ROBERT TRAFFORD & ALEXANDRA ROGERS	92	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	238	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
KEN MARSHALL	95	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	239	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
PETER & GAIL GOWER	119	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	179	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GARRY BURGESS DICKIE	120	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	180	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
G S HALL	128	2	As for 29-2.	Reject
	X502	181	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
S GALL	129	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	182	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
N COLLIER	130	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	183	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ROSS CHARLES & JUSTINE FRANCES WALKER	131	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	184	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	185	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
PAUL ALEXANDER MC GLADE & EUNICE ROBIN WEIR	134	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	186	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Oppose	
R T WALLER	135	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	187	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
N C TYLEE	136	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	188	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
GORDON ROBERT GOWER	146	2	As for 29-2.	Reject
	X502	191	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
IVAN BRENT & ROSEMARY LYNETTE WATTS	150	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	190	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
LUKE CHRISTOPHER GREEN	183	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	195	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
DEAN SADDLER GOWER	184	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	196	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
RON & SANDRA CAREY	193	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	197	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
NEVILLE FRANCIS WHEELER	194	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	198	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SPLIT ROCK STATION LTD	199	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	199	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
NEIL & ANNIE PETERSEN	210	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	200	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
TREVOR OWEN COUPER	212	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	201	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
TANIA FAYE BOLTON	216	2	As for 29-2	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X502	202	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ROBERT GEORGE & COLLEEN MARY DONALDSON	219	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	203	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
TREVOR ALLEN JOHNSON	233	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	204	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
SCOTT GOWER	254	4	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	240	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
KAWAUTAHI FARMS LTD	321	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	206	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
J N TURNER	322	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	207	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
G P & C S DEMPSEY	324	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	208	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
DIGBY MILNE BRICE	345	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	209	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
KARL SPLITT	169	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	192	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
KEVIN JOHN SIEMONEK	170	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	193	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
P JOHN CHUMUN	171	2	As for 29-2	Reject
	X502	194	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Accept
ICHYTHUS CONSULTING	59	4	That Net Water Balance (NWB) criteria will be given consideration in rules and consenting criteria/conditions.	Reject
HELEN MARGARET IRWIN LILEY	191	2	Removal of Chapter 12 and provide a much more accurate evaluation and consultation of the local economy. It is clearly apparent to those of us who live here that we can attend to	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			environmental protection and by continuing to exist, provide a very useful safety valve for other farming areas prone to ravages such as drought.	
VELMA JUNE SIEMONEK	167	2	No specific decision requested for chapter 12. (Removal of chapter 5 was suggested in submission point 167/1)	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	141	[Reference to Rules 12-1, 12-3, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6] Maintenance of the infrastructure including district road network and the carrying out of upgrade works be a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	X481	206	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	144	Add a new rule providing for the normal maintenance and minor improvements of infrastructure including the roading network under the control of the Road Controlling Authority as a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	X481	209	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	24	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	93	Add a new rule providing for the normal maintenance and minor improvements of the roading network under the control of the road controlling authority as a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	X481	649	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	23	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	132	[Reference to Rules 12-2, 12-3, 12-7 and 12-8] That 'slope' is a blunt measure for highly erodible land, and more scientific methods must be used, including soil type, etc.	Reject
	X481	197	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Reject
	X501	4	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	8	Ensure that the vegetation trimming and land disturbance activities necessary for operating, maintaining replacing and upgrading the integrity of the National Grid are either permitted or not regulated. This can be achieved by the following decisions requested:	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
POWERCO LIMITED	272	32	Powerco supports the overall approach being pursued by the Council but will work to ensure that the essential works (including vegetation clearance and some land disturbance) which need to take place in order to ensure the efficient operation of network utilities are not unduly inhibited. Powerco reserves its position in relation to Chapter 12 pending the Council's consideration of the Glossary definitions.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	74	Add a new rule providing for the normal maintenance and minor improvements of the roading network under the control of the road controlling authority as a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	X481	435	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X498	22	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	91	As for 280-74	Accept in part
	X481	551	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MATT BELL	256	3	Redraft Chapter 12 so there is a realistic balance between environmental gains and economic costs with regards to hill country farming.	Reject
MATT BELL	256	4	Set a policy that allows hill country farmers to hold existing use rights as to how they currently farm and manage their land, with the voluntary option of implementing a Farm Plan.	Accept in part
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	17	Ensure that all the resource maps are included (or at least available eg. via website) at a scale that clearly identifies their extent on a property by property basis.	Reject
	X492	191	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Support	Reject
	X501	20	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X528	16	POWERCO LIMITED – Support	Reject
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	18	Include a clear Acceptable and practicable methodology for specifying how slope angle will be calculated for the purposes of establishing activity	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X501	21	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X522	100	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X528	17	POWERCO LIMITED – Support	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	71	Add a new rule providing for the normal maintenance and minor improvements of the roading network under the control of the road controlling authority as a permitted activity.	Accept in part
	X481	342	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X498	21	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Support	Accept in part
NGATI KAHUNGUNU IWI INCORPORATED	180	59	Change all headings in the last column to:- "Control /discretion and Notification requirements"	Reject
	X501	10	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
KURUA FARMS	132	2	Removal of Chapter 12 (TWELVE) of the Plan as I believe this to be much to general and needs much consultation we must be able to continue development for the benefit of the region.	Reject
GEORGE ALEXANDER HOPEFUL GOWER	138	2	Removal of Chapter 12 (TWELVE) of the Plan and introduce relevant and rules after sufficient evaluation and consultation with effected parties.	Reject
	X502	189	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Accept
HAINSWORTH - KELFER PARTNERSHIP	139	5	An arbitration facility be available to Farmers, free, to resolve disputes between Horizons and Farmers in regards to farm plans. The inclusion of an arbitration facility to resolve disputes between Horizons and Farmers to resolve issues pertaining to the One Plan, which is free to Farmers.	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	90	We generally endorse the Councils approach for dealing with land use activities and land based biodiversity. However, we have comments and suggestions.	Accept in part
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	90	As for 386-90	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENTAL	386	91	We ask that Council insert a new	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WORKING PARTY			policy and/or objective within Chapter 12 to provide a cross reference to Chapter 4 (Te Ao Maori). The policies and objectives of Chapter 4 are important to, and interlinked with, policies and objectives throughout the rest of the Plan. We encourage this approach so that Maori issues and perspectives on environmental management are not isolated to Chapter 4, but made relevant and meaningful through all aspects of the One Plan.	
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	91	As for 386-91	Reject
	X527	413	TARARUA - AOKAUTERE GUARDIANS INC (TAG) - Support	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	6	It is important that the Council develops rules that create a permissive regime for sustainably and responsibly managed plantation forestry activities.	Accept
	X501	217	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	105	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
TARANAKI FISH & GAME COUNCIL	406	70	Retain this section.	Accept
	X492	192	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept
	X495	217	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
CUTTRISS CONSULTANTS	413	1	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: We support the inclusion of the COASTAL HIGHLY ERODIBLE LAND which falls across the entire western coastline	Reject
CUTTRISS CONSULTANTS	413	2	We would ask, however that the maps showing the parcels of land identified as 'Highly Erodible' and 'Significant Landscapes' be made available to the public as soon as possible on the Horizons website.	Reject
KIRSTEN ANN BRYANT	429	2	I request the chapter 12 be withdrawn from the Proposed One Plan until such time that the science, practicality, sustainability and impact of the proposed policies and rules on the rural community be adequately evaluated and assessed. I request that horizons show how they intend to implement and fund the	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	11	ideals and rules in chapter 12. We wish HRC to also consider with the same importance and effort in working with the forest industry to develop Whole of Forest Plans.	Reject
	X501	255	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
LANDLINK LTD	440	81	Specific references to sections in the Resource Management Act 1991 are unnecessary and will only make the Regional Plan inconsistent when legislation changes in the future.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION	458	3	NZCF requests that pre-approved members as contemplated by an accreditation scheme be authorised to work to more relaxed limits for the classes of activities they are pre-qualified for, than are presently contemplated in the proposed plan.	Reject
	X501	292	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
GEORGE MC NIE	466	2	Don't waste time making a whole lot of silly little rules that are never going to make any difference to much at all.	Reject
	X493	1	GEORGE MC NIE - Unknown	Reject
BRUCE MACLEAN STEVENSON	472	1	Flood mitigation measures for Ohura Valley. - A WOF (warrant of fitness) scheme for farmers. - Animal welfare measures incorporated into the One Plan. - Aerial fertilizer and spray tax incorporated into the One Plan. - Measures enabling improvement of town water supply.	Reject

24. Chapter 12 Policy - General

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	67	Policies are supported and we wish to have them retained.	Accept in part
	X495	218	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject

25. Policy 12-1 Consent decision-making for vegetation clearance and land disturbance

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	135	[Reference also to Policy 12-1] (a) Rewrite this Section in accordance with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the RMA; or (b) Amend Policy 12-2 so that consultation and agreement with the appropriate Road Controlling Authority is required prior to adopting any Codes of Practice or industry standards for roading activities.	Reject
	X481	200	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	25	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	23	Remove sub-clauses (b) and (f) from Policy 12-1	Accept
	X533	38	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Accept
NOEL OLSSON	227	2	I would suggest that the time has more than come for Horizons to have a policy in place, maybe by adding a sub clause under 12.1	Accept in part
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	13	Retain Policy 12-1 without further modification.	Accept in part
	X522	295	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	3	Amend this policy to equally include a Whole of Forest Business Plan	Reject
	X501	27	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	7	Embedded within this section should be a list clarifying the key elements that will be used to inform the decision enforcing the requirement for a whole farm plan	Reject
	X501	109	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	107	Amend Policy 12-1 so that the matters to which Council may have regard are clearly specified and certain as to the scope.	Accept
	X511	387	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	93	Include in (b) a reference to environmental plans that may be required as a condition of consent	Accept in part
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	94	Delete (g)	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X522	296	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	95	Retain the reference to Chapter 3 in (i) of the policy.	Accept in part
	X511	383	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X522	297	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X522	518	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	96	Add a new sub clause to read as follows: The ability to remedy or mitigate adverse effects.	Reject
	X511	384	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	136	Meridian opposes Policy 12-1 as currently worded and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Add a new condition whether a renewable energy development plan will be required as a condition of consent"; Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X519	26	MIGHTY RIVER POWER - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	137	Meridian opposes Policy 12-1 as currently worded and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend condition (h) to delete the word "minimise" and replace with "avoid, remedy or mitigate" adverse effects; Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	385	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
	X519	27	MIGHTY RIVER POWER - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	138	Meridian opposes Policy 12-1 as currently worded and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Delete condition (g); Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	139	Meridian opposes Policy 12-1 as currently worded and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend condition (i) to refer to "renewable energy generation facilities". Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	132	Replace 'or' at the end of Policy 12.1 g (i) with 'and'	Reject
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	17	Therefore, RWNZ submits that policy 12.1(b) be deleted and that all references in the rules that express or imply the compulsory imposition of WFBPs, as a condition of consent, be deleted.	Accept
	X501	199	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Oppose	Reject
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	92	Add: (b) the objectives and policies of Chapter 5 to Policy 12-1	Accept in part
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	92	As for 386-92	Accept in part
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	9	Amend this policy to equally include a Whole of Forest Business Plan.	Reject
	X501	220	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	124	Retain 12-1 (a), (c) and (d) as written pending suggestions changes to chapters 5 and 6 and policy 12-3.	Reject
AS ABOVE	426	125	Delete 12-1(b)	Accept
AS ABOVE	426	126	12-1(f) Define "sensitive" or use appropriately defined wording.	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	93	Submitter supports Policy 12-1 Consent decision-making for vegetation clearance and land disturbance	Accept in part
	X495	219	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Reject
	X511	386	TRUST POWER LIMITED – Oppose	Reject
WELLINGTON CONSERVATION BOARD	375	18	In section (i) insert and historic heritage between natural character, and Chapter 10)	Reject

26. Policy 12-2 Recognition of industry standards

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	136	The Environment Code of Practice for River Works be expanded to include all works undertaken in the Region, by Regional Council, District Council or those with delegated authority.	Reject
	X481	201	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	66	[Reference also made to Policy 12-1] - Withdraw the whole plan; or - Rewrite this section in accordance	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			with Part 3 of the First Schedule to the RMA; or amend - Policy 12-2 so that consultation and agreement with the appropriate road controlling authority is required prior to adopting any codes of practice or industry standards for roading activities.	
	X481	337	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	26	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	69	As for 172-66	Reject
	X481	430	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	28	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	86	As for 172-66	Reject
	X481	546	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	29	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
	X498	35	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	88	As for 172-66	Reject
	X481	644	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	30	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	66	As for 172-66	Reject
	X481	771	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X498	27	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	14	Retain Policy 12-2 without further modification.	Reject
	X495	220	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X502	115	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	4	Adopt recommendations as stated in the plan that support non regulatory methods for achieving sustainable management.	Accept in part
	X484	24	WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY - Support	Withdrawn
	X501	28	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X520	9	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	10	Consider working with industry to create a small compact set of specific requirements applicable to defined catchment/geological conditions that combined with the Forestry Environmental Code form the basis of permitted activity status subject to rules.	Reject
	X501	82	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	22	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	11	Look at revising the extent to which controlled consent is required given the above.	Accept in part
	X501	83	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	23	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept in part
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	8	Allocate some resources toward ensuring land and water based biodiversity data and mapping is available to industry for incorporation into Company GIS systems at little of no cost.	Reject
	X501	110	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	20	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	9	Create a web portal or disk for use by small scale players where the classifications and associated data can be easily scaled and matched against cadastral boundaries.	Reject
	X501	111	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	21	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	15	Recognition and endorsement by Horizons of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association.	Reject
	X501	118	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	49	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	30	Retain Policy 12-2 as is in the Proposed One Plan.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X495	222	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	15	Retain and give effect to Policy 12.2.	Accept in part
	X495	221	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Reject
	X501	155	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X502	116	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Accept in part
	X520	69	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept in part
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	26	That this policy be retained in the plan and that Council recognise Transits industry standards as being appropriate to ensure that any adverse effects arising from state highway maintenance and construction works on the environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Reject
	X502	114	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	108	Decision Sought: Amend Policy 12-2 to provide greater certainty as to how industry based standards will be incorporated into the Plan as a means of compliance with the Plan requirements.	Accept in part
RURAL WOMEN NEW ZEALAND	380	18	That either policy 12.2 is deleted from the Plan or Council will need to form a dairy industry group to lead all of Council's decisions affecting dairy land and water resources in the future.	Reject
DAVID LEONARD HOPKINS	382	6	Non specifically requested but asks in regard to Policy 12-2: Policy recognition of industry standards; "Do these include Fonterra's recently announced environmental standards?"	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	7	Adopt recommendations as stated in the plan that support non regulatory methods for achieving sustainable management	Accept in part
	X501	218	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	106	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	127	Retain as written	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X502	117	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Reject
ANGUS GORDON	447	1	Rewrite this section taking into account the practicality of how the rules will be implemented.	Accept in part
ANGUS GORDON	447	2	Remove all reference to the FSC scheme.	Accept
	X501	283	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
ANGUS GORDON	447	3	Re-evaluate and rewrite this rule taking into account the recently released forest industry code of practice.	Accept in part
	X501	284	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	94	Submitter supports Policy 12-2: Recognition of industry standards and requests amendment to ensure non-industry stakeholders are involved in the development of industry standards and codes of practice. "This will increase the credibility of the former and help to engender wider support for industry based standards."	Reject
	X495	223	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Accept
	X501	293	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept

27. Policy 12-3 Important and essential activities

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
VECTOR GAS LIMITED	115	9	Amend the following under section 12.1 Policies, Policy 12-3: Important and essential activities. "The Regional Council will generally allow vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with an activity that is important or essential to the well-being of local communities, the Region or a wider area of New Zealand. Such activities might include, but not be limited to, vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with natural hazard management and the provision of critical infrastructure."	Reject
HIGGINS GROUP	153	6	As for 115-9	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	67	As for 115-9	Reject
	X481	338	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X522	299	COUNCIL - Support MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	70	As for 115-9	Reject
	X481	431	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X522	301	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	87	As for 115-9	Reject
	X481	547	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X522	302	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	89	As for 115-9	Reject
	X481	645	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X522	303	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	67	As for 115-9	Reject
	X481	772	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X522	300	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
THE AGGREGATE & QUARRY ASSOCIATION OF NEW ZEALAND LTD	230	4	Include a reference to mineral/aggregate resources in policy 12-3 ('Important and essential activities').	Reject
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	15	Retain Policy 12-3 without further modification.	Reject
	X495	225	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X522	298	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	27	Define 'essential infrastructure' in Glossary 3 to include state highways.	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	109	Decision Sought: Amend Policy 12-3 to provide greater certainty as to how assessment will be made as to what are 'important or essential' activities and how they will be incorporated into the Plan as a means of compliance with the Plan requirements.	Accept in part
TRUST POWER	358	74	Amend Policy 12-3 of the Proposed	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LIMITED			Plan as follows: The Regional Council will generally allow vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated. Such activities might include, but not be limited to, vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with natural hazard management and the provision of essential infrastructure (such as energy development) Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Policies 12-3 and 12-4 as proposed in this submission.	
	X522	304	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Reject
	X525	230	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Reject
MIGHTY RIVER POWER	359	98	The addition of an asterisk after the words essential infrastructure.	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	140	Meridian requests that sentence 2 of Policy 12-3 is amended as follows or similar: Such activities might include, but not be limited to, vegetation clearance and land disturbance associated with natural hazard management, renewable energy generation, and the provision of essential infrastructure. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	389	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	133	Either delete 'important' or reword policy to indicate that the Council will consider the importance or essential nature of such activities or reword as an objective 'to provide for activities that are important or essential to the well-being of communities etc.	Accept in part
	X511	392	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	128	Reword policy 12-3 as follows: The Regional Council will allow vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with an activity that is important or essential to the well-being of, individuals, local communities the Region and/or the wider area of New Zealand. Such activities might include, but not limited to, vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with natural	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			hazard management and the provision of essential infrastructure. (or words to this effect)	
	X492	195	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Oppose	Reject
	X511	391	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
ANGUS GORDON	447	4	Provide a clear and concise definition of "HEL" for all lithologies and slopes.	Reject
	X501	285	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
ANGUS GORDON	447	5	Consider the concept of setting up a system for whole of forest management and harvest plans.	Reject
	X501	286	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
ANGUS GORDON	447	6	Re-write this rule with more relevant slope angles specified.	Accept
	X501	287	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	95	Submitter supports Policy 12-3: Important and essential activities	Accept in part
	X511	390	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part

28. Policy 12-4 Large-scale consents

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MARION GILLARD	46	5	Amount to be cleared has some relevance to the size of the property.	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	138	A new clause (c) be added to Policy 12-4 as follows: (c) a roading network managed and operated by a local Authority or Transit New Zealand	Accept in part
	X481	203	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X498	31	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	68	As for 151-138	Accept in part
	X481	339	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X498	32	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	71	As for 151-138	Accept in part
	X481	432	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X498	34	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	88	As for 151-138	Accept in part
	X481	548	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	90	As for 151-138	Accept in part
	X481	646	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X498	36	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	68	As for 151-138	Accept in part
	X481	773	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X498	33	TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	139	A new clause (d) be added to Policy 12-4 as follows: (a) other infrastructure of Regional importance (b) Roadside spaying of vegetation for maintenance purposes be excluded.	Reject
	X481	204	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Reject
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	100	That Horizons adopt Policy 12-4.	Accept in part
	X495	226	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
	X500	294	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X501	17	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X502	120	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
	X507	294	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X515	293	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X517	282	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X532	294	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
GENESIS POWER LTD	268	32	Retain Policy 12-4.	Accept in part
	X501	22	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X502	119	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE -	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Support	part
	X511	393	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
	X522	305	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Accept in part
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	12	There is no clarity as to what type of consent will prevail, i.e. controlled, discretionary etc.	Reject
	X501	84	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	24	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	13	It is submitted that if a consent is still to be required for standard forestry activities, such a consent status should be confirmed as controlled and subject to the matters of consent as already listed and adjustments as noted regarding biodiversity. See submissions on Schedule E	Reject
	X501	85	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	25	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	14	As for 305-13.	Reject
	X501	228	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	125	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	44	Retain Policy 12-4 as is in the Proposed One Plan	Accept in part
	X501	148	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X522	306	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	16	Retain Policy 12.4	Accept in part
	X501	156	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X502	118	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Accept in part
	X520	70	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	110	Decision Sought: Amend Policy 12-4 to provide greater certainty and clarity as to how what scale of activities will be determined to be large scale and widespread.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	75	Retain Policy 12-4 as read. Any similar amendments with like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Policies 12-3 and 12-4 as proposed in this submission.	Accept in part
	X501	195	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X522	307	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	141	Meridian requests that Policy 12-4 is amended as follows or similar: Add a new condition as follows: (c) renewable energy generation facilities. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	394	TRUST POWER LIMITED – Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	129	Amend 12-4 to read: (c) agricultural land use activities	Reject
	X511	395	TRUST POWER LIMITED – Oppose	Accept
ANGUS GORDON	447	7	Define Highly erodible land explicitly	Accept
	X501	288	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
ANGUS GORDON	447	8	Re-examine the necessity of specific slopes for unencumbered vegetation removal.	Accept in part
	X501	289	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ANGUS GORDON	447	9	Include "upper slope limits over which vegetation clearance will be prohibited"	Reject
	X501	290	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	96	Submitter supports Policy 12-4: Large-scale consents	Accept in part
	X492	196	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
	X501	294	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	14	No specific decision requested, However submitter notes their opposition to this provision	Accept in part

29. 12.2 Rules - Vegetation Clearance and Land Disturbance

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ANTHONY DAVID & GAYLENE MAY ATKINS	56	5	I request the removal of rules 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 12.5 from the Plan and the drafting of a new Rule controlling significant adverse effects, which is appropriate to the site, allows normal farm activities to occur and has been developed as a result of meaningful consultation with potentially affected land owners	Accept
	X502	167	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
RICHARD JOHN & CORAL EVELYN EDWARDS	57	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	168	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	169	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
PUKEKAHU FARM LTD	60	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	170	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
DAVID EARLE ROBINS MATTHEWS	65	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	171	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
RUSSELL SULLIVAN	94	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	172	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
BRUCE EDWARD CULLEY	98	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	173	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
ALLAN FRANCIS O'NEILL & F J O'NEILL & SONS	113	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	144	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
PETER ALEXANDER ANDERSON	121	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	145	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
HEATHER OLIVER	144	7	As for 56-5	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X502	174	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
WINSTON OLIVER	145	8	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	178	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
JOHN COLLIER DONALD	154	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	146	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
BARRY & GLENDA WADE	155	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	147	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
COLIN CASELEY	156	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	148	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
WARRICK & SALLY STREET	157	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	149	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
KERRY JOHN THOMPSON	175	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	150	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
DONALD ALAN WINDLE	186	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	151	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	7	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	175	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	7	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	176	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
PATRICK WILLIAM CARROLL	189	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	152	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
STUART MC NIE	198	7	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	177	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
ROSEANNE PARKES	217	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	153	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Oppose	
DAVID JOHN WELLS	223	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	154	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
RICHARD PORRITT	247	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	155	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
MATTHEW BLACK	248	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	156	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
ANDREW PORRITT	249	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	157	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
GEOFFREY THOMAS BURTON	271	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	158	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
FRASER LINDSAY HORROCKS	289	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	159	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
JOHN COLIN BLACK	292	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	160	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Oppose	Reject
GEORGE ANTHONY MATTHEWS	333	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	165	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
STEWART LESLIE MATTHEWS	342	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	166	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
JAMES TRUEBRIDGE & SUE YEREX	304	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	162	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
ATIHAU - WHANGANUI INCORPORATION	309	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	163	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
DONALD JAMES POLSON	329	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	164	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X524	5	DEAN GREGORY SPARKES - Support	Accept
BLAIR PATRICK SHORTALL	302	5	As for 56-5	Accept
	X502	161	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject
LYN NEESON	77	4	I think you should redefine HEL land, consider the soil structure as well as the contour and produce more detailed, area specific maps that accurately reflect steeper land.	Reject
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	3	I suggest, if you insist on going ahead with this folly, that: A: A Horizons staff member be available to inspect and assess whether or not a job presents a risk of erosion and then approve prospective jobs at 24 hours notice, irrespective of the degree of slope, or B: that contractors be authorised to assess whether or not a job presents a risk of erosion. That they can then decide if the job goes ahead or not.	Reject
GORDON GEORGE KUGGELEIJN	300	4	No decision requested but submitter does not concur with the 20 degree slope rule as indicated below. The definition of "Highly erodible Land" being all land over 20 degrees slope. There are many places over 20 degrees that are NOT eroding and there are areas flatter than 20 degrees that could be highly erodible (riparian terraces). I believe this definition you include is flawed and cuts right across consideration of: soil types, rainfall, vegetation cover and land use. NZ has soil types and erodibility values already recorded (land classification maps) and horizons employs experts in this field already (soil conservators) so why reinvent the wheel.	Accept in part
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND	303	26	Permitted activity standards to include in all areas. The removal of vegetation of 1000m per year per property for residential purposes and 20ha for farming purposes - That there not be any limit for the removal of vegetation and land disturbance for activities associated with the provision of roading and building sites within subdivisions or developments which are already subject to control from territorial authorities	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD				
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	27	Permitted activity standards to include in all areas. That there not be any limit for the removal of vegetation and land disturbance for activities associated with the provision of roading and building sites within subdivisions or developments which are already subject to control from territorial authorities	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	45	Amend section 12.2 where required to create controls based on disturbance per ha (i.e. in proportion to property size)	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	46	Re-introduce controls based on disturbance of contiguous areas.	Reject
CLAYTON & MICHELLE POTTS	361	1	No decision requested but following objection raised We object to the amount of land that will be allowed to be dug up for tracks, fence lines etc, there has been no variation allowed if a landowner owns 100 or 1000 acres.	Accept in part
	X502	121	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Accept in part
CLAYTON & MICHELLE POTTS	361	2	No decision requested but following objection raised. We also object to the amount of vegetation/scrub allowed to be cleared on hill country land, again no allowance has been made for a larger landowner over a smaller holding.	Accept in part
	X502	122	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Accept in part
CLAYTON & MICHELLE POTTS	361	4	No decision requested but following objection raised. Also we note that the definition of highly erodible land according to the HRC is hill country with a slope greater than 20 degrees, this measurement is far too broad for any area in New Zealand.	Accept in part
ELAINE GUBB & MICHAEL SANDERSON	362	4	As for 361-4	Accept in part
ELAINE GUBB &	362	1	No decision requested but following	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MICHAEL SANDERSON			objection raised We object to the amount of land that will be allowed to be dug up for tracks, fence lines etc.	part
ELAINE GUBB & MICHAEL SANDERSON	362	2	No decision requested but following objection raised We also object to the amount of vegetation/scrub allowed to be cleared on hill country land,	Accept in part
LANDLINK LTD	440	82	For section 12.2 we consider that all Discretionary Activities should be Restricted Discretionary Activities with a broad ambit of discretion and the Non-Complying Activity should be a Discretionary Activity	Reject
	X501	264	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Oppose	Accept
FIONA DALGETY	455	1	Amend definition of highly erodible land	Accept
FIONA DALGETY	455	2	Ensure time requirements for processing consents eg. 20 days	Reject
FIONA DALGETY	455	3	Ensure availability of infield multiple consents at significantly reduced cost say \$50	Reject
FIONA DALGETY	455	4	Workings and applications of the whole farm business plans be amended	Reject

30. Chapter 12 Rules - General

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	28	Alternatively amending the relevant rules in Chapter 12 to give effect to the submissions set out above.	Accept in part
	X501	169	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	82	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	76	Delete Rules 12-1 12-8 from the Proposed Plan or delete any reference to rare, threatened and at-risk habitats from Rules 12-1 12-8. Amend Rules 12-1 and 12-8 to include specific reference to infrastructure and energy development in the classification criteria. Amend the non-complying activity status of Rule 12-8 to become a discretionary activity. Any similar amendments to like effect.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rules 12-1 12-8 as proposed in this submission.	
	X492	198	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X522	228	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Accept in part
	X522	309	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support in part	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING PARTY	386	93	12.4 Rules - Other activities (a) All activities involving Land Use Activities and Land-Based Biodiversity shall take into account chapter 4 (b) Remedial action for any adverse effects to the environment will be undertaken (c) Constant monitoring of activities will ensure compliance to the Resource Consent and all relevant legislation and regulations (d) The Regional Council will lobby the relevant legislative bodies to impose penalties for non compliance that: i) are appropriate to the adverse environmental effects ii) account for the remedial process, and iii) will act as a deterrent for those intending not to comply. (e) The relevant Maori/ iwi and/or hapu organisation shall be notified of any disturbance to sites of significance for Maori (f) The relevant Maori/ iwi and/or hapu organisation shall be notified of any discovery of koiwi (bones) or artifacts and any type of activity shall stop until the appropriate processes have been completed. (g) In the event of any unforeseen circumstances occurring from activities undertaken by the Resource applicant, remedial action will be undertaken to the satisfaction of Horizons Regional Council.	Reject
	X501	202	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Oppose	Accept
	X520	99	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Oppose	Accept
NGA PAE O RANGITIKEI	427	93	AS for 386-93	Reject
	X501	253	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Oppose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
FISH & GAME NEW ZEALAND - WELLINGTON REGION	417	68	These are supported and we wish to have them retained.	Accept in part
	X502	143	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Oppose	Reject

31. Rule 12-1 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance not covered by other rules

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ANTHONY DAVID & GAYLENE MAY ATKINS	56	4	Add to Activity Column under rule 12.1 : (b) Any activities carried out under supervision of a qualified Soil Conservator (or similar person), or (c) Any activity on HEL, where Council is unable to provide a Whole Farm Business Plan within one month of land owner request, or (d) In the case of vegetation clearance the vegetation being cleared is considered a normal farm maintenance pest (such as, but not limited to): manuka or kanuka regrowth, carpet fern, ring fern, etc., inkweed, pampas grass, any other production weed not included in the Regional Pest Management Strategy.	Accept in part
RICHARD JOHN & CORAL EVELYN EDWARDS	57	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
PUKEKAHU FARM LTD	60	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
DAVID EARLE ROBINS MATTHEWS	65	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
RUSSELL SULLIVAN	94	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
BRUCE EDWARD CULLEY	98	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
ALLAN FRANCIS O'NEILL & F J O'NEILL & SONS	113	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
PETER ALEXANDER ANDERSON	121	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
HEATHER OLIVER	144	6	As for 56-4	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WINSTON OLIVER	145	7	As for 56-4	part Accept in part
JOHN COLLIER DONALD	154	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
BARRY & GLENDA WADE	155	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
COLIN CASELEY	156	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
WARRICK & SALLY STREET	157	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
KERRY JOHN THOMPSON	175	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
DONALD ALAN WINDLE	186	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	6	As for 56-4	Accept in part
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	6	As for 56-4	Accept in part
PATRICK WILLIAM CARROLL	189	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
STUART MC NIE	198	6	As for 56-4	Accept in part
ROSEANNE PARKES	217	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN WELLS	223	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
RICHARD PORRITT	247	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
MATTHEW BLACK	248	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
ANDREW PORRITT	249	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
GEOFFREY THOMAS BURTON	271	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
FRASER LINDSAY HORROCKS	289	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
JOHN COLIN BLACK	292	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
BLAIR PATRICK SHORTALL	302	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
JAMES TRUEBRIDGE & SUE YEREX	304	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
ATIHAU - WHANGANUI INCORPORATION	309	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
GEORGE ANTHONY MATTHEWS	333	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
STEWART LESLIE MATTHEWS	342	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
DONALD JAMES POLSON	329	4	As for 56-4	Accept in part
	X524	4	DEAN GREGORY SPARKES - Support	Accept in part
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	5	Retain Permitted Activity status for large scale production forestry vegetation clearance and land disturbance as a permitted activity	Accept
	X501	29	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept
	X520	10	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	6	Amend this rule to include whole forestry block business plans	Reject
	X501	30	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	8	Amend Rule 12-1 to included cultivation as a permitted activity subject to the following the condition: No cultivation shall occur within 5 metres of the bank of any waterbody identified as an Site of Significance - Aquatic or within 3 metres of the bank of any other permanently flowing river, or any river within a bed width in excess of 2 metres, or any lake or any wetland unless bunding, silt traps, interception drains or other alternative methods to control runoff are installed prior to and maintained during cultivation.	Accept in part
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	8	As for 225-8	Accept in part
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	8	As for 225-8	Accept in part
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	7	As for 225-8	Accept in part
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	8	As for 225-8	Accept in part
DAVID YOUNG	348	8	As for 225-8	Accept in part
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	8	As for 225-8	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	9	Remove cultivation from the 1000m3/y per property threshold in Rule 12-1.	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	9	As for 225-9	Accept
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	9	As for 225-9	Accept
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	8	As for 225-9	Accept
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	9	As for 225-9	Accept
DAVID YOUNG	348	9	As for 225-9	Accept
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	9	As for 225-9	Accept
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	23	As for 225-9	Accept
B S YOUNG LTD	449	23	As for 225-9	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	12	Amend Activity to read "Any vegetation clearance or land disturbance (excluding cultivation)" or Amend the definition for Land Disturbance by adding "(excluding cultivation)" after "surfaces"	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	25	Insert a new sentence at the end of condition (a) "The erosion and sediment control measures shall ensure that stormwater from the site entering surface water does not cause, after reasonable mixing, the percentage change standard for turbidity outlined in schedule D for the receiving waterbody to be breached"	Reject
	X492	200	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Reject
	X505	9	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X525	42	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Accept
BARRY PHILIP LESLIE	137	1	That council minimise its involvement in land use activities to the management of effluent disposal (human & animal).	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	137	(a) Policy 12-3 be amended to read: "The Regional Council will generally allow vegetation clearance or land disturbance associated with an activity that is important or essential to the well-being of local communities, the Region or a wider area of New Zealand, and recognised under Policy 3-1. Such activities might include ..."	Accept in part
	X481	202	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
SUSTAINABLE	176	28	We applaud the condition that a whole	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WHANGANUI			farm business plan may be a condition of a resource consent for vegetation clearance and land disturbance.	
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	24	Insert into Rule 12-1 condition (a) after "1000m ³ /y per property" the words "or 2500m ² /y per property"	Accept in part
	X492	199	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
	X505	8	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Reject
	X533	39	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Oppose	Reject
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	16	Retain Permitted Activity status for large scale production forestry vegetation clearance and land disturbance as a permitted activity, but amend this rule to include whole forestry block business plans	Accept in part
	X501	119	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	22	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	23	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	17	Provide for all vegetation clearance and land disturbance associated with plantation forestry as a permitted activity subject to permitted activity standards.	Accept
	X501	157	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	71	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	18	Provide for all vegetation clearance and land disturbance associated with plantation forestry as a permitted activity subject to compliance with appropriate Industry Codes of Practice	Accept
	X501	158	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	72	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	24	Notwithstanding the other submissions in relation to this section of the Plan, separate the three Activity criteria (d) to (f) in Rule 12-2 into a separate rule providing for production forestry that meet these criteria as a permitted activity	Accept in part
	X501	165	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	78	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	25	Make such other amendments to the other rules in chapter 12 to address the issues raised above.	Accept in part
	X501	166	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	79	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	69	Amend Rule 12-1 to read. Any vegetation clearance* or land disturbance* pursuant to s 9 RMA that is not specifically regulated by any other rule in this Plan. For the avoidance of doubt, this rule includes vegetation clearance* and land disturbance* that is carried out in accordance with a whole farm business plan* and vegetation clearance and land disturbance undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks.	Reject
	X481	774	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND HISTORIC PLACES TRUST - CENTRAL REGION	353	16	References in the proposed One Plan that require applicants to obtain authority from the NZHPT for an archaeological site, be reworded to the following effect - any archaeological site, waahi tapu or koiwi remains as identified in the regional plan, any district plan, in the New Zealand Archaeological Associations Site Recording Scheme, or by the Historic Places Trust except where Historic Places Trust approval in consultation with iwi for waahi tapu or koiwi remains has been obtained.	Reject
JOHN BATLEY	355	2	Vegetation and land clearance regulation take no account of the size of the property and the areas /volumes are incredibly low, as is the water use.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	41	ENM generally support this rule, however if the vegetation clearance or land disturbance is undertaken "in accordance with a whole farm business plan" will this plan have operative sections to take the place of the performance standards listed? ENM appreciate the desire to have incentives to make these voluntary plans work, but are still concerned that without standards how the effect of the activity will actually be controlled.	Reject
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	42	ENM generally support this rule, however there is a lack of clarity about what "effective erosion and sediment control measures" are.	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	111	Amend Rule 12-1 to included cultivation as a permitted activity subject to the following the condition: No cultivation shall occur within 5 metres of the bank of any waterbody identified as an Site of Significance - Aquatic or within 3 metres of the bank of any other permanently flowing river, or any river within a bed width in excess of 2 metres, or any lake or any wetland unless bunding, silt traps, interception drains or other alternative methods to control runoff are installed prior to and maintained during cultivation. Remove cultivation from the 1000 m ³ /y per property threshold in Rule 12-1 Amend the definition of vegetation clearance so it is clear that harvesting of horticulture crops is not classed as vegetation clearance.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	21	As for 357-111	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	134	As for 357-111	Accept in part
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	22	As for 357-111	Accept in part
B S YOUNG LTD	449	22	As for 357-111	Accept in part
	X505	17	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X533	40	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY	363	143	Meridian requests that Rule 12-1 is	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LIMITED			amended as follows or similar: Provide for renewable energy development as a permitted activity as requested in Meridian's primary submission to Chapter 12. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	
	X492	201	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Accept
	X511	397	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	144	Meridian requests that Rule 12-1 is amended as follows or similar: Amend text under the heading "Activity" as follows: For the avoidance of doubt, this rule includes vegetation clearance and land disturbance that is carried out in accordance with a whole farm business plan or a renewable energy development plan. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X525	70	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	10	Retain Permitted Activity status for large scale production forestry vegetation clearance	Accept
	X501	205	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	107	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	11	Retain Permitted Activity status for land disturbance	Accept in part
	X501	206	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	108	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	12	Amend this rule to include whole forestry block business plans.	Reject
	X501	207	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	22	Remove cultivation from the 1000m ³ /y per property threshold in Rule 12-1.	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	131	Delete Rule 12- 1 or in the alternative,	Accept in part
	X505	14	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X511	398	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	132	Reword 12-1 paragraph two as follows: "for the avoidance of doubt, this rule includes excludes vegetation clearance and land disturbance that is carried out in accordance with a WFBP or land management practices that will reduce the effects of accelerated erosion" (or words to that effect)	Reject
	X505	15	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
	X511	399	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	133	Delete "per property "throughout the plan and replace with "per hectare". Consequential amendment through this chapter and the Plan	Reject
	X502	123	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Reject
	X505	16	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	53	In the table of rules: Add under Conditions / Standards / Terms a new paragraph (d) setting out standards for vegetation clearance for Whole Farm Business Plans in order to give effect to Policy 5-3. [Note: Policy 5-3: (page 5-6) on regulation of vegetation clearance and land disturbance on Highly Erodible Land]	Reject
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	6	We would wish to see this slope angle increased to 24 degrees	Reject
	X501	260	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	140	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	98	Support with a caveat that whole farm business plans are consistent with the policy and objectives of the One Plan and that they contain operative sections that are equivalent to the performance standards listed. Define what is meant by effective erosion control and sediment control measures	Reject
	X505	12	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Accept
COLIN BOND	470	5	No decision requested, However submitter notes: Rule 12.1 - I support the comment from policy 4.4 and Policy 4.2 (iii) proposing "developing a code of practice whereby resource users and contractors have clear guidelines in the event rua koiwi or	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			waahi tapu are discovered".	

32. Rule 12-2 Production forestry

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
POWERCO LIMITED	272	33	Rule 12-2 to have an additional exemption to read "(g) carried out for the purpose of maintaining an infrastructure corridor to comply with the provisions of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	65	[Matters referred to in Submission as follows: Some rules in Section 12 of the Plan do not provide certainty as to activity status and therefore it may be difficult for a landowner or a district planner administering the land use provisions of a District Plan to discern whether or not a consent is required and, if required, what type of consent.] Clarify all the above matters [referring to 12-2] and provide certainty of interpretation and activity status for land users and Plan users seeking to interpret and apply the rules in Section 12 of the Plan.	Accept in part
	X481	426	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X495	230	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	36	AS for 280-65	Accept in part
	X481	496	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
GORDON GEORGE KUGGELEIJN	300	5	No specific decision requested but raises existing use rights in relation to logging of production forests. Can the application of when a consent is required be clearly defined please? (for forestry harvest)	Accept
	X501	79	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	14	Widen the base of approved third party audit and certification vehicles open to be used to achieve permitted activity status for forestry companies.	Reject
	X501	86	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X520	26	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
P F OLSEN LIMITED	305	15	<p>Make provision within the plan to utilise the Forestry Code with in a yet-to-be negotiated framework such as that established below.</p> <p>Mechanism proposed for permitted use under Rule 12.2</p> <p>1. Rule 12.2 should make reference to a schedule A of approved operators, who had made application and had approved by Council, their recognised environmental management certifications and processes. These should include FSC, PEFC, ISO14001 or other forest environmental management systems recognised under the PEFC scheme. Approval should relate to the certified status of the controller of operations rather than a particular patch of land.</p> <p>2. For those, normally smaller to medium sized forest managers, there should be a schedule B where applicant may apply to have their performance & systems effectively assessed and approved by Council, and subject either to periodic audit by either Council Consents officers or a registered Forestry Consultant or other qualified party recognised by Council, be able to operate on a permitted use basis. In our view the basis for people operating at this level should be that they are able to demonstrate the formation of a basic EMS incorporating the following elements;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reference to and compliance with the NZ Environmental Code of Forestry Practice (the Code). - Compliance with the NZ Forest Accord. - Have developed a in association with the Code, a formal incident reporting and management system including public complaints - Have developed in association with the Code a formal environmental monitoring system. <p>3. For those undertaking small one off operations in the region and for whom the cost and effort of either of the options above, the obtaining of resource consents per the plan would remain the same.</p>	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			4. Non - performance in the case of option 1 & 2, defined in terms of loss of Certification in option 1 or repeated auditor/consents officer reported non-compliance in terms of option 2.	
	X501	87	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	27	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
RAYONIER NZ LIMITED	310	17	Rule 12-2(d) Remove all reference to FSC and any other specifically named commercial accreditation system. Insert wording. Where production forestry can show evidence of recognised third party environmental accreditation or adherence to the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 they will retain permitted activity status. Or words to like effect.	Accept in part
	X501	120	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X520	50	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	145	That Rule 12.2 be retained and extended to permit vegetation clearance and land disturbance undertaken by or on behalf of, TAs for the purpose of managing district roading networks and other infrastructure.	Accept in part
	X481	210	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X481	333	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X495	228	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	26	Amend Rule 12-2 to remove Activity sub clause (e) which refers to slopes less than 20 degrees.	Accept
	X533	41	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC – Support	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	13	Delete Activity (a), (b) and (c) Amend Activity (d) to read "in compliance with the Forestry Environmental Code of Practice" Amend Activity (e) to read "on land that has an existing slope of less than 30 degrees." Delete all reference to "per property"	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			and replace with "per hectare" or something similar. Council needs a robust process for assessing environmental benefit of industry codes of practice before giving them undue recognition.	
	X501	18	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	10	Have developed in association with the Code, a formal incident reporting and management system including public complaints	Reject
	X501	23	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	14	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	11	That Council limits its control to measures to maintain slope stability in relation to the harvesting of plantation forests	Accept in part
	X501	24	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	12	That Council limits its control to control of sediment run-off	Accept in part
	X501	25	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X525	17	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	7	Remove reference to FSC as criteria for permitted activity status	Accept
	X501	31	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	11	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Accept
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	8	Recognition and endorsement by Horizons of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association.	Reject
	X484	25	WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY - Support	Withdrawn
	X501	32	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	12	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
ERNSLAW ONE LTD	269	9	Inclusion of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 in the Proposed One Plan as a non regulatory method for production forestry to remain a	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			permitted activity.	
	X501	33	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	13	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD	319	3	NZFM submits that in order to successfully acknowledge all environmentally well performing forestry companies within the Horizons MW region, the exclusion clause in Rule 12-2 should be available to all companies successfully operating under the NZ Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry (NZE COP), rather than only those who are FSC certified. As such NZFM submits that the NZE COP should replace the Forest Stewardship Council Programme in Rule 12-2 (d).	Reject
	X501	138	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD	319	4	Such a system could group companies by: (i)Forest companies with third party environmental certification (classed as an approved operator") and currently operating under the NZE COP. These companies would be given Permitted Activity" status and would not be monitored. (ii)Companies without third party environmental certification but proven compliance with the NZE COP. These companies would be given Permitted Activity" status after a statutory operating period without serious non-compliance issues. (iii)All other companies, i.e. those that do not show commitment to the NZE COP. These companies operations would be classified as Controlled" under Rule 12-2.	Reject
	X501	139	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	19	Without limiting the relief sought in submission 331/17 and 331/18, if criteria are to be retained to achieve permitted status amend the Activity descriptions and Conditions /Standards /Terms in rule 12-2 to give effect to the issues raised above including the following changes: (a) Amend Activity Criteria (d) to increase the range of criteria for achieving permitted status as set out in the reasons above;	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X501	159	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	73	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	20	Without limiting the relief sought in submission 331/17 and 331/18, if criteria are to be retained to achieve permitted status amend the Activity descriptions and Conditions/ Standards/ Terms in rule 12-2 to give effect to the issues raised above including the following changes: (a) Amend Activity Criteria (e) to clarify that: (i)it applies throughout the region (not just on Highly Erodible Land) and (ii)provide for a minimum threshold of the area of land over 20° before an operation becomes controlled; and (iii)provide that the controlled status only applies to the area of the operation over 20°.	Accept in part
	X501	161	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	74	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	21	Without limiting the relief sought in submission 331/17 and 331/18, if criteria are to be retained to achieve permitted status amend the Activity descriptions and Conditions/ Standards/Terms in rule 12-2 to give effect to the issues raised above including the following changes: (a) Incorporate the Conditions / Standards /Terms as permitted activity standards	Accept in part
	X501	162	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	75	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	22	Without limiting the relief sought in submission 331/17 and 331/18, if criteria are to be retained to achieve permitted status amend the Activity descriptions and Conditions/ Standards/ Terms in rule 12-2 to give effect to the issues raised above including the following changes: (a)Delete Condition/ Standard/ Term (a);	Accept
	X501	163	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	76	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD -	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	23	In addition further changes to Rule 12-2 may be required to address the relief sought in relation to Chapter 13 discharges as identified further in HFM NZ submission.	Accept
	X501	164	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	77	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	28	Add an additional control under this rule be added to ensure that production forestry is not established in any location adjacent to rivers or streams which are prone to flooding, landslide or lahars and where such forestry could be at risk of being washed down any river or stream.	Accept in part
	X501	193	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Reject
	X520	94	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Oppose	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	62	[Matters referred to in Submission as follows Some rules in Section 12 of the Plan do not provide certainty as to activity status and therefore it may be difficult for a landowner or a district planner administering the land use provisions of a District Plan to discern whether or not a consent is required and, if required, what type of consent.] - Withdraw the whole plan; or clarify - all the above matters [referring to Rule 12-2] and provide certainty of interpretation and activity status for land users and Plan users seeking to interpret and apply the rules in Section 12 of the Plan.	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	84	As for 172-6	Accept in part
	X481	640	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	232	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	62	As for 172-6	Accept in part
	X481	767	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	229	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI	346	70	Retain Rule 12-2 and extend it to	Accept in

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
DISTRICT COUNCIL			permit vegetation clearance and land disturbance undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks.	part
	X481	775	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	231	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND HISTORIC PLACES TRUST - CENTRAL REGION	353	17	References in the proposed One Plan that require applicants to obtain authority from the NZHPT for an archaeological site, be reworded to the following effect - any archaeological site, waahi tapu or koiwi remains as identified in the regional plan, any district plan, in the New Zealand Archaeological Associations Site Recording Scheme, or by the Historic Places Trust except where Historic Places Trust approval in consultation with iwi for waahi tapu or koiwi remains has been obtained.	Reject
GORDON MCKELLAR	354	2	Change the section (b) of this rule (activity) to as below: (b) in the case of hill country highly erodible land* the affected area is more than 33.3% of the property* area per year.	Reject
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	43	A diagram should be included to illustrate 12-2(a)(i) & (ii) as measurement of land slope could be interpreted in different ways	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	134	Amend criterion (i) to read 'revegetation requirements, including areas to be permanently retired for erosion or sediment control purposes'.	Reject
	X501	198	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X520	98	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Oppose	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	44	WITHDRAWN Retain Rule 12-2.	Withdrawn
	X492	203	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Reject
	X495	227	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND PINE MANAGEMENT LIMITED	390	1	No decision requested, however submitter notes: submitter is not supportive of Production Forestry	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			being a controlled (rather than permitted) activity	
	X501	203	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	100	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	13	Remove reference to FSC as criteria for permitted activity status.	Accept
	X501	208	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	109	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	14	Recognition and endorsement by Horizons of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 developed by the New Zealand Forest Owners Association.	Reject
	X501	209	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	110	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	15	Inclusion of the New Zealand Environmental Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry V1 in the Proposed One Plan as a non regulatory method for production forestry to remain a permitted activity.	Reject
	X501	210	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	111	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	16	Have developed in association with the Code a formal incident reporting and management system including public complaints.	Reject
	X501	211	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	17	The decision that Arbor Management seeks from the Council is that Council limits its control: 1. measures to maintain slope stability in relation to the harvesting of plantation forests and; 2. control of sediment run-off Chapter 12 Rule 12.2 (d): Production Forestry	Accept in part
	X501	212	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	3	Plantation forestry activities are provided for as Permitted Activities subject to performance standards... without restriction based on the size of the activity, landscape setting, slope	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			angle, catchment area etc or other arbitrary restrictions.	
	X492	202	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X501	214	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	103	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
ARBOR MANAGEMENT LIMITED	391	4	We submit that this approach is consistent with Council's Policy 12-2 Recognition of Industry Standards.	Accept in part
	X501	215	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	104	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
POHANGINA VALLEY COMMUNITY COMMITTEE	408	1	The creation, management and felling of production forestry and wood lots should require some form of consent and monitoring so as to keep council abreast of potential costly risks to infrastructure - particularly bridges, roads, soil erosion, changes to waterway channels, and potential flood risks.	Reject
	X501	222	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X520	113	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Oppose	Accept
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	15	NZIF submits that the Council should: Widen the base of approved third party audit and certification vehicles open to be used to achieve permitted activity status for forestry companies; Make provision within the plan to utilise the Forestry Code within an as yet to be negotiated framework such as that suggested below.	Reject
	X501	229	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	126	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	16	The mechanism proposed for "permitted use" under Rule 12.2 is: Rule 12.2 should make reference to a schedule "A" of approved operators, who have made application and have been approved by Council, their recognised environmental management certifications and processes. These should include FSC, PEFC, ISO14001 or other forest	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			<p>environmental management systems recognised under the PEFC scheme. Approval should relate to the certified status of the controller of operations rather than a particular patch of land.</p> <p>For those, normally smaller to medium sized forest managers, there should be a schedule "B" where the applicant may apply to have their performance & systems effectively assessed and approved by the Council and, subject either to periodic audit by either Council Consents officers or a registered Forestry Consultant or other qualified party recognised by Council, be able to operate on a permitted use basis. In our view the basis for people operating at this level should be that they are able to demonstrate the formation of a basic EMS incorporating the following elements; Reference to and compliance with the NZ Environmental Code of Forestry Practice (the Code); Compliance with the NZ Forest Accord; Have developed, in association with the Code, a formal incident reporting and management system including public complaints; Have developed, in association with the Code, a formal environmental monitoring system; The NZIF draws your attention to the proposal by government that its Permanent Forest Sinks Initiative (PSFI), and Emissions Trading Scheme regulations that NZIF Registered Forestry Consultants act as approved carbon certifiers. This is on the basis that RFCs are subject to a code of ethics (that provide that an RFC cannot operate outside his/her areas of expertise), CPD requirements and a complaints and disciplinary process. The NZIF asks that the Council works with the forestry sector to implement this concept as a mechanism to allow the use of the Forestry Code of Practice. For those undertaking small one off operations in the region and for whom the cost and effort of either of the options above was prohibitive the obtaining of resource consents per the plan would remain the same.</p>	

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X501	230	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	127	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
NEW ZEALAND INSTITUTE OF FORESTRY	419	17	Non-performance could be defined in terms of loss of Certification in option 1 (paragraphs 30.1) or repeated auditor/consents officer reported non-compliance in terms of option 2 (paragraph 30.2).	Reject
	X501	231	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	2	We would wish that HRC would develop a process that allowed every forest owner to clarify site particular setback provisions.	Accept in part
	X501	256	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	136	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	4	We wish HRC to withdraw specifically from stipulating FSC Certification and work with the industry to implement third party auditing as may be provided by Registered Forest Consultants or Registered Resource Managers.	Accept in part
	X501	258	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	138	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	5	We also wish HRC to adopt Certified Operator Status for forestry contractors	Reject
	X501	259	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	139	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	7	We would wish to see this slope angle increased to 24 degrees	Reject
	X501	261	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	141	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	10	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests that the 20 degree cut-off be increased to 24 or 25 degrees.	Reject
	X501	266	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	148	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	5	No specific decision requested but submits that The inclusion of special rules for forestry (12-2) is, inevitably, anti-forestry. There is the perception that forestry, because it needs extra rules, is more trouble and there needs to be a commitment to aid and encourage forestry on highly erodible land and in sensitive catchments.	Accept in part
	X501	278	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	6	No specific decision requested but believe that the NZ Forest Owners Environmental Code of Practise would be a useful basis for rules relating to production forestry.	Reject
	X501	279	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	145	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	7	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests that having approved forestry contractors would be valuable.	Reject
	X501	280	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	146	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	8	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests that rule 12-2 (a) is excessively complicated and need only concern avoiding debris and sediment entering water ways.	Accept in part
	X501	281	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	9	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests that waiving rule 12-2 for those accredited under the Forest Stewardship Council is inappropriate.	Accept
	X501	282	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	147	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	99	Submitter supports Rule 12-2: Production Forestry.	Accept in part
PAUL JAMES MACKINTOSH	465	1	I would like to be involved in the discussion and amendment of these rules. In some cases clarification might be all that is required.	Reject

33. Rule 12-3 Land disturbance

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
N K & C F DEIGHTON	17	1	We are deeply concerned about this law and ask you to kindly consider revising this rule as the consequences of this will be devastating on the small business.	Accept
MARION GILLARD	46	3	No specific decision requested but submitter notes that: - 100m ³ per year is extremely restrictive; - previous working documents allowed for 500m ³ so why the difference now?; - objects to the 20 degree slope.	Accept
MICHAEL DAVIS	48	1	Amend policy 12-3 to read. Highly erodible land is hill country with a slope greater than 45 degrees and has previously had 40 percent or more of its total area effected by erosion.	Reject
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	14	I submit that tracking on highly erodible land should not require a Resource Consent. I submit that an alternative solution is to require bulldozer and digger drivers and helicopter pilots/scrubcutters to be accredited through the One Plan.	Reject
	X501	1	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	5	I submit that rule 12.3 needs to read 12-3 Land disturbance Land disturbance* on Highly Erodible Land* pursuant to s9 RMA in circumstances where either the affected area is more than 10,000 m ² /y per property* or the volume of fill or excavation is more than 10,000 m ³ /y per property*. This rule does not apply to land disturbance* that is (a)Carried out in accordance with a Whole Farm Business Plan* (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1), (b)On land mapped as Highly Erodible Land* in Schedule A, but where all land that is the subject of the activity has an existing slope of less than 35 degrees (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1),	Reject
BARRY PHILIP LESLIE	137	2	That council minimise its involvement in land use activities to the management of effluent disposal (human & animal).	Reject
HAINSWORTH -	139	4	Last minute earthworks can be	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
KELFER PARTNERSHIP			completed without further consents. A mechanism is provided whereby Farmers are able to complete last minute earth works while earth-moving machinery is on the farm, without requiring a further consent.	
	X501	2	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	1	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Oppose	Accept
MERVYN H GEORGE	141	2	Amend to read "Consent required if wash from disturbed soil can directly enter a stream etc."	Reject
	X501	3	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
MERVYN H GEORGE	141	4	Initially, Highly Erodible land should be that with a slope greater than 40 degrees	Reject
VISIT RUAPEHU	152	12	Suggested Plan Amendment Include in Conditions/Standard/Terms: (d) The activity shall not disturb any recreational site or site of tourism value as identified in any district plan.	Reject
	X481	334	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X485	15	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
	X495	234	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Reject
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	27	Amend Rule 12-3 to remove Activity sub clause (b) which refers to slopes less than 20 degrees.	Accept
	X492	206	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Support	Accept
	X495	258	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Reject
	X505	10	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Reject
	X531	76	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject
	X533	42	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	28	Amend Rule 12-3 Control (a) to read: 'the nature, scale, location, timing and duration of land disturbance'	Accept in part
	X495	259	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Oppose	Reject
	X505	11	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Reject
	X531	77	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND – Oppose	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X533	43	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC – Oppose	Reject
MICHAEL JOHN ROGERS	185	1	Submitter does not specify but general theme of the submission is a removal of this rule.	Accept
GORDON MC NIE	204	1	Throw out the whole plan and seek reasonable solutions from people on the ground who know what they are talking about.	Reject
GORDON MC NIE	204	2	Do away with compulsory resource consent.	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	14	Delete provision	Accept
	X495	59	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
DEAN GREGORY SPARKES	270	1	Amendment to limit resource consent requirement to new works.	Reject
DEAN GREGORY SPARKES	270	2	Amendment to exclude maintenance of existing tracks or alternatively provide a workable volume like 3-4000m ³ .	Accept in part
DEAN GREGORY SPARKES	270	3	With reasonable changes I could support the proposal but it needs to be realistic to balance business and environment.	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	63	[Matters referred to in Submission as follows Some rules in Section 12 of the Plan do not provide certainty as to activity status and therefore it may be difficult for a landowner or a district planner administering the land use provisions of a District Plan to discern whether or not a consent is required and, if required, what type of consent.] - Withdraw the whole plan; or clarify - all the above matters [referring to Rule 12-3] and provide certainty of interpretation and activity status for land users and Plan users seeking to interpret and apply the rules in Section 12 of the Plan.	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	66	As for 172-63	Accept in part
	X481	427	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X485	17	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X495	236	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
	X531	78	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND – Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	37	As for 172-63	Accept in part
	X481	497	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	233	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	85	As for 172-63	Accept in part
	X481	641	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X485	18	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
	X495	237	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	63	As for 172-63	Accept in part
	X481	768	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X485	16	AIRWAYS CORPORATION OF NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept in part
	X495	235	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	24	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept
	X501	145	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	25	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept
	X501	146	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
TRANSIT NEW ZEALAND	336	29	That the rule be amended by adding an additional criteria where the 'rule does not apply to land disturbance that is: '(f) for the purposes of state highway maintenance and construction which is	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			undertaken in accordance with Transit New Zealand industry based standards and codes of practice which are approved by Horizons Regional Council'.	
OWEN BONNOR	341	1	That 400m ³ /y be the limit.	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	71	Delete Rule 12-3 in its entirety, or amend by adding (f) to the end of the rule, as follows. (f) undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks.	Accept in part
	X481	776	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND HISTORIC PLACES TRUST - CENTRAL REGION	353	18	References in the proposed One Plan that require applicants to obtain authority from the NZHPT for an archaeological site, be reworded to the following effect - any archaeological site, waahi tapu or koiwi remains as identified in the regional plan, any district plan, in the New Zealand Archaeological Associations Site Recording Scheme, or by the Historic Places Trust except where Historic Places Trust approval in consultation with iwi for waahi tapu or koiwi remains has been obtained.	Reject
JOHN BATLEY	355	3	Vegetation and land clearance regulation take no account of the size of the property and the areas/volumes are incredibly low, as is the water use.	Accept in part
	X502	124	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	44	ENM generally support this rule, but would make the comment that with the map provided it would be difficult for a property owner or general member of the public to know if land was HEL land or not.	Accept in part
	X492	205	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	145	Meridian opposes Rule 12-3 and requests the following amendments or similar: Renewable energy development is covered by a separate rule and classified as a permitted activity where a renewable energy development plan is submitted to the Council. See Meridian's primary submission to Chapter 12.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	
	X492	207	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Oppose	Accept
	X511	400	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	146	Meridian opposes Rule 12-3 and requests the following amendments or similar: Amend text under the heading "Activity" to add a new condition as follows: (f) carried out in accordance with a renewable energy development plan (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1). Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X492	208	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Oppose	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	135	Delete Rule 12- 3 or in the alternative,	Accept
	X505	18	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Accept
	X511	402	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	136	Reword rule 12-3 as follows: "Land Disturbance on Highly Erodible Land"	Accept in part
	X505	19	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	137	Reword rule 12-3 as follows: Amend thresholds to a per hectare amount	Reject
	X502	126	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE – Support	Reject
	X505	20	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	138	Reword rule 12-3 as follows: "(a) carried out in accordance with either a whole farm business plan or have implemented methods to effectively reduce accelerated erosion (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1)" (or words to that effect)	Reject
	X505	21	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	139	Reword rule 12-3 as follows: (e) for the purposes of removal or disturbance of weed and pests species.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X505	22	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	140	Reword rule 12-3 as follows: Revise the list of matters to which Council reserves control, including (h).	Reject
	X505	23	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Support	Reject
GRANT ADKINS	428	2	Significantly increase the amount of soil that can be moved.	Accept
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	8	We would wish to see this slope angle increased to 24 degrees	Reject
	X501	262	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Reject
	X520	142	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Support	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FORESTRY ASSOCIATION	444	11	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests amount of land disturbance be increased for larger properties.	Accept in part
	X501	267	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
	X502	125	NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE - Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION	458	5	A practical limit [for excavation] might be 1000m ² .	Reject
NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION	458	6	We believe the volume limitation should be 1000m ³ /year/property.	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	100	Submitter supports Rule 12-3: Land Disturbance.	Reject
	X492	204	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Reject
	X505	13	HIMATANGI STATION LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X511	401	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
PAUL JAMES MACKINTOSH	465	2	I would like to be involved in the discussion and amendment of these rules. In some cases clarification might be all that is required.	Reject
COLIN BOND	470	2	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes: While simple demarcation such as a 20 degree slope is convenient, in many situations it includes land which is clearly not highly erodible.	Accept in part

34. Rule 12-4 Vegetation clearance

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
CHRISTOPHER JOHN BAINES	39	2	Take out the Section 12-4 limiting our ability to disturb soil over 100 m ² without a consent	Accept in part
MARION GILLARD	46	4	Abolish to 20 degree provision.	Accept
MICHAEL DAVIS	48	2	Amend policy 12-4 to read. Highly erodible land is hill country with a slope greater than 45 degrees and has previously had 40 percent or more of its total area affected by erosion.	Reject
J N TRIPE	52	6	No decision requested, however submitter asks: Rule 12.4 Vegetation clearance. On "highly erodible" hill country of more than 20% slope, vegetation clearance is not permitted. We have cultivated regularly above that level without any soil loss.	Accept
SHARN HAINSWORTH	116	15	I submit that an alternative solution is to require bulldozer and digger drivers and helicopter pilots/ scrubcutters to be accredited through the One Plan. (as opposed to consents for vegetation clearance)	Reject
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	133	6	I submit that rule 12-4 needs to read 12-4 Vegetation clearance Vegetation clearance* pursuant to s9 RMA in the following circumstances (a) In the case of Coastal Highly Erodible Land*, the affected area is more than 10,000 m ² /y per property*. (b) In the case of Hill Country Highly Erodible Land*, the affected area is more than 20 ha/y per property*. This rule does not apply to vegetation clearance* that is (c) Carried out in accordance with a Whole Farm Business Plan*, (d) On land mapped as Hill Country Highly Erodible Land* in Schedule A, but where all land that is the subject of the activity has an existing slope of less than 35 degrees (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1), (e) For the purposes of controlling pests pursuant to a pest management strategy prepared under the Biosecurity Act 1993 (this is a permitted activity under Rule	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HAINSWORTH - KELFER PARTNERSHIP	139	3	12-1), (f) For production forestry purposes (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1 or a controlled activity under Rule 12-2) Thistles, rushes and regenerating scrub can be cut without consents.	Accept in part
MERVYN H GEORGE	141	5	Horizons allow the cutting of thistles, rushes and regenerating scrub to occur without requiring consent. Initially, Highly Erodible land should be that with a slope greater than 40 degrees	Reject
MARAEKOWHAI WHENUA TRUST, TAWATA WHANAU TRUST, NGATI TAMA O NGATI HAUA TRUST AND TITI TIHU FARM TRUST	148	2	No decision requested but submit that they use trees for firewood, house heating and cooking requirements where houses are not connected to electricity and for cultural landscapes for fencing and stop banking around kainga and marae and that this should not be an offence.	Accept in part
NGATI KAHUNGUNU IWI INCORPORATED	180	60	In last column add: "Resource consent applications under this rule will be publicly notified."	Reject
	X482	2	LIVESTOCK IMPROVEMENT CORP LTD – Oppose	Accept
	X486	4	AG RESEARCH LIMITED – Oppose	Accept
	X501	11	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Oppose	Accept
	X520	3	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD – Oppose	Accept
	X522	310	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	29	Amend Rule 12-4 to remove Activity sub clause (b) which refers to slopes less than 20 degrees.	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	30	Amend Rule 12-4 to change Classification from 'Discretionary' to 'Restricted Discretionary' and add the following standards: (b) The activity shall not take place on a coastal foredune or near a water body as regulated by Rule 12-5. (c) The activity shall not disturb any archaeological site, waahi tapu or koiwi remains as identified in any district plan, in the New Zealand Archaeological Association's Site Recording Scheme, or by the Historic Places Trust except where Historic Places Trust approval has been	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			<p>obtained. And add the following matters of Control: Control is reserved over: (a) the nature, scale, location, timing and duration of land disturbance (b) compliance with best management practices (c) measures to maintain slope stability (d) the method of sediment retention and control of sediment run-off (e) effects on riparian margins and water bodies (f) effects on rare and threatened habitats*, and at-risk habitats* (g) effects on existing structures (h) qualifications required of contractors (i) revegetation requirements (j) procedures in the event of discovering or disturbing an archaeological site, waahi tapu or koiwi remains (k) duration of consent (l) review of consent conditions (m) compliance monitoring. Resource consent applications under this rule will not be notified and written approval of affected persons will not be required (notice of applications need not be served on affected persons).</p>	
	X492	209	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part
	X525	43	GENESIS POWER LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X531	79	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support in part	Accept in part
	X533	44	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Oppose	Reject
MICHAEL JOHN ROGERS	185	2	Submitter does not specify but general theme of the submission is a removal of this rule.	Accept
MALCOLM FARMING LTD	195	2	I would like to submit that if the Plan goes ahead that any reference to clearing land requiring consent that the clearing of Manuka, Kanuka, Tauhini and Tutsan be regarded as of right and not require a consent.	Accept in part
GORDON MC NIE	204	3	Throw out the whole plan and seek reasonable solutions from people on the ground who know what they are talking about.	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
GORDON MC NIE	204	4	Do away with compulsory resource consent.	Accept
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	15	Delete provision Amend rule to allow for the removal and/or disturbance of plant/weed species, irrespective of their location.	Accept
POWERCO LIMITED	272	34	Rule 12-4 to have an additional exemption to read "(g) carried out for the purpose of maintaining an infrastructure corridor to comply with the provisions of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	26	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to include plans or similar documents providing for sustainable management of land other than farm land.	Accept in part
	X501	147	ERNSLAW ONE LTD – Support	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	27	Amend the concept and definition of "whole farm business plan" to allow for Horizons to endorse and recognise for the purposes of the Proposed One Plan documents prepared by landowners without financial or other assistance from Horizons. (This would reduce the potential resource demands associated with Decision Sought 1 above)	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	117	Delete Rule 12-3 in its entirety, or amend by adding (g) to the end of the rule, as follows. (g) undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks.	Accept in part
	X481	822	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
JOHN BATLEY	355	4	Vegetation and land clearance regulation take no account of the size of the property and the areas/volumes are incredibly low, as is the water use.	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	45	ENM generally support these rules, but are concerned at the lack of guidance given to conditions/ standards/ terms given. The way the rules are set out lacks coherence and we can only assume that objectives in the RPS would be referred to.	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	147	Meridian opposes Rule 12-4 in its entirety and seeks its deletion. Any consequential amendments	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			necessary to give effect to this submission	
	X511	403	TRUST POWER LIMITED – Support	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	148	Meridian requests that renewable energy generation facilities are classified as a permitted activity as requested in its primary submission to Chapter 12. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
	X511	404	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	135	Add 'or any at-risk habitat after 'threatened habitat'.	Accept in part
	X511	406	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
	X531	80	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
	X533	45	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Oppose	Reject
C R GRACE, M HURLEY, HINAU STATION LTD, DUNCAN LAND CO LTD, TE KUMU ESTATES LTD, OTAIRI STATION LTD, A HURLEY KNOWN JOINTLY AS "THE HUNTERVILLE HILL COUNTRY OBJECTORS"	422	5	We repeat the submissions we made earlier about the definition of "HEL". Those points apply equally to Rule 12.4. There needs to be a more specific definition of "HEL" that is not all encompassing and vague. It needs to be set out in writing in language that is easily understood or contains formulas that are easily interpreted. Additionally, it needs to be more specific to terrain, slope, and soil type and so forth so that the blanket effect of the current definition is avoided. In this way, Council would in our submission, reduce significantly the number of Resource Consents required or alternatively, avoid the number and expense of WFBP's that are required and save everyone a lot of time, trouble and money. There would still be requirement for Resource Consents for "at risk land" but large parts of what has been coloured "red" in Schedule "A" would be released from the "HEL" definition. In keeping with an amended definition of "HEL" we submit the 20 degrees tolerance would be raised and perhaps soil type and terrain would also be considerations. In our view, in its present form, the Rule is far too restrictive and is unrealistic when viewed against its purpose	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X477	1	PRITCHARD GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept
C R GRACE, M HURLEY, HINAU STATION LTD, DUNCAN LAND CO LTD, TE KUMU ESTATES LTD, OTAIRI STATION LTD, A HURLEY KNOWN JOINTLY AS "THE HUNTERVILLE HILL COUNTRY OBJECTORS"	422	6	In our submission, the Council's policies and rules for Biosecurity issues should be part and parcel of the "One Plan".	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	141	Delete Rule 12- 4	Accept in part
	X511	407	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	142	Reword rule 12-4 as follows: Amend Vegetation in the Glossary to give effect to this submission point	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	143	Amend thresholds to a per hectare amount	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	144	(e) for the purposes of removal or disturbance of controlling weed and pests species pursuant to the pest management strategy prepared under the Biosecurity Act 1993. Consequential amendment through this chapter and the Plan	Accept in part
	X525	20	GENESIS POWER LTD - Oppose	Reject
GRANT ADKINS	428	3	Allow the control of scrub.	Accept
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	9	We would wish to see this slope angle increased to 24 degrees	Reject
	X501	263	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	143	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
GEORGE R ROSS	441	4	Chapter 12 - Rule 12.4 - Vegetation Clearance; Volume and Area should be scaled to give an equitable outcome.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND CONTRACTORS FEDERATION	458	4	A more practical limit [for the coastal highly erodible land area] would be at least 1000m ² . The limit of 1ha for hill country highly erodible land is probably more than enough for a contractor	Reject
ROYAL FOREST &	460	101	Submitter supports Rule 12-4:	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND			Vegetation clearance. "This rule is clear and appropriate given the severity of erosion related impacts that have occurred due to inappropriate vegetation clearance."	
	X511	405	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept

35. Rule 12-5 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance on coastal foredunes and near waterbodies

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MERVYN H GEORGE	141	3	Amend to read "Consent required if wash from disturbed soil can directly enter a stream etc."	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	146	That Rule 12-5 be amended to reduce the consent requirement for works on any slopes within the vicinity of a watercourse to require consent for land disturbance and vegetation clearance only within 5 metres of a waterbody. Or Alternatively, add a new sub-number for those activities for which Rule 12.5 does not apply to, which are listed in subsection (f) undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks and other infrastructure.	Accept in part
	X481	211	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	10	Delete: Clause c) - setbacks from artificial water bodies.	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	10	As for 179-10	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA FRUITGROWERS ASSOCIATION	232	5	As for 179-10	Accept in part
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	10	As for 179-10	Accept in part
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	9	As for 179-10	Accept in part
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	10	As for 179-10	Accept in part
DAVID YOUNG	348	10	As for 179-10	Accept in part
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	10	As for 179-10	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA	392	2	As for 179-10	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION				part
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	2	As for 179-10	Accept in part
B S YOUNG LTD	449	2	As for 179-10	Accept in part
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	11	Amend Clause b i) for rivers lakes and wetlands in areas where the land slope is between 0 degrees and 15 degrees and within 5 metres of a Site of Significance Aquatic.	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	11	As for 179-11	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA FRUITGROWERS ASSOCIATION	232	6	As for 179-11	Accept in part
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	11	As for 179-11	Accept in part
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	10	As for 179-11	Accept in part
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	11	As for 179-11	Accept in part
DAVID YOUNG	348	11	As for 179-11	Accept in part
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	11	As for 179-11	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	3	As for 179-11	Accept in part
	X492	210	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION – Oppose	Reject
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	4	As for 179-11	Accept in part
B S YOUNG LTD	449	4	As for 179-11	Accept in part
NGATI KAHUNGUNU IWI INCORPORATED	180	61	In last column add: "Resource consent applications under this rule will be publicly notified."	Reject
	X482	3	LIVESTOCK IMPROVEMENT CORP LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X486	5	AG RESEARCH LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X501	12	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X520	4	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Oppose	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	31	Add a new sub clause to rule 12-5 Activity: "(f) undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Regional Council in	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			accordance with the Environmental Code of practice for River Works, Horizons Regional Council, August 2007 [or adopted version]"	
	X495	224	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
	X500	164	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X507	164	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X515	164	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X517	74	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X532	164	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL	241	99	That Horizons amend Rule 12-5, or alternatively amend the definition of vegetation clearance, to permit roadside vegetation clearance carried out under the direction of the roading authority.	Accept in part
	X500	293	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X507	293	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X515	295	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X517	281	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X532	293	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	16	Delete Provision	Accept in part
POWERCO LIMITED	272	35	Rule 12-5 to have an additional exemption to read "(f) for the purpose of maintaining an infrastructure corridor to comply with the provisions of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 or for the purpose of maintaining and operating infrastructure."	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	118	Amend Rule 12-5 to reduce the consent requirement for works on any slopes within the vicinity of a watercourse to require consent for land disturbance and vegetation clearance only within 5 metres of a waterbody. Amended wording is provided below: Rule 12-.5: Vegetation Clearance and land disturbance on coastal foredunes and near water bodies: Discretionary Activity Vegetation clearance and land disturbance, including cultivation, pursuant to s 9 RMA in the following areas: (a) for coastal foredunes*, on any land along the west coast of the Region between the coastal marine area and the inland margin of the coastal foredune (b) for rivers, lakes and natural wetlands: (i) in areas within 5 metres of any permanently flowing river, or any other river with a bed width in excess of 2 metres, or any other lake or any other wetland (c) for artificial water bodies, within 5 m of the wetted perimeter of the water body.	Accept in part
	X481	823	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X531	81	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	119	Alternatively, add a new sub-number for those activities for which Rule 12-5 does not apply to, which are listed in subsection (d) and (e) of Rule 12-5, as follows: (f) undertaken by or on behalf of, Territorial Authorities for the purpose of managing district roading networks.	Accept in part
	X481	824	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	46	ENM generally support these rules, but are concerned at the lack of guidance given to conditions /standards/ terms given. The way the rules are set out lacks coherence and we can only assume that objectives in the RPS would be referred to.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	112	Decisions Sought: Amend Rule 12-5 clause b i) to read: for rivers lakes and wetlands in areas where the land slope is between 00 and 15 0 and within 5 metres of a Site of Significance - Aquatic Delete Clause c) - setbacks from artificial water bodies.	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	149	Meridian opposes Rule 12-5 and requests the following amendments or similar: Classify vegetation clearance and land disturbance associated with renewable energy generation facilities as a permitted activity as requested in Meridian's primary submission to Chapter 12. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept in part
	X492	211	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X511	408	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	150	Meridian opposes Rule 12-5 and requests the following amendments or similar: Add a new section (f) under the heading "Activity" as follows: (f) for renewable energy generation facilities carried out in accordance with a renewable energy development plan (this is a permitted activity under Rule 12-1). Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Reject
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	151	In the event that Meridian's preference to have a separate permitted activity rule for renewable energy generation facilities is not Accepted, Meridian requests that Rule 12-5 is amended as follows or similar: Amend section (b) under the heading "Activity" as follows: (b)(i) in areas within 5 metres of any permanently flowing river, or any other river with a bed width in excess of 2 metres, or any other lake or any other wetland. Delete subsection (b)(ii) Delete condition (a) with regard to reference of rare or threatened habitats. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			submission	
	X492	212	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
MINISTER OF CONSERVATION	372	136	Retain the rule as proposed.	Reject
	X495	238	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Accept
	X511	409	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	145	Delete Rule 12- 5	Accept in part
	X511	410	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	146	Formulate a new permitted activity rule, with appropriate thresholds and performance standards for land disturbance and vegetation clearance in proximity to waterbodies. Further, a exemption within this rule relating to vegetation clearance and land disturbance associated with the construction, use and maintenance of river crossings	Accept in part
	X511	411	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	147	Amend 12-5 as follows: (b) (i) in areas where the land slope is between 0 and 150 ,within 10m 5 m of a Site of Significance - Aquatic	Reject
	X511	598	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Unknown	Reject
	X531	82	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	148	Delete condition (b) (ii)	Accept
	X511	412	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
	X522	311	MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED - Support	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	149	Delete condition (c)	Accept in part
	X511	413	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	150	Amend 12-5 as follows: (e) for the purposes of removal or disturbance of weed and pests species.	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF	426	151	Amend 12-5 as follows: (f) for the purposes of vegetation clearance and	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
NEW ZEALAND INC			land disturbance associated with the construction, use and maintenance of river crossings Consequential amendment through this chapter and the Plan	
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	3	We would wish that HRC would develop a process that allowed every forest owner to clarify site particular setback provisions.	Accept in part
	X501	257	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	137	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
LANDLINK LTD	440	83	The submitter notes: Rule 12-5; (b) is poorly worded and difficult to understand.	Accept
LANDLINK LTD	440	84	A diagram may be helpful and/or reference to a fixed point for measuring from (eg. top of bank).	Reject
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	102	Submitter supports Rule 12-5: Vegetation clearance and land disturbance on coastal foredunes and near water bodies.	Accept in part
	X495	239	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject

36. Rule 12-6 Vegetation clearance and land disturbance that do not comply with permitted and controlled activity rules

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	12	Include a new restricted discretionary rule for Cultivation that does not meet permitted activity conditions. Matters for discretion to include the methods to manage runoff.	Reject
NGATI KAHUNGUNU IWI INCORPORATED	180	62	In last column add: "Resource consent applications under this rule will be publicly notified."	Reject
	X501	13	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Oppose	Accept
	X520	5	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Oppose	Accept
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	12	Include a new restricted discretionary rule for Cultivation that does not meet permitted activity conditions. Matters for discretion to include the methods to manage runoff.	Reject
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	12	As for 225-12	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	11	As for 225-12	Reject
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	12	As for 225-12	Reject
DAVID YOUNG	348	12	As for 225-12	Reject
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	12	As for 225-12	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	113	As for 225-12	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	4	As for 225-12	Reject
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	5	As for 225-12	Reject
B S YOUNG LTD	449	5	As for 225-12	Reject
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	17	Amend Activity to read "Any vegetation clearance or land disturbance (excluding cultivation)";	Accept in part
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	36	Amend the definition for Land Disturbance by adding "(excluding cultivation)" after "surfaces"	Accept
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	47	ENM generally support these rules, but are concerned at the lack of guidance given to conditions/standards/terms given. The way the rules are set out lacks coherence and we can only assume that objectives in the RPS would be referred to	Accept in part
ROYAL FOREST & BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND	460	103	Submitter supports Rule 12-6: Vegetation clearance and land disturbance that do not comply with permitted and controlled activity rules.	Accept in part
	X492	213	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept in part

37. Schedule A

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
MARION GILLARD	46	1	Areas of Highly erodible Land in Schedule A are clearly defined so Maps need to be enlarged to give the necessary detail.	Accept in part
ANTHONY DAVID & GAYLENE MAY ATKINS	56	1	HEL is clearly defined and that Schedule A is withdrawn and replaced with a Schedule that enables the concerns listed [in submission] to be	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			met in clear, unambiguous and certain manner. Preferably this should be as a result of meaningful consultation with potentially affected property owners	
RICHARD JOHN & CORAL EVELYN EDWARDS	57	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
CLIFTON HOWARD TOMBLESON	58	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
PUKEKAHU FARM LTD	60	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
DAVID EARLE ROBINS MATTHEWS	65	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
RUSSELL SULLIVAN	94	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
BRUCE EDWARD CULLEY	98	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
ALLAN FRANCIS O'NEILL & F J O'NEILL & SONS	113	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
PETER ALEXANDER ANDERSON	121	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
HEATHER OLIVER	144	5	As for 56-1	Accept in part
WINSTON OLIVER	145	6	As for 56-1	Accept in part
	X495	401	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
JOHN COLLIER DONALD	154	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
BARRY & GLENDA WADE	155	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
COLIN CASELEY	156	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
WARRICK & SALLY STREET	157	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
KERRY JOHN THOMPSON	175	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
DONALD ALAN WINDLE	186	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
IAN DOUGLAS MC COUBRIE	187	5	As for 56-1	Accept in part
RODNEY STUART MC COUBRIE	188	3	As for 56-1	Accept in part
PATRICK WILLIAM CARROLL	189	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
STUART MC NIE	198	5	As for 56-1	Accept in part
ROSEANNE PARKES	217	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
RICHARD PORRITT	247	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
MATTHEW BLACK	248	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
ANDREW PORRITT	249	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
GEOFFREY THOMAS BURTON	271	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
FRASER LINDSAY HORROCKS	289	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
JOHN COLIN BLACK	292	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN WELLS	223	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
BLAIR PATRICK SHORTALL	302	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
JAMES TRUEBRIDGE & SUE YEREX	304	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
ATIHOU - WHANGANUI INCORPORATION	309	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
GEORGE ANTHONY MATTHEWS	333	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
DONALD JAMES POLSON	329	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
	X524	1	DEAN GREGORY SPARKES - Support	Accept in part
STEWART LESLIE MATTHEWS	342	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
TIM MATTHEWS	445	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
WANGANUI PROVINCE OF FEDERATED FARMS INC	446	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
ROB KIRK & TIM MATTHEWS	453	1	As for 56-1	Accept in part
IAN EDWARD ROKE	142	2	I ask that highly erodible land be zoned as shown in map figure 5.1.	Accept in part
PHILIPA ANN ROKE	143	2	As for 142-2	Accept in part
LIONEL WEST	221	2	As for 142-2	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
WILLIAM PEHI SNR	294	2	As for 142-2	part Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	131	[Reference to Rules 12-2, 12-3 12-7 and 12-8] (a) That the maps in Schedule A are not adequate and need to be redrawn using information at a much lower level.	Accept in part
	X481	196	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	206	Council seeks the map in Schedule A be replaced with figure 5.1 and the information be produced and available at a site-specific scale on the Regional Council website via a web-based interactive GIS system, with property boundaries, street addresses and road names provided.	Accept in part
	X481	271	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL – Support	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	112	Remove Figure A:1 (which shows a map of properties containing highly erodible land) from Schedule A.	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	113	Insert into Schedule A Table A:1 which describes land which is considered to be highly erodible. This is attached to the submission as appendix one.	Reject
	X495	448	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept Oppose
	X531	140	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND -	Accept Oppose in part
	X533	64	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
RUAPEHU FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	246	4	Delete Schedule A and replace with an appropriate definition for HEL, based on meaningful consultation with potentially affected land owners and occupiers	Accept
	X495	398	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL -	Accept Support
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD,	303	19	Provide usable maps accurately defining the extent of the land being defined.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD				
NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD	319	9	NZFM submits that Council considers providing landowners/managers with GIS overlays of these maps that can be incorporated into companies own mapping systems. This would enable boundaries to be clearly defined and landowners/managers would know explicitly how the Council categorises their land within the region	Reject
	X501	144	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
W J ROLSTON	325	1	We strongly object to the classification of areas of land on our property.	Accept in part
D J KILSBY - HALLIDAY	328	1	There should be an opportunity for land that is not erosion prone to be reclassified without having to go through a whole farm business plan.	Accept in part
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	62	Include as part of Schedule A a specification of the land use, rock, soil, slope, vegetation and other factors which comprise the definition of Highly Erodible Land.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	63	If practicable include additional key defining factors in provisos (b) to Rule 12-3 and (d) to Rule 12-4.	Reject
NEW ZEALAND DEFENCE FORCE	330	64	Clarify and make consistent the use of terms within Schedule A and related Rules.	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	140	Delete Fig.A1.	Accept
	X481	696	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
OWEN BONNOR	341	4	Figure 5.1 maybe a better guide than Fig A:1	Accept
OWEN BONNOR	341	5	DOC should take ownership of silt from DOC land.	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	111	Replace the map in Schedule A with Figure 5.1 and produce the information and make it available at a site-specific scale on the Horizons Regional Council website via a web-based interactive GIS, with property boundaries, street addresses and road names provided.	Accept in part
	X481	816	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
JOHN BATLEY	355	1	As hill country has been included under the umbrella of Highly Erodible Land the Regional Council will suffer because of the constraints on our ability to manage our properties efficiently.	Accept in part
ENVIRONMENT NETWORK MANAWATU	356	24	ENM note the map shown in Schedule A is not of sufficient scale that property owners or interested parties can easily distinguish if a particular property contains HEL land.	Accept
	X495	402	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	148	Decision Sought: Delete Coastal Erodible Land from Figure A.1.	Accept
	X511	554	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	142	Delete Schedule A from the Proposed Plan and any references to Schedule A elsewhere in the document. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Schedule A as proposed in this submission.	Accept
CLAYTON & MICHELLE POTTS	361	3	No decision requested but following objection raised The One Plan maps included in the proposal indicate that the Southern King Country is highly erodible land, we disagree to this assumption, past flooding events confirm this area holds its form better than areas like the Manawatu.	Accept in part
ELAINE GUBB & MICHAEL SANDERSON	362	3	As for 361-3	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	208	Meridian opposes Schedule A and requests the following or similar: Delete Schedule A; or Amend Schedule A to more accurately map the land that is highly erodible, and to include details as to which properties within the Region it affects. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept
	X511	553	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE &	373	42	WITHDRAWN MAF supports the change in the definition for Highly Erodible Land to	Withdrawn

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
FORESTRY			class 7e land and above, as this reflects the land most at risk of eroding.	
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	43	WITHDRAWN The Proposed One Plan should recognise that significant erosion can also occur on class 6e land and, while this is a lower risk, it should be considered when developing whole farm business plans.	Withdrawn
ALFRED JAMES SIVYER	387	4	No specific decision requested, however submitter notes their opposition to this provision.	Accept in part
	X495	399	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
PROPERTY RIGHTS IN NEW ZEALAND INC	393	5	PRINZ also considers that the "Whole Farm Business Plan" concept is a total waste of ratepayers money as it offers no real benefit, only creates jobs for the boys. PRINZ is also concerned , having read the Rainey Farm Plan that the writers are advocating that farmers retire land and concentrate their stock on the better classes of land. The concept of concentrating stock on one area is not a sound environment practice as all hill country farmers will tell you, it is the least amount of hooves in an area that gives the best environmental result.	Reject
	X495	400	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Reject
C R GRACE, M HURLEY, HINAU STATION LTD, DUNCAN LAND CO LTD, TE KUMU ESTATES LTD, OTAIRI STATION LTD, A HURLEY KNOWN JOINTLY AS "THE HUNTERVILLE HILL COUNTRY OBJECTORS"	422	1	That the definition of HEL be made more specific and it becomes a written definition, not one that relies on a map that is not specific to particular areas, and is far too encompassing The Council needs to devote effort to making the definition of "HEL" more specific to areas of land and more easily understood by the people that it affects. It needs to be set out in writing in language that is easily understood or contains formulas that are easily interpreted. Additionally, it needs to be more specific to terrain, slope, and soil type and so forth so that the blanket effect of the current definition is avoided. In keeping with an amended definition of "HEL" we submit the 20 tolerance would be raised and perhaps soil type and terrain would also be considerations.	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	130	Reword the definition of Highly Erodible Land (HEL) as follows: Land identified as sub-classes Class VII - VIII land with high or severe potential for erosion within the NZ land resource inventory. The planning maps are indicative of the location and extent of Highly Erodible Land (HEL) within the region" (or words to that effect)	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	233	Delete Schedule A and refer to a definition (supplied) Delete Coastal Erodible Land and define by way of the glossary	Accept in part
	X511	555	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Reject
LOCAL FORESTRY INDUSTRY GROUP	435	1	We would wish to see this broken down into at least 4 or 5 different segments, eg coastal zones at a larger scale showing a clearer picture of HEL with or without existing forest cover. This would give a better view of what is actually there and allow more specific targeting of affected areas.	Reject
	X520	135	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject
MIDDLE DISTRICTS FARM FOREST ASSOCIATION	444	3	No specific decision requested, however submitter suggests that the schedule A map be more clearly defined	Accept in part
	X501	276	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	144	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
ANGUS GORDON	447	19	Provide more explanation of this map and a definition of Highly Erodible Land	Accept in part
ECOLOGIC FOUNDATION	456	4	The council should uphold the proposed definitions and maps of highly erodible land.	Reject

38. Glossary Terms - Land

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	40	Alternative amendments to achieve the relief sought in 331/39	Reject
	X501	183	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Reject
	X520	91	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	41	Amend the definition of Highly Erodible Land and/or amend the maps in Schedule A to ensure the definition only applies to that land in the region which is in fact highly erodible.	Accept
	X501	184	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	92	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	43	Retain the definitions for Vegetation Clearance in the Glossary.	Accept in part
	X501	186	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	14	Include a definition for cultivation as follows: Cultivation means preparing the soil for growing a crop or pasture and the planting, tending and harvesting of that crop.	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	224	As for 357-14	Accept in part
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	22	Provide usable maps in SCH A accurately defining the extent of the land being defined.	Reject
	303	20	As for 303-22	Reject
X477 2 PRITCHARD GROUP LIMITED -				Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
PIRIE CONSULTANTS LTD, PACIFIC FARMS LTD, HOULT CONTRACTORS LTD, KEEGAN CONTRACTORS LTD, PARANUI CONTRACTORS LTD, RYMAN HEALTHCARE LTD, M & M EARTHMOVERS LTD, TITAN1 LTD AND O'HAGAN CONTRACTING LTD	303	21	As for 303-22	Reject
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	138	Provide a clear definition for "Coastal Highly-Erodible Land or remove this definition from the Plan.	Accept
	X477	4	PRITCHARD GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept
	X481	694	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	137	As for 340-138	Accept
	X477	3	PRITCHARD GROUP LIMITED - Support	Accept
	X481	693	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	11	As for 340-138	Accept
	X492	358	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X511	543	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	222	Delete definition	Accept
	X492	359	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X511	544	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept
CHRISTOPHER JOHN BAINES	39	1	Redefine Highly Erodible land in a true and correct manner.	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	104	Amend the glossary term for 'Highly erodible land' to read: 'Highly Erodible Land (HEL) means land that meets the criteria for highly erodible land as described in Schedule A'	Reject
	X531	135	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Support	Accept

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X533	59	Oppose FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	105	Amend the definitions for Hill Country Highly Erodible land and Coastal Highly Erodible Land to be consistent with the amended definition of Highly Erodible Land.	Reject
	X531	136	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose	Accept
	X533	60	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	20	Decision Sought: Delete "and includes both coastal highly erodible land and" from the definition of HEL.	Accept
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	138	Delete the definition of 'Highly Erodible Land' from the Proposed Plan and any references to this term elsewhere in the document. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of this definition as proposed in this submission.	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	199	Meridian requests the definition of Highly Erodible Land is deleted in its entirety; or Schedule A is amended to more accurately map the land that is highly erodible, and include details as to which properties within the Region it affects. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept in part
	X511	547	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
WITHDRAWN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE & FORESTRY	373	41	WITHDRAWN MAF supports the change in the definition for Highly Erodible Land to class 7e land and above, as this reflects the land most at risk of eroding.	Withdrawn
	X511	549	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	21	Reword the definition of Highly Erodible Land (HEL) as follows: "Land identified as subclasses of Class VII - VIII land with high or severe potential for erosion within the NZ land resource inventory." The planning maps are indicative of the location and extent of Highly Erodible	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			Land (HEL) within the region" (or words to that effect)	
	X511	548	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Oppose	Accept
	X531	134	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose and Support	Accept in part
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	227	As for 426-21	Reject
TRUST POWER LIMITED	358	139	Delete the definition of ' Hillcountry Highly Erodible Land' from the Proposed Plan and any references to this term elsewhere in the document. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of this definition as proposed in this submission.	Accept in part
MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED	363	200	Meridian requests the definition of High country Highly Erodible Land is deleted in its entirety; or Schedule A is amended to more accurately map the land that is highly erodible, and include details as to which properties within the Region it affects. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	Accept in part
	X511	550	TRUST POWER LIMITED - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	143	(b) Amend the glossary definition of land disturbance to read: "Land disturbance means the disturbance of land surfaces by any means including blading, blasting, contouring, cutting of batters, excavation, ripping, root raking, moving or removing soil or earth. This definition excludes normal maintenance and minor improvements of legally established structures, road, tracks, railway lines and existing infrastructure.	Accept in part
	X481	208	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	370	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	201	As for 151-143	Accept in part
	X481	266	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X492	368	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	70	As for 151-143	Accept in part
	X481	341	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X523	10	TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD - Support	Accept in part
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	3	Exclude cultivation from the definition of land disturbance.	Accept
	X492	364	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	3	As for 179-3	Accept
DAVID YOUNG	348	3	As for 179-3	Accept
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	3	As for 179-3	Accept
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	3	As for 179-3	Accept
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	3	As for 179-3	Accept
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	3	As for 179-3	Accept
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	16	As for 179-3	Accept
	X492	365	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
B S YOUNG LTD	449	17	As for 179-3	Accept
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	17	As for 179-3	Accept
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	4	Include a definition for cultivation from the current Land and Water Plan as follows: Cultivation means preparing the soil for growing a crop or pasture and the planting, tending and harvesting of that crop.	Accept in part
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part
DAVID YOUNG	348	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part
KIM YOUNG & SONS	315	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
LTD				part
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	4	As for 179-4	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	17	As for 179-4	Accept in part
B S YOUNG LTD	449	18	As for 179-4	Accept in part
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	106	Amend the glossary term for land disturbance to include any road works within the existing road reserve.	Accept in part
	X492	369	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X495	397	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
	X500	174	TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
	X507	174	MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
	X515	174	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
	X532	174	WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL - Oppose	Reject
HOROWHENUA FRUITGROWERS ASSOCIATION	232	1	Delete from the definition of land disturbance.	Accept in part
TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	11	Delete the definition of Land Disturbance and replace it with the following: Land disturbance means the disturbance of land surfaces by any means including blading, blasting, contouring, cutting of batters, excavation, ripping, root raking, moving or removing soil or earth. This definition excludes normal maintenance of legally established structures, roads, tracks and railway lines and works on existing transmission assets..	Accept in part
POWERCO LIMITED	272	27	"Land disturbance" is a defined term in the Glossary. Powerco submits that the last sentence of the definition should be amended to read "This definition excludes normal maintenance, repair and operation of legally established structures, roads, tracks and railway lines."	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	73	Amend the glossary definition of land disturbance to read: "Land disturbance means the	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			disturbance of land surfaces by any means including blading, blasting, contouring, cutting of batters, excavation, ripping, root raking, moving or removing soil or earth. This definition excludes normal maintenance and minor improvements of legally established structures, road, tracks and railway lines	
	X481	434	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	90	As for 280-73	Accept in part
	X481	550	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	92	As for 280-73	Accept in part
	X481	648	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	105	Land disturbance means the disturbance of land surfaces by any means including blading, blasting, contouring, cutting of batters, excavation, ripping, root raking, moving or removing soil or earth. This definition excludes normal maintenance and upgrading or of legally established structures, roads, tracks and railway lines, where these works are carried out within legal road or within a road or railway designation as defined in a district plan.	Accept in part
	X481	810	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	367	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	21	Specifically exclude cultivation from the definition of land disturbance. Include a definition for cultivation from the current Land and Water Plan as follows: Cultivation means preparing the soil for growing a crop or pasture and the planting, tending and harvesting of that crop.	Accept in part
	X492	366	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	228	As for 357-21	Accept in part
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	18	As for 357-21	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
HANCOCK FOREST MANAGEMENT (NZ) LTD	331	42	Retain the definitions for Production Forestry in the Glossary.	Accept
	X501	185	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept
	X520	93	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	30	Decision Sought: Exclude orchard trees from the definition of tree land.	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	140	[Reference to Rules 12-1, 12-3, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6] An amendment to the definition of vegetation clearance is required.	Accept in part
	X481	205	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	142	(a) Amend the glossary definition of vegetation clearance to read: "Vegetation clearance means the cutting, crushing, spraying, burning or other means of removal of vegetation, including indigenous and exotic plants. It does not include: (i) grazing (ii) pruning or thinning operations associated with production forestry (iii) the control of pest plants as defined in the Regional Pest Plant Management Strategy (iv) vegetation clearance carried out in the normal maintenance and improvements of legally established structures, roads, tracks and railway lines"	Accept in part
	X481	207	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	390	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X481	205	As for 151-142	Accept in part
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	X481	270	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X501	5	ERNSLAW ONE LTD - Support	Accept in part
	X520	2	NZ FOREST MANAGERS LTD - Support	Accept in part
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	69	As for 151-142	Accept in part
	X481	340	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	386	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
	X495	392	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X523	11	TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD - Support	Accept in part
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	72	As for 151-142	Accept in part
	X481	433	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	387	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X495	393	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	89	As for 151-142	Accept in part
	X481	549	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	389	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X495	394	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	91	As for 151-142	Accept in part
	X481	647	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X492	388	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Oppose	Reject
	X495	395	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	110	Vegetation clearance means the cutting, crushing, spraying, burning or other means of removal of vegetation, including indigenous and exotic plants. It does not include: (a) grazing (b) pruning or thinning operations associated with production forestry (c) the control of pest plants as defined in the Regional Pest Plant Management Strategy (d) vegetation clearance associated with the normal maintenance and upgrading of legally established roads where these works are carried out within a legal road.	Accept in part
	X481	815	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part
	X495	396	RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL - Support	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
KIM YOUNG & SONS LTD	315	6	Include harvesting of crops in the exclusions in the definition of vegetation clearance.	Accept
KAPITI GREEN LIMITED	317	6	As for 315-6	Accept
MOUNTAIN CARROTS NZ LTD	179	6	As for 315-6.	Accept
DAVID JOHN GREENWOOD	225	6	As for 315-6.	Accept
WOODHAVEN GARDENS LTD	347	6	As for 315-6.	Accept
DAVID YOUNG	348	6	As for 315-6.	Accept
ALMADALE PRODUCE LTD	350	6	As for 315-6.	Accept
HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND	357	31	As for 315-6.	Accept
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT GROWERS ASSOCIATION	392	19	As for 315-6.	Accept
FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC	426	232	As for 315-6.	Accept
PESCINI BROTHERS	438	20	As for 315-6.	Accept
B S YOUNG LTD	449	20	As for 315-6.	Accept
HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL	182	111	Amend the glossary term for 'vegetation clearance' to provide for normal farm activities which do not have an impact on soil erosion and which do not impact on rare, threatened or at risk habitats.	Accept
	X492	391	MINISTER OF CONSERVATION - Support	Accept
	X531	139	HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND - Oppose in part	Reject
	X533	62	FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND INC - Support	Accept
TRANSPower NEW ZEALAND LTD	265	12	Amend the definition of Vegetation Clearance by adding a further clause as follows: (d) vegetation trimming and removal required to meet the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Accept in part
POWERCO LIMITED	272	28	"Vegetation clearance" is a defined term in the Glossary. Powerco submits there should be an additional exclusion to read "tree trimming and or cutting carried out for the purpose of maintaining an 'infrastructure corridor' including any such corridor	Accept in part

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
RUAPEHU DISTRICT COUNCIL	151	134	established in order to comply with the provisions of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003." Amend the definition of "Whole Farm Business Plan" in glossary 10, paragraph (c) to read "an indigenous ecological assessment of any proposed future vegetation clearance".	Reject
	X481	199	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
TARARUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	172	65	Withdraw the whole plan; or amend - the definition of "Whole Farm Business Plan" in glossary 10, paragraph (c) to read "an ecological assessment of any proposed future vegetation clearance".	Reject
	X481	336	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
HOROWHENUA DISTRICT COUNCIL	280	68	As for 172-65	Reject
	X481	429	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
WANGANUI DISTRICT COUNCIL	291	39	As for 172-65	Reject
	X481	499	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL	346	65	As for 172-65	Reject
	X481	770	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject
POWERCO LIMITED	272	29	The development of whole farm business plans provides an opportunity to incorporate the appropriate management of infrastructure corridors located on farms into everyday farm management. This could be achieved by adding an additional item that must be contained in the whole farm business plan to read: "the location of any network utility assets on the farm ."	Reject
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	87	Amend the definition of "Whole Farm Business Plan" in Glossary 10, paragraph (c) to read "an ecological assessment of any proposed future vegetation clearance".	Reject
	X481	643	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Reject

Submitter	No	Point	Decision Sought	Decision
			COUNCIL - Support	
MANAWATU BRANCH OF NZ GREEN PARTY	433	38	Extend Whole Farm Business Plan list of requirements (Glossary-10) to include information on shelter belts and environmental enhancement.	Reject
MANAWATU DISTRICT COUNCIL	340	139	Provide a clear definition for "Hill Country Highly-Erodible Land" or remove this definition from the Plan.	Accept
	X481	695	PALMERSTON NORTH CITY COUNCIL - Support	Accept