

Appendix 1

Horizons Proposed One Plan

Water (Chapter 6), Discharges to Land and Water (Chapter 13), Takes, Uses and Diversions of Water and Bores (Chapter 15), Structures and Activities involving Beds of Rivers and Lakes, and Artificial Water Courses and Damming (Chapter 16) and Schedules B and D.

Summary of primary and further submissions of TrustPower Limited

Submitter number	Relief Sought	Officer's recommendation	Comments on Staff Recommendation
<b>4.1 Chapter 6 General – Water Quality</b>			
357 163 Horticulture NZ (Hort NZ) X 492 76 Minister of Conservation (DOC) Oppose X 511 153 TrustPower (TPL) Oppose	Amend Chapter 6 to give effect to the following matters: Delete water management 'values' wherever used in Chapter 6.	357 163 Reject X 492 76 Accept X 511 153 Accept	Support.
426 39 Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc (Fed Farmers) X 481 57 Palmerston North City Council (PNCC)- Support X 487 51 Fonterra Cooperative Group (Fonterra) - Support X 492 71 DOC - Oppose X 506 41 Manawatu Branch of the New Zealand Green Party (Green Party) - Oppose X 511 150 TPL - Support X 531 54 Hort NZ - Support	Delete Schedule D Surface Water Management Zones and Standards and all references to schedule D in the plan, until such time as the water values and management objectives and methods are reviewed on the basis of full cost benefit analysis taking into account economic considerations for the region and on the basis of clear evidence linking existing water quality and practices, proposed water quality standards and proposed methods/rules to achieve those standards. Alternatively, withdraw this section of the Plan until further science and consultation has been completed.	426 39 Reject X 481 57 Reject X 487 51 Reject X 492 71 Accept X 506 41 Accept X 511 150 Reject X 531 54 Reject	Oppose the current lack of economic considerations.
359 4 Mighty River Power (MRP) X 487 9 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 13 DOC - Oppose X 511 6 TPL - Support X 525 105 Genesis Power Ltd (Genesis)- Support X 531 3 Hort NZ - Oppose	The addition of a new section to Part 6 of the Plan that provides a policy framework (objectives and policies) for the allocation of water to hydro electricity. The policies need to recognise and provide for: - The allocation of water to hydroelectricity (consumptive and non-consumptive) - The water allocated to hydro electricity, including stored water for future generation, in a manner that it can not be derogated. - Hydroelectricity as an essential activity or take The policies also need to recognise that: - The use of water for hydro electricity is regionally and nationally significant.	359 4 Reject X 487 9 Accept X 492 13 Accept X 511 6 Reject X 525 105 Reject X 531 3 Accept	Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	- The potential benefits that the use of water for hydro electricity can have: including the generation of energy using renewable resources, the ability to store water for future electricity generation and that water is available for consumptive takes.		
359 6 MRP X 487 13 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 7 TPL - Support X 522 12 Meridian Energy Ltd (MEL) - Support in Part X 525 116 Genesis - Support X 531 5 Hort NZ - Oppose	The amendment of Schedule B to exclude takes and uses of water for hydroelectricity activities from the core allocation and minimum flow requirements.	359 6 Reject X 487 13 Accept X 511 7 Reject X 522 12 Accept in Part X 525 116 Reject X 531 5 Accept	Oppose, as above.
363 58 MEL X 487 54 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 72 DOC - Oppose X 511 146 TPL - Support X 525 90 Genesis - Support X 531 55 Hort NZ - Oppose in Part	Meridian requests that Chapter 6 is amended as follows or similar: The addition of a new section to Chapter 6 that provides a policy framework (objectives and policies) specifically for takes, uses, damming and diversion activities associated with hydro electricity. The policies need to recognise that: - the take and use of water for hydro electricity is an essential take; - the use of water for hydro electricity generation is regionally and nationally significant; - hydro electricity can involve the damming (including storage of water) and / or diversion of water; - water allocated or stored or hydro electricity generation purposes should not be adversely affected by other abstractions or activities; - when water is taken, dammed and/or diverted for hydro electricity that returned water is able to be allocated for consumptive takes provided that new users recognise the reliability and availability constraints associated with the returned water; - the potential benefits that the use of water for hydro electricity can have: including the generation of energy using renewable resources, the ability to store water for future generation and that water is still available for consumptive takes downstream.	363 58 Reject X 487 54 Accept X 492 72 Accept X 511 146 Reject X 525 90 Reject X 531 55 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above.
363 59 MEL X 487 55 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 73 DOC - Oppose X 511 147 TPL - Support X 531 56 Hort NZ - Oppose in Part	Meridian requests that Chapter 6 is amended as follows or similar: Include new provisions within the One Plan allowing for consideration of an alternative minimum flow or allocation regime via a resource consent application process where the applicant has provided new or improved scientific knowledge on the waterbody and where the: - effects on the values that have been identified for the particular river system are able to be avoided, remedied or mitigated; - effects on existing takes and uses have been evaluated and are minor - benefits to the community and the nation offset adverse effects; - The positive benefits of allowing water takes for renewable energy developments that also limit the potential for greenhouse gas emissions;	363 59 Reject X 487 55 Accept X 492 73 Accept X 511 147 Reject X 531 56 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above.
363 60 MEL X 487 56 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 74 DOC - Oppose X 511 148 TPL - Support	Meridian requests that Chapter 6 is amended as follows or similar: The addition of new policies in the One Plan that provides direction on the considerations that will be taken into account in any application to dam and or divert water, including: - Adverse effects on values of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna ; - The extent to which the activity will obstruct the passage of trout and/or indigenous fish;	363 60 Reject X 487 56 Accept X 492 74 Accept X 511 148 Reject	Oppose, as above.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The potential for flooding or erosion;</li> <li>- The adverse effects of changes in catchments and sediment transport processes on water quality, habitat and flow regimes in perennial streams; and</li> <li>- The positive effects of allowing renewable energy developments that also limit the potential for greenhouse gas emissions.</li> </ul>		
<p>372 46 DOC  X 500 240 Tararua District Council (TDC) - Oppose  X 507 240 Manawatu District Council (MDC)- Oppose  X 511 152 TPL - Support  X 515 240 Horowhenua District Council (HDC) Oppose  X 517 147 Rangitikei District Council (RDC) - Oppose  X 532 240 Wanganui District Council (WDC)- Oppose</p>	<p>Add the following project (with additional detail as necessary) as methods:</p> <p>(i) Development of indicators for assessing and monitoring changes to natural character of rivers. This project would involve the development of indicators and techniques for measuring changes to river and channel morphology arising from natural processes and human intervention -assessing the relationship of these changes to habitat availability and diversity -development of management approaches (within the existing river bed and wider catchment) to optimise both flood hazard reduction and maintenance/rehabilitation of natural values associated with the river corridor. Feasibility and economic implications of alternatives would be considered and discussed with landowners and other interested agencies.</p> <p>The project would initially be developed and applied on a case study basis to typical catchments in the region.</p> <p>(ii) Regional audit of potential areas suitable for retirement as riparian wetlands (including potential benefits for habitat/biodiversity enhancement, flood buffering and water storage)</p>	<p>372 46 Reject  X 500 240 Accept  X 507 240 Accept  X 511 152 Reject  X 515 240 Accept  X 517 147 Accept  X 532 240 Accept</p>	<p>Support.</p>
<p>359 7 MRP  X 492 20 DOC - Oppose  X 511 8 TPL- Support  X 522 13 MEL- Support in Part  X 525 111 Genesis -Oppose</p>	<p>The addition of new policies into Part 6 of the Plan that provide direction on the matters to be considered in any future application to dam and or divert water including whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adverse effects on the values specified in Schedule D are avoided, remedied or mitigated where practicable - That the activity will not obstruct fish passage of trout and/or indigenous fish to complete their lifecycle where it would otherwise occur in the absence of natural barriers</li> <li>- The adverse effects of flooding or erosion are avoided, remedied or mitigated</li> <li>- Changes in catchment and sediment transport processes have no significant adverse effects on water quality, habitat and flow regimes in perennial streams.</li> </ul>	<p>359 7 Reject  X 492 20 Accept  X 511 8 Reject  X 522 13 Accept in Part  X 525 111 Accept</p>	<p>Oppose, the current restrictive approach to water damming and diversion and lack of consideration of regionally or nationally important infrastructure.</p>
<p>358 31 TPL</p>	<p>Insert a new policy to the Proposed Plan that provides direction on the considerations that will be taken into account in any application to dam and or divert water.</p>	<p>358 31 Reject</p>	<p>Oppose, as above.</p>
<p>372 45 DOC  X 500 239 TDC - Oppose  X 507 239 MDC- Oppose  X 511 151 TPL - Support  X 515 239 HDC - Oppose  X 517 146 RDC - Oppose  X 525 120 Genesis -Oppose  X 532 239 WDC - Oppose</p>	<p>Add the following policies</p> <p>' (i) The Council will encourage the development of sustainable river management plans in order to provide a framework for managing activities carried out under the Soil Conservation and Rivers Control Act 1941, providing the basis for river works codes of practice, and implementing the objectives and policies in this plan, consistent with the requirements of Part 2 of the Act.</p> <p>(ii) Indicators and standards to monitor the local and cumulative effects of flood plain and river corridor management activities, and other activities within the beds of rivers, on the natural values of river systems and the well-being of the community will be developed. These will include indicators and standards relating to natural character of the river and its margins, and the quality and diversity of river bed habitat. The standards will be consistent with the relevant values associated with water bodies as set out in Schedule D.</p> <p>In considering applications for consent for river management activities in the beds of rivers which occur on a recurrent basis, affect significant lengths of rivers or seek consents of more than 5 years duration</p>	<p>372 45 Reject  X 500 239 Accept  X 507 239 Accept  X 511 151 Reject  X 515 239 Accept  X 517 146 Accept  X 525 120 Accept  X 532 239 Accept</p>	<p>Support.</p>

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	<p>the Council will have particular regard to:          -potential local and cumulative effects on biodiversity and natural character associated with the water body, its bed and margins, including river morphology and bed characteristics -measures to restore or rehabilitate natural character.          Encouragement will be given to land-based initiatives which both reduce flood risks, reduce the need for new works within the beds of rivers and help achieve environmental benefits within the catchment, including re-afforestation and creation of riparian wetlands, provided that such initiatives are consistent with the objectives and policies of this plan."</p>		
<b>4.4 Chapter 6 - Paragraph 6.1. Scope and Background – Water Quality</b>			
<p>357 165 Hort NZ          X 511 154 TPL - Support</p>	<p>Amend Chapter 6 to give effect to the following matters:          Rewrite the Background and scope statements to better reflect Issue 6-1 Water quality and Issue 6-2 water quantity and allocation.</p>	<p>357 165 Reject          X 511 154 Reject</p>	<p>Support</p>
<b>4.9 Chapter 6 – 6.1.3 – Water Quantity - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
<p>307 16 EECA          X 487 57 Fonterra - Oppose          X 511 155 TPL - Support          X 519 65 MRP- Support          X 522 136 Meridian- Support in Part          X 525 216 Genesis - Support</p>	<p>EECA seeks the following amendments to the third paragraph of Overview 6.1.3 Water Quantity          The single largest user of water in the Region is the energy sector. Hydroelectric power generation takes are concentrated around Mount Ruapehu and on the Mangahao River. The amount of water used for power generation has not changed significantly in the past decade. The region has potential for both large and small hydropower schemes and with the current requirement to maximise renewable energy resources, more hydro electricity generation may be developed in the region in the future.</p>	<p>307 16 Accept in Part          X 487 57 Accept in Part          X 511 155 Accept in Part          X 519 65 Accept in Part          X 522 136 Accept in Part          X 525 216 Accept in Part</p>	<p>Support</p>
<b>4.11 Chapter 6 –Paragraph 6.1.5 River and Lake Beds – River and Lake Beds</b>			
<p>307 17 EECA          X 487 63 Fonterra - Oppose          X 511 156 TPL - Support          X 519 66 MRP- Support          X 525 217 Genesis- Support</p>	<p>EECA seeks the following amendment to Overview 6.1.5 River and Lake Beds This modification has contributed to the economic growth and well-being of our Region,( in particular hydro electricity generation as a renewable form of electricity generation is a matter of national significance and brings with it many benefits) but it has also negatively altered the character and ecology of most waterways in the Region, impacting on cultural values attributed to waterways and leading to the loss or fragmentation of indigenous plant and animal populations.</p>	<p>307 17 Reject          X 487 63 Accept          X 511 156 Reject          X 519 66 Reject          X 525 217 Reject</p>	<p>Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities generally across this Chapter.</p>
<p>358 28 TPL          X 522 137 MEL - Accept in Part          X 525 239 Genesis- Support</p>	<p>Amend Section 6.1.5: River and Lake Beds to include reference to the positive attributes associated with infrastructure and energy development, particularly hydroelectricity generation.</p>	<p>358 28 TPL Reject          X 522 137 Accept in Part          X 525 239 Reject</p>	<p>Oppose, as above</p>
<p>358 29 TPL</p>	<p>Amend Section 6.1.5: River and Lake Beds to include reference to the policies and objectives of Chapter 3 in relation to providing for infrastructure and energy generation.</p>	<p>358 29 Reject</p>	<p>Oppose, as above</p>
<p>358 30 TPL</p>	<p>Amend Section 6.1.5: River and Lake Beds to provide greater acknowledgement of potential future uses of rivers and lake beds.</p>	<p>358 30 Reject</p>	<p>Oppose, as above</p>
<b>4.13 Chapter 6 – Issue 6-1 Water Quality – Water Quality</b>			
<p>357 55 Hort NZ          X 511 160 TPL - Oppose</p>	<p>Decision Sought: Delete 'and seepage' from Issue 6-1 a).</p>	<p>357 55 Accept          X 511 Reject</p>	<p>Neutral</p>
<p>398 14 Fonterra          X 484 66 MAF- Support</p>	<p>Fonterra considers that Issue 6-1 should be revised to address the mischaracterisation of the intensification and effects of agriculture discussed above.</p>	<p>398 14 Accept in Part          X 484 66 Accept in Part</p>	<p>Neutral</p>

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 159 TPL - Oppose X 519 106 MRP - Support		X 511 159 Accept in Part X 519 106 Accept in Part	
<b>4.14 Chapter 6 – Issue 6-2 Water quantity and allocation - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
372 30 DOC X 511 161 TPL - Support	In Issue 6-2, between sentences 2 and 3, add 'This increased demand has the potential to have negative effects on both instream values and the natural character of streams, rivers, wetlands and lakes if not actively managed.' In sentence 3 add, 'the effects of bores in close proximity to groundwater dependent natural features'" after 'between bores at a local level,...'	372 30 Accept X 511 161 Accept	Support
357 57 Hort NZ X 511 158 TPL - Support X 519 100 MRP - Support	Decision Sought: Add to Issue 6-3 that modifications to river and lake beds are necessary to protect the activities in the region.	357 57 Reject X 511 158 Reject X 519 100 Reject	Support
426 43 Fed Farmers X 511 157 TPL - Oppose	Amend Issue 6-3 to better capture the effects on waterbodies as opposed to arbitrarily listing activities that in some circumstances may give rise to adverse effects and river modification.	426 43 Reject X 511 157 Accept	Support
<b>4.16 Chapter 6 – Objective 6-1 Water Management Values – Water Quality</b>			
307 19 EECA X 511 166 TPL - Support X 522 139 MEL - Oppose	EECA seeks the following amendment to objective 6.1: Objective 6-1: Water Management Values Surface water bodies are managed in a manner which sustains their lifesupporting capacity and recognises and provides for the values set out in Schedule D, while recognising and providing for those activities which have regional and national benefits such as hydro electricity generation.	307 19 Reject X 511 166 Reject X 522 139 Accept	Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities.
357 58 Hort NZ X 492 81 DOC - Oppose X 511 168 TPL - Support	Decision Sought: Delete from Objective 6-1 "and recognises and provides for the values set out in Schedule D". and combined Objective 6-1 and 6-2 into one objective called "Water Quality".	357 58 Reject X 492 81 Accept X 511 168 Reject	Oppose, as above.
359 43 MRP X 511 162 TPL - Support X 522 140 MEL - Support	The amendment of Objective 6-1 so that it reads as: - Surface waterbodies are managed in a manner which sustains their lifesupporting capacity and recognises and provides for the values set out in Schedule D where it is appropriate to do so.	359 43 Reject X 511 162 Reject X 522 140 Reject	Oppose, as above.
363 62 MEL X 492 82 DOC - Oppose X 511 163 TPL - Support	Meridian requests that Objective 6-1 is amended as follows or similar: Delete reference to Schedule D; or Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 62 Reject X 492 82 Accept X 511 163 Reject	Oppose, as above.
363 63 MEL X 511 164 TPL - Support	Meridian requests that Objective 6-1 is amended as follows or similar: Amend Schedule D as per Meridians submission; and Reword Objective 6-1 as follows: Surface waterbodies are managed to safeguard their life supporting capacity and to recognise and provide for the values set out in Schedule D, where appropriate.	363 63 Reject X 511 164 Reject	Oppose, as above.
398 15 Fonterra X 481 49 PNCC - Support X 506 65 Green Party - Oppose X 511 165 TPL - Support	Fonterra considers that Objectives 6-1 and 6-2 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 15 Accept in Part X 481 49 Accept in Part X 506 65 Accept in Part X 511 165 Accept in Part	Support in part.
426 44 Fed Farmers X 484 79 MAF - Oppose X 492 80 DOC - Oppose X 511 167 TPL - Support	Amend objective 6-1 as follows: Surface water bodies are managed in a manner which sustains their lifesupporting capacity (or words to that effect)	426 44 Reject X 484 79Accept X 492 80 Accept X 511 167 Reject	Oppose, as above.
<b>4.17 Chapter 6 – Objective 6-2 Water Quality – Water Quality</b>			

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited

Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield

311 26 WATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL CARE ASSN INC (Watercare) X 511 170 TPL - Oppose	We recommend that you include previously exempted waterways (of any description) in the new water quality Rules.	311 26 Accept X 511 170 Reject	Oppose, seek improved justification of waterbodies currently included in the One Plan rule framework.
311 27 Watercare X 511 171 TPL - Support	(b)Groundwater quality is managed to ensure that the existing groundwater quality is maintained INSERT and improved as indicated by appropriate research.	311 27 Accept in Part X 511 171 Accept in Part	Support
357 59 Hort NZ X 484 33 MAF - Oppose X 487 64 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 173 TPL - Support	Decisions Sought: Combined Objective 6-1 and 6-2 as follows: Surface water bodies are managed in a manner which sustains their life supporting capacity to ensure that: i) Water quality in rivers is maintained, with no further degradation ii) Accelerated eutrophication or sedimentation of lakes is minimised iii) Rivers protected by water conservation order are maintained. Groundwater quality is managed to ensure that the existing groundwater quality is maintained.	357 59 Reject X 484 33 Accept X 487 64 Accept X 511 173 Reject	Support
398 16 Fonterra X 481 50 PNCC - Support X 495 117 RDC- Support X 506 66 Green Party - Oppose X 511 169 TPL - Support	Fonterra considers that Objectives 6-1 and 6-2 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 16 Reject X 481 50 Reject X 495 117 Reject X 506 66 Accept X 511 169 Reject	Oppose, seek ability to avoid, remedy and mitigate when water quality standards cannot practicably be achieved.
460 31 Forest and Bird X 511 172 TPL - Support X 525 181 Genesis - Oppose in Part	(aiii) support with amendment – accelerated eutrophication or sedimentation of lakes in the Region is prevented. (b) support with amendment - Groundwater quality is managed to ensure that the existing groundwater quality is maintained (or enhanced).	460 31 Reject / Accept X 511 172 Accept X 525 181 Accept in Part	Support in part.
<b>4.18 Chapter 6 – Objective 6-3 Water Quantity and Allocation - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
50 2 AFFCO NEW ZEALAND LTD - MANAWATU (Affco) X 511 179 TPL - Oppose X 519 123 MRP - Oppose	Amend Objective 6-3 a(ii) to read: "in times of water shortage, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of people, communities or stock, and other takes are ceased.	50 2 Reject X 511 179 Accept X 519 123 Accept	Support
51 2 Affco New Zealand Wanganui Imlay X 511 180 TPL - Oppose X 519 125 MRP - Oppose	Amend Objective 6-3 a(ii) to read: "in times of water shortage, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of people, communities or stock, and other takes are ceased.	51 2 Reject X 511 Accept X 519 125 Accept	Support
268 23 Genesis X 484 17 MAF – Oppose X 487 66 Fonterra – Oppose X 511 174 TPL - Support	Genesis Energy requests an amendment to Objective 6-3 (a) (ii): Water quantity and allocation as follows: In times of water shortage, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the health or safety of people, hydro electric schemes, communities or stock, and other takes are ceased.	268 23 Reject X 484 17 Accept X 487 66 Accept X 511 174 Reject	Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.
357 60 Hort NZ X 484 34 MAF - Oppose	Decision Sought: Delete from Objective 6-3 a) i) " and providing for other values of rivers as necessary."	357 60 Accept in part X 484 34 Accept in Part	Support in part

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 185 TPL - Oppose X 519 101 MRP -Oppose	Delete from Objective 6-3 a ii) "and other takes are ceased." Amend Objective 6-3 c) to state that water is not wasted but used to maximise its value through efficient use.	X 511 185 Accept in Part X 519 101 Accept in Part	
358 33 TPL X 487 67 Fonterra - Oppose X 519 32 MRP - Support X 522 142 MEL - Support in Part X 525 241 Genesis - Support	Add the following clause to Objective 6-3 (a) in relation to surface water: (iii) the amount of water available for regionally or nationally important energy generation is not unduly compromised." And renumber the existing clauses (iii) (iv) to take account of this insertion.	358 33 Reject X 487 67 Accept X 519 32 Reject X 522 142 Accept in Part X 525 241 Reject	Oppose, as above
359 44 MRP X 487 68 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 175 TPL - Support X 522 143 MEL - Support in Part	Refer to Mighty River Power submission to the Regional Policy Statement and the Regional Plan. [Range from Points 1through to 10] - Amend (b) (iii) so that groundwater takes that are hydrologically connected do not reduce the amount of water that would otherwise be available for electricity generation.	359 44 Reject X 487 68 Accept X 511 175 Reject X 522 143 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above
363 64 Meridian X 487 69 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 91 DOC - Oppose X 511 176 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Objective 6-3 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Include a new objective that specifically provides for hydro electricity takes, uses, damming and diversion as requested in Meridian's primary submission to Chapter 6 above	363 64 Reject X 487 69 Accept X 492 91 Accept X 511 176 Reject	Oppose, seek specific inclusion of hydro-generation takes given the lack of recognition of these takes elsewhere in the proposed One Plan.
363 65 Meridian X 492 92 DOC - Oppose X 511 177 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Objective 6-3 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Add a new provision that allows flexible allocation and minimum flow regimes similar to that below: To consider granting an application for a resource consent to take water from a river or stream, subject to an instream minimum flow that is an alternative to that specified in Schedule B, on a case by case basis, where: (a) The applicant has proposed an appropriate instream minimum flow requirement based on new or Improved scientific knowledge; and (b) The adverse effect on aquatic ecosystems is no more than minor; and (c) The adverse effect on significant landscape, recreational, and Maori customary and traditional heritage values is no more that minor; and (d) The adverse effects of the take on existing downstream users, including non-consumptive uses, are no more than minor.	363 65 Reject X 492 92 Accept X 511 177 Reject	Oppose, as above.
363 66 Meridian X 492 93 DOC - Oppose X 511 178 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Objective 6-3 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend clause (b)(ii) by deleting the phrase or to protect their life supporting capacity".	363 66 Reject X 492 93 Accept X 511 178 Reject	Oppose, as above.
372 34 DOC X 500 228 TDC - Oppose X 507 228 MDC- Oppose X 511 183 TPL - Oppose X 515 228 HDC - Oppose X 517 135 RDC - Oppose X 531 59 Hort NZ - Oppose X 532 228 WDC - Oppose	Amend (a), (i) as follows: Add 'and improving' after 'for the purpose of maintaining' Delete 'existing'	372 34 Accept in Part X 500 228 Accept in Part X 507 228 Accept in Part X 511 183 Accept in Part X 515 228 Accept in Part X 517 135 Accept in Part X 531 59 Accept in Part X 532 228 Accept in Part	Support
372 35 DOC X 484 49 MAF - Oppose X 500 229 TDC- Oppose	After 'life supporting capacity of rivers,' add 'as set out in Table D:4, preserving the natural character of rivers and their margins' Replace 'necessary' with 'appropriate'	372 35 Accept in Part X 484 49 Accept in Part X 500 229 Accept in Part	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 507 229 MDC- Oppose X 511 184 TPL - Oppose X 515 229 HDC - Oppose X 517 136 RDC- Oppose X 525 117 Genesis -Oppose X 531 60 Hort NZ - Oppose X 532 229 WDC - Oppose		X 507 229 Accept in Part X 511 184 Accept in Part X 515 229 Accept in Part X 517 136 Accept in Part X 525 117 Accept in Part X 531 60 Accept in Part X 532 229 Accept in Part	
398 17 Fonterra X 511 181 TPL - Oppose X 519 107 MRP- Oppose X 522 141 MEL - Oppose X 525 28 Genesis - Oppose	Fonterra considers that Objective 6-3 should be revised to remove any special treatment for hydro electricity generation with respect to the minimum flow and core allocation requirements.	398 17 Accept X 511 181 Reject X 519 107 Reject X 522 141 Reject X 525 28 Reject	Oppose, as above.
460 32 Forest & Bird X 511 182 TPL - Support X 533 17 Fed Farmers - Support	(a ii) Support with amendment - in times of water shortage, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the health or safety of people and communities, and to provide drinking water for stock. Other takes are ceased. (a iii) support but define life supporting (as above) (b ii) support but define life supporting , consider the ecological values of the aquifer itself and give the timeframes in which this objective will be implemented	460 32 Accept in Part X 511 182 Accept in Part X 533 17 Accept in Part	Support
426 46 Fed Farmers X 511 186 TPL - Support	Amend Objective 6-3 (a) (i) to read: "Minimum flows and allocation regimes are set for the purposes of maintaining the existing life supporting capacity of rivers " Amend Objective 6-3 (ii) to read: "in times of water shortage, takes are restricted to those that are essential to the health or safety of people, communities or stock" Delete reference to Schedule B.	426 46 Reject X 511 186 Reject	Oppose, seek inclusion of hydro-generation takes with regional or national benefits.
<b>4.19 Chapter 6 – Objective 6-4 River and Lake Beds - Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
307 18 EECA X 511 189 TPL - Support	EECA seeks the following amendments to Objective 6-4 Objective 6-4: River and lake Beds All significant values of river and lake beds are recognised and provided for, including enabling future use and development of river and lake beds, provided adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated.	307 18 Accept X 511 189 Accept	Oppose, consider the amendments proposed inappropriately reduce the scope of this objective.
357 61 Hort NZ X 511 192 TPL - Support	Decision Sought: Amend Objective 6-4 as follows: River and land beds are managed to enable the use and development while providing for existing ecosystems to be maintained.	357 61 Accept in Part X 511 192 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above
358 34 TPL X 522 144 MEL - Support in Part X 525 242 Genesis - Support	(i) Replace Objective 6-4 as follows: "Managing the effects of the future use and development of river and lake beds in a manner that balances the adverse effects on the values of rivers and lakes with the regional and national benefits of such development, particularly in relation to infrastructure and renewable energy	358 34 Reject X 522 144 Accept in Part X 525 242 Reject	Oppose, as above
359 45 MRP X 511 187 TPL - Support X 522 145 MEL - Support in Part	The objective is reworded so that it reads as follows: - Future use and development of river and lake beds is provided for where appropriate provision is made for other values.	359 45 Reject X 511 187 Reject X 522 145 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above
363 67 Meridian X 511 188 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Objective 6-4 and requests that it is reworded as follows or similar: River and lake beds are managed to: (i) sustain their significant ecological and natural character values; and	363 67 Reject X 511 188 Reject	Oppose, as above



Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	(ii) provide for appropriate use and development and the positive benefits these activities provide.		
372 39 DOC X 484 50 MAF - Support X 500 233 TDC - Oppose X 507 233 MDC- Oppose X 511 190 TPL - Support X 515 233 HDC- Oppose X 517 140 RDC- Oppose X 525 119 Genesis -Oppose X 532 233 WDC - Oppose	Amend Objective 6-4 to read: "The beds of lakes and rivers are managed in a manner which enables significant risks arising from flood events to be sustainably managed and social and economic needs to be met, while ensuring that: - their life supporting capacity is sustained and their ecosystem values are recognised and provided for - their natural character is preserved and where appropriate restored or enhanced"	372 39 Reject X 484 50 Reject X 500 233 Accept X 507 233 Accept X 511 190 Reject X 515 233 Accept X 517 140 Accept X 525 119 Accept X 532 233 Accept	Oppose, as above
426 47 Fed Farmers X 506 43 Green Party - Oppose X 511 191 TPL - Support	Delete Objective 6-4 Amend to read: "River and lake beds are managed to enable the use and development while providing for existing ecosystems to be maintained"	426 47 Reject X 506 43 Accept X 511 191 Reject	Oppose, as above
<b>4.20 Chapter 6 – Policy – General – Water Quality</b>			
460 36 Forest & Bird X 511 193 TPL - Oppose	Submitter seeks the inclusion of a time frame stating when the management of activities will undertaken to enhance water quality and when the water quality standard will be met.	460 36 Accept in Part X 511 193 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.23 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-1 Water management zones and values – Water Quality</b>			
357 62 Hort NZ X 484 35 MAF - Oppose X 511 199 TPL - Support X 533 18 Fed Farmers - Support	Decision Sought: Delete all references to water management values in Schedule D throughout chapter 6 and associated rules. Amend Policy 6-1 to provide for waterbodies to be managed to maintain existing water quality.	357 62 Reject X 484 35 Accept X 511 199 Reject X 533 18 Reject	Support in part, subject to a framework that allows for these values to be changed pending scientific justification.
358 35 TPL X 522 146 MEL - Accept in Part	Insert an additional individual value relating to Renewable Energy into the Social/Economic section of Table 6.2 as follows: Value Group Individual values Management Objective Social/Economic RE Renewable Energy Waterbody is suitable as a source for renewable energy.	358 35 Reject X 522 146 Accept in Part	Oppose, seek improved recognition of renewable energy generation activities with regional or national benefits.
359 46 MRP X 511 194 TPL - Support X 522 147 MEL- Support in Part	The amendment of Policy 6-1 Water Management Zones and Values as follows "... The rivers and lakes shall be managed in a manner which recognises and provides for the values identified in Schedule D for each water management sub zone, where this is appropriate. It is recognised that in some circumstances the recognised values will not be able to be provided for.	359 46 Reject X 511 194 Reject X 522 147 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above.
363 68 Meridian X 511 195 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-1 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Delete reference to Schedule D; or	363 68 Reject X 511 195 Reject	Oppose, as above
363 69 Meridian X 511 196 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-1 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend Schedule D as per Meridian's submission; and Amend Paragraph 1 of Policy 6-1 as follows: The rivers and lakes shall be managed in a manner which recognises and provides for the values identified in Schedule D for each water management zone and any adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated as far as practicable;	363 69 Accept in part X 511 196 Accept in part	Oppose, as above
363 70 Meridian X 511 197 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-1 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Amend Table 6.2 to include hydro electricity generation as an individual value within the Water Use value group; or make it explicit that hydro electricity generation is included as an industrial abstraction.	363 70 Accept in Part X 511 197 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

426 48 Fed Farmers X 481 58 PNCC- Support X 506 44 Green Party - Oppose X 511 198 TPL - Support	Delete all reference to water management values and Schedule D throughout this chapter and associated rules.	426 48 Reject X 481 58 Reject X 506 44 Accept X 511 198 Reject	Oppose, as above
<b>4.24 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-2 – Water Quality Standards – Water Quality</b>			
268 24 Genesis X 484 18 MAF Oppose X 487 71 Fonterra Oppose X 511 200 TPL - Support	Amend Policy 6-2 as follows: Water quality standards relating to the values described in Policy 6-1 have been developed for each water management zone, as shown in Schedule D. The water quality standards in Schedule D shall be used for the management of surface water quality in the manner set out in Policies 6-3, 6-4 and 6-5. For avoidance of doubt this policy does not apply to the effects on water quality of discharges from the operation and maintenance of hydro electric power generation infrastructure.	268 24 Reject X 484 18 Accept X 487 71 Accept X 511 200 Reject	Support
357 63 Hort NZ X 484 36 MAF – Oppose X 511 203 TPL – Support	Decision Sought: Delete Policy 6-2.	357 63 Accept X 484 36 Reject X 511 203 Accept	Support
372 49 DOC X 500 243 TDC- Oppose X 507 243 MDC- Oppose X 511 201 TPL - Oppose X 515 243 HDC - Oppose X 517 150 RDC - Oppose X 532 243 WDC - Oppose	Retain policy as proposed.	372 49 Reject X 500 243 Accept X 507 243 Accept X 511 201 Accept X 515 243 Accept X 517 150 Accept X 532 243 Accept	Support
417 25 Fish & Game X 511 204 TPL - Oppose	Policy 6-2 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 25 Reject X 511 204 Accept	Support
426 49 Fed Farmers X 481 59 PNCC- Support X 506 45 Green Party - Oppose X 511 202 TPL - Support	Delete Policy 6-2	426 49 Accept X 481 59 Accept X 506 45 Reject X 511 202 Accept	Support
<b>4.25 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-3 Ongoing compliance where water quality standards are met – Water Quality</b>			
268 25 Genesis X 484 19 MAF - Oppose X 487 72 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 205 TPL - Support	Add a new clause to Policy 6-3 as follows: (c) Policy 6-3 does not does not apply to the effects on water quality of water discharges from the operation and maintenance of hydro electric power generation infrastructure.	268 25 Reject X 484 19 Accept X 487 72 Accept X 511 205 Reject	Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.
357 64 Hort NZ X 484 37 MAF – Oppose X 511 213 TPL - Support	Decision Sought: Delete Policy 6-3	357 64 Reject X 484 37 Accept X 511 213 Reject	Support.
359 48 MRP X 511 206 TPL - Support	Amend Policy 6-3 (a) so that the water quality standards are not required to be met in all cases and make provision for the remediation or mitigation of adverse effects on the environment.	359 48 Accept X 511 206 Accept	Support
363 72 Meridian X 487 75 Fonterra - Support X 511 207 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6-3 and requests the following amendments or similar: Either; delete Policy 6-3; or Amend Policy 6-3(a) so that the water quality standards are not required to be met where it can be determined that it is consistent with the sustainable management of natural and physical resources to allow this limit to be exceeded.	363 72 Accept in Part X 487 75 Accept in Part X 511 207 Accept in Part	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

363 73 Meridian X 511 208 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6-3 and requests the following amendments or similar: Amend Policy 6-3(b) to clarify that activities will only need to ensure that existing water quality is met beyond the zone of reasonable mixing.	363 73 Reject X 511 208 Reject	Oppose - (based on preliminary decision of section 42A report).
372 50 DOC X 500 244 TDC - Oppose X 507 244 MDC - Oppose X 511 211 TPL - Oppose X 515 244 HDC - Oppose X 517 151 RDC - Oppose X 532 244 WDC - Oppose	Retain policy as proposed.	372 50 Accept X 500 244 Reject X 507 244 Reject X 511 211 Reject X 515 244 Reject X 517 151 Reject X 532 244 Reject	Oppose, as above.
398 19 Fonterra X 481 51 PNCC - Support X 511 209 TPL - Support	Fonterra considers that Policies 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 19 Reject X 481 51 Reject X 511 209 Reject	Oppose, as above.
417 26 Fish & Game X 511 214 TPL - Oppose	Policy 6-3 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 26 Accept X 511 214 Reject	Oppose, as above.
426 50 Fed Farmers X 481 60 PNCC Support X 487 74 Fonterra - Support X 506 46 Green Party - Oppose X 511 212 TPL - Support	Delete all references to Schedule D.	426 50 Reject X 481 60 Reject X 487 74 Reject X 506 46 Accept X 511 212 Reject	Oppose, as above.
460 35 Forest & Bird X 511 210 TPL - Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6-3: Ongoing compliance where water quality standards are met	460 35 Accept X 511 210 Reject	Oppose, as above.
<b>4.26 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-4 Enhancement where water quality standards are not met – Water Quality</b>			
268 26 Genesis X 484 20 MAF - Oppose X 487 76 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 215 TPL - Support	Add a new clause to Policy 6-4 as follows: (c) Policy 6-4 does not does not apply to the effects on water quality of water discharges from the operation and maintenance of hydro electric power generation infrastructure	268 26 Reject X 484 20 Accept X 487 76 Accept X 511 215 Reject	Support in part, subject to section 42A report's recommendation to amend to clarify that inferring that a discharge has to clean up a river and the Policy has regard to the likely effects of the activity and the characteristics of the specific discharge.
372 51 DOC X 500 245 TDC - Oppose X 507 245 MDC - Oppose X 511 217 TPL - Oppose X 515 245 HDC- Oppose X 517 152 RDC- Oppose X 532 245 WDC - Oppose	Retain policy as proposed.	372 51 Accept X 500 245 Reject X 507 245 Reject X 511 217 Reject X 515 245 Reject X 517 152 Reject X 532 245 Reject	Support in part, as above.
398 20 Fonterra X 481 52 NPCC Support X 506 67 Green Party - Oppose X 511 216 TPL - Support	Fonterra considers that Policies 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 20 Reject X 481 52 Reject - X 506 67 Accept X 511 216 Reject	Support in part, as above.
426 51 Fed Farmers	Delete Policy 6-4	426 51 Reject	Support, as above.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 481 61 PNCC - Support X 506 47 Green Party - Oppose X 511 218 TPL - Support		X 481 61 Reject X 506 47 Accept X 511 218 Reject	
<b>4.27 Chapter 6 - Policy 6-5 Management of activities in areas where existing water quality is unknown – Water Quality</b>			
357 66 Hort NZ X 484 39 MAF - Oppose X 511 225 TPL - Support	As for 246-28 (seek deletion)	357 66 Reject X 484 39 Accept X 511 225 Reject	Support
426 52 Fed Farmers X 481 62 PNCC - Support X 506 48 Green Party - Oppose X 511 224 TPL - Support	As for 246-28 (seek deletion)	426 52 Reject X 481 62 Reject X 506 48 Accept X 511 224 Reject	Support
359 51 MRP X 511 219 TPL - Support	Amend Policy 6-5 so that the water quality standards are not required to be met in all cases and make provision for the remediation or mitigation of adverse effects on the environment.	359 51 Accept in Part X 511 219 Accept in Part	Support in part, subject to interpretation.
363 75 Meridian X 511 220 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6-5 and requests the following amendments or similar: Amend Schedule D as per Meridian's submission; and Amend Policy 6-5 so that water quality does not need to be maintained where it can be determined that the activity is consistent with the sustainable management of natural and physical resources; and Amend Policy 6-5 so that it makes provision for a zone of reasonable mixing; or Delete Policy 6-5.	363 75 Reject X 511 220 Reject	Support in part
372 52 DOC X 500 246 TDC Oppose X 507 246 MDC - Oppose X 511 223 TPL - Oppose X 515 246 HDC - Oppose X 517 153 RDC – Oppose X 532 246 WDC - Oppose	Retain policy as proposed.	372 52 Accept X 500 246 Reject X 507 246 Reject X 511 223 Reject X 515 246 Reject X 517 153 Reject X 532 246 Reject	Support in part, as above
398 21 Fonterra X 481 53 PNCC - Support X 506 68 Green Party - Oppose X 511 221 TPL - Support	Fonterra considers that Policies 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 21 Reject X 481 53 Reject X 506 68 Accept X 511 221 Reject	Support in part, as above.
417 28 Fish & Game X 511 226 TPL - Oppose	Policy 6-5 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 28 Accept X 511 226 Reject	Support in part, as above.
460 37 Forest & Bird X 511 222 TPL - Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6-5: Management of activities in areas where existing water quality is unknown.	460 37 Accept X 511 222 Reject	Support in part, as above.
<b>4.29 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-7 Land-use activities affecting surface water quality – Water Quality</b>			
357 68 Hort NZ X 484 40 MAF - Oppose X 511 230 TPL - Oppose	Decision Sought: Delete Policy 6-7 a) Nutrients and replace with: a) Nutrients. Land use activities that have the potential to contribute to nutrient levels in surface water through application of fertiliser, animal waste or effluent disposal to land shall undertake a nutrient management budget and implement best management practices to ensure that potential for nitrate leaching and nutrient run off are minimised.	357 68 Reject X 484 40 Accept X 511 230 Accept	Neutral
372 44 DOC	Amend (b) (iii) (3): After, 'Establish programmes' add 'and timeframes'	372 44 Reject	Neutral

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 500 238 TDC - Oppose X 507 238 MDC- Oppose X 511 596 TPL - Unknown X 515 238 HDC- Oppose X 517 145 RDC - Oppose X 532 238 WDC- Oppose X 533 26 Fed Farmers - Oppose		X 500 238 Accept X 507 238 Accept X 511 596 Reject X 515 238 Accept X 517 145 Accept X 532 238 Accept X 533 26 Accept	
372 53 DOC X 500 247 TDC - Oppose X 507 247 MDC - Oppose X 511 597 TPL - Unknown X 515 247 HDC- Oppose X 517 154 RDC- Oppose X 532 247 WDC - Oppose	No specific decision requested but Policy 6-7 is fully supported.	372 53 Accept X 500 247 Reject X 507 247 Reject X 511 597 Accept X 515 247 Reject X 517 154 Reject X 532 247 Reject	Neutral
398 23 Fonterra X 481 55 PNCC - Support X 492 100 DOC – Oppose X 511 227 TPL - Oppose	Fonterra considers that Policy 6-7 should be deleted because it does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources for the reasons discussed above, and appears to conflict with Rule 13-1.	398 23 Reject X 481 55 Reject X 492 100 Accept X 511 227 Accept	Neutral
417 29 Fish & Game X 492 102 DOC - Support X 511 231 TPL - Support	Policy 6-7 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 29 Accept X 492 102 Accept X 511 231 Accept	Neutral
426 53 Fed Farmers X 484 80 MAF - Support X 506 49 Green Party - Oppose X 511 229 TPL - Oppose	Delete Policy 6-7 (a) (b). Replace Policy 6-7 as follows: "Intensive farming activities in the targeted water management zones shall prepare and implemented non regulatory whole farm plans (including nutrient management plans) for the purpose of addressing faecal and nutrient contamination in surface water (or words to this effect). Retain Policy 6-7 (c) as written Reword 6-7 (a) (ii) and (b) (ii) "For the purposes of subsection (a)(i)), targeted water management zones shall be those zones where, intensive farming land use activities are proven the dominant cause of elevated levels." (or words to that effect) "For the purposes of subsection (b)(i), targeted water management zones shall be those zones where, intensive farming land use activities are proven the dominant cause of elevated levels". (or words to that effect)	426 53 Reject X 484 80 Reject X 506 49 Accept X 511 229 Accept	Neutral
460 40 Forest & Bird X 511 228 TPL - Support X 531 64 Hort NZ- Oppose	(a) seek the inclusion of a timeframe in which management will be implemented and by when target contaminant loading rates will be achieved. (b) seek the inclusion of a timeframe in which management of land use activities will be implemented and by when desired outcomes will be achieved.	460 40 Accept in part X 511 228 Accept in Part X 531 64 Accept in Part	Neutral
<b>4.30 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-8 Point source discharges of water – Water Quality</b>			
363 76 Meridian X 511 232 TPL - Support	MEL supports in part Policy 6-8 and requests the following amendments or similar: Amend Schedule D as requested in Meridian's submission to Schedule D;	363 76 Reject X 511 232 Reject	Neutral
363 77 Meridian X 511 233 TPL - Support	MEL supports in part Policy 6-8 and requests the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (a) so that it also includes the benefits/positive effects that the discharge will bring about;	363 77 Reject X 511 233 Reject	Neutral

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

363 78 Meridian X 492 104 DOC - Oppose X 511 234 TPL - Support	Mel supports in part Policy 6-8 and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete clause (b)(ii);	363 78 Reject X 492 104 Accept X 511 234 Reject	Neutral
363 79 Meridian X 511 235 TPL - Support	MEL supports in part Policy 6-8 and requests the following amendments or similar: Add a new clause (b)(v): "the local, regional and national benefits of the proposal outweigh the adverse effects".	363 79 Reject X 511 235 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.32 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-10 Options for discharges to surface water and land – Water Quality</b>			
417 32 Fish and Game X 511 236 TPL - Support X 531 65 Hort NZ - Oppose X 533 28 Fed Farmers - Support	Add the following criteria (or similar) to this Policy, and all other relevant Policies, Rules, and Schedules be amended to give affect to the new criteria. (d) managing the respective contributions of non-point source and point source discharges to meet the standards (e) managing the cumulative adverse effect of point source discharges close to one another (f) managing point source discharges where background levels of contaminants from non-point source discharges already breach the standards	417 32 Reject X 511 236 Reject X 531 65 Accept X 533 28 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.33 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-11 Human sewage discharges – Water Quality</b>			
311 36 Water and Env Care X 510 15 Police - Support X 511 237 TPL - Support	AMEND (a) All new discharges of human sewage shall be fully treated and shall be applied onto land OR shall undergo further treatment to ensure the discharge is potable in which case it can be discharged to a waterway with no requirement for a mixing zone. Further, it shall be compulsory for all TLAs to install water meters in all communities so that the subsequent sewage treatment is improved and less volume is discharged.	311 36 Reject X 510 15 Reject X 511 237 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.34 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-12 Reasonable and justifiable need for water - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
50 5 AFFCO NZ X 511 239 TPL Support	Amend Policy 6-12 a(ii) to read: (ii) assess applications on the basis of the irrigation application efficiency of the proposed irrigation method.	50 5 Reject X 511 239 Reject	Support.
358 37 TPL X 487 87 Fonterra - Oppose X 522 152 MEL- Support in Part	Amend clause (b) in Policy 6-12 to read: For industrial uses, water allocation shall be calculated where possible in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry, except where water is allocated for renewable energy generation in the regional or national interest, in which case the objectives and policies of Chapter 3 should be taken into account.	358 37 Reject X 487 87 Accept X 522 152 Accept in Part	Support in part, subject to appropriate recognition of activities with regional or national benefits.
363 82 MEL X 487 88 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 238 TPL - Support	MEL requests the following amendments or similar: Add a new clause (d) as follows: (d) For hydro electricity generation purposes, water allocation shall be calculated to allow the continued availability of water currently used. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 82 Reject X 487 88 Reject X 511 238 Reject	Support in part, as above.
398 25 Fonterra X 484 68 MAF- Support X 506 70 - Green Party - Oppose X 511 240 TPL - Oppose	Fonterra considers that Policy 6-12 should revised to provide for stock drinking water as a reasonable and justifiable need for water.	398 25 Reject X 484 68 Reject X 506 70 Accept X 511 240 Accept	Support.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 533 29 Fed Farmers - Support		X 533 29 Reject	
426 57 Fed Farmers X 511 243 TPL - Oppose	Amend 6-12 (c) as follows: (iii) set an industrial use allocation limit and require adherence to best management practices for water efficiency (vi) provide an incentive to reduce leakage and efficiency of use	426 57 Reject X 511 243 Accept	Support
460 46 Forest& Bird X 495 166 RDC- Support X 511 242 TPL - Oppose	Seek a review of all water takes within the first year of this plan becoming operative and all current consents are assessed against that criteria outlined in this policy.	X 495 166 Reject X 511 242 Accept	Support
311 38 Water & Environ Care X 511 247 TPL-Oppose	AMEND / ADD 6-13 (b) i.e. the compulsory installation of water metering in all communities.	311 38 Reject X 511 247 Accept	Support
<b>4.35 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-13 Efficient use of water - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
358 44 TPL X 522 153 MEL- Oppose	(i) Delete Policy 6-13 from the Proposed Plan.	358 44 Reject X 522 153 Accept	Support in part, subject to appropriate recognition of activities with regional or national benefits.
363 83 MEL X 487 91 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 244 TPL - Support	Meridian seeks that Policy 6-13 is amended as follows or similar: Amend clause (a) as follows: (a) Requiring water audits and water budgets to check for leakages and water use efficiency, except for in the circumstance of hydro electricity generation operations, which are exempt.	363 83 Reject X 487 91 Accept X 511 244 Reject	Oppose, seek exclusion of hydroelectric schemes.
363 84 MEL X 511 245 TPL - Support	Meridian seeks that Policy 6-13 is amended as follows or similar: Support clause (c) of Policy 6-13	363 84 Accept X 511 245 Accept	Support
363 85 MEL X 511 246 TPL - Support	Meridian seeks that Policy 6-13 is amended as follows or similar: Retain clause (e) of Policy 6-13 and extend the requirement to meter water takes to those takes which are also permitted activities;	363 85 Reject X 511 246 Reject	Neutral
460 47 Forest & Bird X 511 248 TPL - Oppose X 531 66 HORT NZ- Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6-13: Efficient use of water and seeks a decision to make the policy apply to all existing water allocations.	460 47 Accept in Part X 511 248 Accept in Part X 531 66 Accept in Part	Support.
<b>4.36 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-14 Consideration of alternative water sources - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
363 86 MEL X 487 95 Fonterra - Oppose X 511 249 TPL Support X 519 16 MRP- Oppose	Meridian seeks that Policy 6-14 is amended as follows or similar: When making decisions on consent applications to take surface water, the opportunity to utilise alternative sources such as groundwater or water storage shall be considered when the take is likely to have significant adverse effects. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 86 Reject X 487 95 Accept X 511 249 Reject X 519 16 Accept	Support revised wording as recommended by section 42A report.
372 60 Minister Conservation	Amend to read (or wording to a similar effect): 'When making decisions on consent applications which exceed the core allocation and minimum flow provisions set in this plan, preference shall be given to	372 60 Accept	Support, as above.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 500 254 TDC - Oppose X 507 254 MDC - Oppose X 511 250 TPL - Oppose X 515 254 HDC - Oppose X 517 161 RDC - Oppose X 519 73 MRP - Oppose	the utilisation of alternative sources such as groundwater or water storage (where this does not involve damming of natural waterbodies).'	X 500 254 Reject X 507 254 Reject X 511 250 Reject X 515 254 Reject X 517 161 Reject X 519 73 Reject	
417 36 Fish & Game X 511 252 TPL - Support	Policy 6-14 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 36 Accept X 511 252 Accept	Support, as above
426 61 Fed Farmers X 511 251 TPL - Oppose X 519 93 MRP- Oppose	Reword policy 6-14 to as follows: "When making decisions on consent applications to take surface water, the opportunity to utilise alternative sources such as groundwater or water storage shall be encouraged where practicable considered." (or words to that effect).	426 61 Reject X 511 251 Accept X 519 93 Accept	Support
<b>4.37 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-15 Overall approach for surface water allocation - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
358 41 TPL X 522 134 MEL - Support X 522 135 MEL - Support	Amend the description in Policy 6-15 to provide the option of applying for additional core allocation.	358 41 Accept in Part X 522 134 Accept in Part X 522 135 - Accept in Part	Support the revised approach as recommended by the section 42A report.
359 54 Mighty River X 487 98 Fonterra – Oppose X 511 253 TPL - Support X 522 156 MEL - Support X 525 107 Genesis- Support	Include existing takes for hydro-electric generation as part of the permitted water allocation regime.	359 54 Accept in Part X 487 98 Accept in Part X 511 253 Accept in Part X 522 156 Accept in Part X 525 107 Accept in Part	Support, as above.
358 40 TPL X 487 97 Fonterra Oppose X 522 155 MEL - Support in Part X 525 247 Genesis- Support	Amend Policy 6-15 to include existing takes for hydro-electric generation as part of the core allocation. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Policies 16-12, 6-15, 6-16 and 6-19 and Schedule B as proposed in this submission.	358 40 TPL Accept in Part X 487 97 Accept in Part X 522 155 Accept in Part X 525 247 Accept in Part	Support
358 41 TPL X 522 134 MEL - Support X 522 135 MEL - Support	Amend the description in Policy 6-15 to provide the option of applying for additional core allocation.	358 41 Accept in Part X 522 134 Accept in Part X 522 135 Accept in Part	Support
359 54 MRP X 487 98 Fonterra– Oppose X 511 253 TPL -Support X 522 156 MEL- Support	Include existing takes for hydro-electric generation as part of the permitted water allocation regime.	359 54 Accept in Part X 487 98 Accept in Part X 511 253 Accept in Part X 522 156 Accept in Part	Support



Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 525 107 Genesis -Support		X 525 107 Accept in Part	
372 61 Minister Conservation X 500 255 TDC- Oppose X 507 255 MDC - Oppose X 511 255 TPL -Support X 515 255 HDC - Oppose X 517 162 RDC- Oppose X 532 255 WDC- Oppose	Retain the existing policy as written.	372 61 Accept X 500 255 Reject X 507 255 Reject X 511 255 Reject X 515 255 Reject X 517 162 Reject X 532 255 Reject	Support
417 37 Fish & Game X 511 256 TPL -Support	Policy 6-15 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 37 Accept X 511 256 Accept	Support
460 49 Forest & Bird X 511 254 TPL-Oppose	Seek timeframes in which this policy will be realised	460 49 Reject X 511 254 Accept	Support
<b>4.38 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-16 Core water allocation and minimum flows - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
363 87 MEL X 487 102 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 257 TPL -Support X 525 92 Genesis -Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6- 16(b) and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (b) to make it clear that this policy applies to both existing and new takes for renewable hydro electricity generation;	363 87 Reject X 487 102 Accept X 511 257 Reject X 525 92 Reject	Support, subject to amendments to Schedule B.
363 88 MEL X 511 258 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6- 16(b) and seeks the following amendments or similar: Provide more detail within the One Plan and Schedule B as to how this policy will work in practice. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 88 Reject X 511 258 Reject	Support, as above.
372 62 Minister Conservation X 500 256 TDC- Oppose X 507 256 MDC- Oppose X 511 261 TPL -Support X 515 256 HDC - Oppose X 517 163 RDC- Oppose X 532 256 WDC - Oppose	Retain the existing policy as written.	372 62 Accept X 500 256 - Reject X 507 256 Reject X 511 261 Reject X 515 256 Reject X 517 163 Reject X 532 256 Reject	Support
398 27 Fonterra X 484 69 MAF - Oppose X 506 74 Green Party - Oppose X 511 259 TPL -Oppose	Fonterra considers that Policy 6-17 should be deleted in its entirety. [submission refers to Policy 6-16]	398 27 Reject X 484 69 Accept X 506 74 Accept X 511 259 Accept	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 519 108 MRP-Oppose X 525 29 Genesis-Oppose		X 519 108 Accept X 525 29 Accept	
417 38 Fish & Game X 511 263 TPL -Support	Policy 6-16 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 38 Accept X 511 263 Accept	Support
426 63 Fed Farmers X 484 81 MAF- Oppose X 487 101 Fonterra - Support X 506 52 Green Party - Oppose X 511 262 TPL -Oppose X 519 95 Mighty River-Oppose X 525 24 Genesis-Oppose	Delete Policy 6-16 or in the alternative, amend to read as follows: "The minimum flows and core allocations set out in Schedule B shall be assessed after any takes for hydro electric generation and Section 14 (3) (b) have been taken" Amend this section of the plan and make consequential amendments throughout the rest of the Plan to expressly provide for Section 14 (3) (b) takes	426 63 Reject X 484 81 Accept X 487 101 Reject X 506 52 Reject X 511 262 Reject X 519 95 Reject X 525 24 Reject	Support
460 50 Forest & Bird X 511 260 TPL -Support	Submitter supports Policy 6-16: Core water allocation and minimum flows	460 50 Accept X 511 260 Accept	Support
<b>4.39 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-17 Approach to setting minimum flows and core allocations - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 76 Hort NZ X 511 269 TPL - Support	Decision Sought: Amend Policy 6-17 to state the methodology of how the hydrological data will be used to set the minimum flows and core allocations.	357 76 Reject X 511 269 Reject	Support
359 59 MRP X 487 103 Fonterra - Support X 492 108 DOC Oppose X 511 264 TPL -Support X 522 158 Meridian- Support	Addition of a new sentence at the end of 6-17 (b) as follows: - It is recognised that more detailed studies may show that minimum flows lower than that specified in Schedule B may be appropriate in particular situations.	359 59 Accept in Part X 487 103 Accept in Part X 492 108 Accept in Part X 511 264 Accept in Part X 522 158 Accept in Part	Support, subject to a framework that provides for minimum flows lower than that specified in Schedule B in particular situations where there are national or regional benefits.
372 63 DOC X 500 257 TDC- Oppose X 507 257 MDC- Oppose X 511 267 TPL-Support X 515 257 HDC- Oppose X 517 164 RDC- Oppose X 532 257 WDC- Oppose	No decision requested but submits that the general approach to water allocation based on a scientific approach and the priority given to sustaining the life supporting capacity of water bodies is strongly supported.	372 63 Accept X 500 257 Reject X 507 257 Reject X 511 267 Accept X 515 257 Reject X 517 164 Reject X 532 257 Reject	Support
398 28 Fonterra X 484 70 MAF- Oppose X 506 75 Green Party - Oppose	Fonterra considers that Policy 6-16 should be deleted in its entirety. [submission refers to Policy 6-17]	398 28 Reject X 484 70 Accept X 506 75 Accept	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 265 TPL -Support X 519 109 MRP- Oppose X 525 30 Genesis -Oppose		X 511 265 Reject X 519 109 Accept X 525 30 Accept	
426 64 Fed Farmers X 492 110 DOC- Oppose X 511 268 TPL -Support	Amend Policy 6-17 to state the methodology and approach in setting the allocable flow and core allocations	426 64 Reject X 492 110 Accept X 511 268 Reject	Support
460 51 Forest & Bird X 484 85 MAF- Oppose X 511 266 TPL -Oppose	Advocate the (b) should be set at a more conservative level to protect indigenous freshwater ecosystems	460 51 Accept in Part X 484 85 Accept in Part X 511 266 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.40 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-18 Supplementary water allocation – Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
359 62 Mighty River X 487 105 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 270 TPL -Support X 525 108 Genesis–Support	Exclude hydroelectricity takes from the concept of supplementary water allocation.	359 62 Reject X 487 105 Accept X 511 270 Reject X 525 108 -Reject	Support, consistent with the recommended amendments to core allocations in Policy 6-16.
<b>4.41 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-19 Apportioning, restricting and suspending takes in times of low flow - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 78 Hort NZ X 484 42 MAF- Support X 511 278 TPL - Oppose X 533 33 Fed Farmers- Support	Decisions Sought: Amend Policy 6-19 to provide for a staged reduction in takes as the flow reduces. Ensure that irrigators who have used only a small portion of their allocation are not penalised against those who have used greater portions of their allocation.  Ensure that supplementary allocations cease takes at a point above low flow, not at the low flow point. In times of low flow public water supply should be reduced to an allocation of 180 litres per person per day for domestic use.	357 78 Accept in Part X 484 42 Accept in Part X 511 278 Accept in Part X 533 33 Accept in Part	Support in part
358 42 TPL X 487 110 Fonterra- Oppose X 522 160 MEL - Support X 525 248 Genesis -Support	Either amend Policy 6-19 (b) by inserting a new (v) as follows: "Consents for the take and use of water for hydro electricity shall be allowed to continue to the allowable minimum flow. [subject to resolving TPL submission points 16 and 37] Or, amend Policy 6-19 to include a clause specifically relating to the importance of energy generation to the national interest.	358 42 Reject X 487 110 Accept X 522 160 Reject X 525 248 Reject	Support.
358 43 TPL X 519 34 MRP- Oppose	Amend Policy 6-19 to provide that low-flow restrictions will be applied on a first-in, last out – last in, first-out basis.	358 43 Reject X 519 34 Accept\	Support in part inclusion of hydroelectric takes as industry.
359 64 MRP X 487 111 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 271 TPL -Support X 522 161 MEL- Support in Part X 525 110 Genesis -Support	The amendment of the Policy so that consents for the take and use of water for hydro electricity are permitted to continue regardless of river flow and irrespective of whether the water taken or used is part of the 'core allocation' or not.	359 64 Reject X 487 111 Accept X 511 271 Reject X 522 161 Accept in Part X 525 110 Reject	Support, as above.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

372 68 Minister Conservation X 500 262 TDC- Oppose X 502 91 NZ Defence - Oppose X 507 262 MDC- Oppose X 511 277 TPL -Oppose X 515 262 HDC - Oppose X 517 169 RDC - Oppose X 519 74 Mighty River-Support X 531 68 Hort NZ - Oppose X 532 262 WDC- Oppose	Policy 6-19 (b)(vi) Delete this provision.	372 68 Reject X 500 262 Accept X 502 91 Accept X 507 262 Accept X 511 277 Accept X 515 262 Accept X 517 169 Accept X 519 74 Reject X 531 68 Accept X 532 262 Accept	Support
398 30 Fonterra X 506 77 Green Party -Oppose X 511 272 TPL -Oppose	Fonterra considers that this policy [policy 6-19(a)] be amended as follows: Permitted takes -Takes that are permitted by this Plan (surface and groundwater takes), including those authorised by Rule 13-1, or are for ...	398 30 Reject X 506 77 Accept X 511 272 Accept	Support
398 31 Fonterra X 484 71 MAF- Support X 506 78 Green Party - Oppose X 511 273 TPL -Oppose X 519 111 MRP- Oppose	Fonterra considers that this policy [Policy 6- 19(b)(iv)] be amended as follows: Takes greater than permitted by this Plan that are required to meet an individual's reasonable domestic needs or the reasonable needs of an individual's animals for drinking water. Including takes for dairy operations, shall be allowed to continue regardless of river flow.	398 31 Reject X 484 71 Reject X 506 78 Accept X 511 273 Accept X 519 111 Accept	Support
417 41 Fish & Game X 511 279 TPL -Support X 511 285 TPL -Support	Policy 6-19 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 41 Accept X 511 279 Accept X 511 285 Accept	Support in part
426 66 Fed Farmers X 487 112 Fonterra- Support X 511 275 TPL -Oppose	Reword Policy 6-19 as follows: "During times of low flow except where required to meet an individual's reasonable domestic needs or the reasonable needs of an individuals animals for drinking water, takes from rivers shall be managed in the following manner..." (or words to that effect)	426 66 Reject X 487 112 Reject X 511 275 Accept	Support.
426 67 Fed Farmers X 511 276 TPL -Oppose	Amend policy 6-19 to provide for a staged reduction in takes as the flow reduces with the provision that resource users that have only used a small portion of their allocation are not penalised against those who have used a greater amount of their allocation.	426 67 Accept X 511 276 Reject	Support
460 52 Forest & Bird X 511 274 TPL -Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6-19: Apportioning, restricting and suspending takes in times of low flow, but rejects sub-section b(vi) as being too broad, e.g., this sub-section could be interpreted to mean that irrigation to support dairying could continue during low flow event due to the industries economic importance within a community.	460 52 Reject X 511 274 Accept	Support.
<b>4.45 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-23 Groundwater management zones – Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

359 65 Mighty River X 511 280 TPL -Support	Amendment of the policies and methods in the Plan to ensure that takes from groundwater do not reduce the amount of water available to	359 65 Accept in Part X 511 280 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.47 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-25 Effects of groundwater takes on surface waterbodies - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 83 Hort NZ X 511 284 TPL - Oppose	Decision Sought: Delete Policy 6-25.	357 83 Reject X 511 284 Accept	Support the proposed amendments to the policy.
359 66 Mighty River X 511 281 TPL- Support	The inclusion of an appropriate scientific method to measure connectivity between ground water and surface water.	359 66 Accept X 511 281 Accept	Support, as above
398 32 Fonterra X 511 282 TPL -Oppose X 525 31 Genesis- Oppose	Fonterra considers that Policy 6-25 should be revised to remove any special treatment for hydro electricity generation with respect to the minimum flow and core allocation requirements.	398 32 Accept X 511 282 Reject X 525 31 Reject	Support, as above
426 71 Federated Farmers X 506 53 Green Party - Oppose X 511 283 TPL- Oppose	Delete Policy 6-25 or in the alternative , amend to read: (b) to the extent justified the groundwater take shall be assessed and managed as if it were a surface water take to which it is connected. (or words to that effect)	426 71 Accept in Part X 506 53 Accept in Part X 511 283 Accept in Part	Support, as above
<b>4.49 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-27 General management of river and lake beds - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
359 67 Mighty River X 511 286 TPL - Support	That thresholds be added to the Plan that determine when groundwater takes will be considered as surface water takes.	359 67 Accept X 511 286 Accept	Support
<b>4.50 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-27 General management of river and lake beds - Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
359 67 Mighty River X 511 286 TPL -Support	That thresholds be added to the Plan that determine when groundwater takes will be considered as surface water takes.	359 67 Accept X 511 286 Accept	Support
358 45 TPL X 487 114 Fonterra - Oppose X 525 249 Genesis -Support	Either delete Policy 6-27, General Management in its entirety; Or insert the following clause in to Policy 6-27: (c) recognises that, in some cases, there might be a need to use river and lake beds for uses such as renewable energy generation And re-number the current clauses (c) - (h) of Policy 6- 27 accordingly. Or include a new policy that specifically provides for damming and diversion of water for hydro electricity generation.	358 45 Reject X 487 114 Accept X 525 249 Reject	Oppose, seek improved recognition of hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.
359 68 MRP X 511 287 TPL-Support X 522 163 MEL- Support	Add Policy 6-31 to the end of (a) - Retain the remainder of the policy as proposed.	359 68 Reject X 511 287 Reject X 522 163 Reject	Oppose
363 90 MEL X 511 288 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-27 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clauses (a) as follows: (a) Add reference to Policy 6-31.	363 90 Reject X 511 288 Reject	Oppose
363 91 MEL X 511 289 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-27 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (b) as follows: (b) Avoids, remedies or mitigates as far as practicable any significant adverse effects on	363 91 Accept in Part X 511 289 Accept in Part	Support in part

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 519 17 MRP - Support	the ability of a waterbody to convey flood flows or the passage of floating debris.	X 519 17 Accept in Part	
363 92 MEL X 511 290 TPL - Support X 519 18 MRP- Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-27 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clauses (c) as follows: (c) Avoids, remedies or mitigates as far as practicable any significant adverse effects on the stability and function of existing structures including flood and erosion control structures.	363 92 Accept in Part X 511 290 Accept in Part X 519 18 Accept in Part	Support in part
363 93 MEL X 492 119 DOC - Oppose X 511 291 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-27 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clauses (f) as follows: (f)Provides for the safe passage of fish both upstream and downstream where passage presently exists, and includes fish passes.	363 93 Reject X 492 119 Accept X 511 291 Reject	Support in part
363 94 MEL X 511 292 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-27 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (f) as follows: (g) Delete.	363 94 Reject X 511 292 Reject	Oppose, as above
372 75 DoC X 500 269 TDC- Oppose X 507 269 MDC- Oppose X 511 295 TPL -Oppose X 515 269 HDC- Oppose X 517 176 RDC- Oppose X 532 269 WDC- Oppose	Amend to read (or words to a similar effect): '(d) avoids any significant reduction in the habitat diversity, including the morphological diversity, of the waterbody, and its bed and margins.'	372 75 Accept in Part X 500 269 Accept in Part X 507 269 Accept in Part X 511 295 Accept in Part X 515 269 Accept in Part X 517 176 Accept in Part X 532 269 Accept in Part	Support
426 73 Fed Farmers X 511 294 TPL - Oppose	Retain Policy 6-27 (b) , (c), (g) (h) as written	426 73 Accept in Part X 511 294 Accept in Part	Support in part, as above
426 75 Fed Farmers X 511 296 TPL -Support X 531 73 Hort NZ - Support	Amend Policy 6-27 as follows: (d) avoids any significant reduction in the habitat diversity, of the waterbody (e) manages effects on natural character and legal public access in accordance with relevant policies in Chapter 7	426 75 Reject X 511 296 Reject X 531 73 Reject	Support in part
460 55 Forest & Bird X 511 293 TPL -Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6- 27: General management of river and lake beds.	460 55 Accept X 511 293 Reject	Support in part.
<b>4.51 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-28 Activities in waterbodies with a value of Natural State, Sites of Significance - Cultural, or Sites of Significance – Aquatic - Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
357 85 Hort NZ X 492 123 DoC - Oppose X 511 301 TPL -Support	Decision Sought: Delete Sites of Significance Aquatic from Policy 6-28.	357 85 Reject X 492 123 Accept X 511 301 Reject	Support in part, subject to improved justification of these values.
358 46 TPL X 492 121 DoC - Oppose X 522 164 MEL- Support in Part	Either delete Policy 6-28 from the Proposed Plan; Or robustly define the terms "Natural State Waterbodies", "Sites of Significance - Cultural," and "Sites of Significance - Aquatic" in the Proposed Plan; Or delete all reference to "Natural State Waterbodies", "Sites of Significance - Cultural", and	358 46 Reject X 492 121Accept X 522 164 Accept in Part	Support in part, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	"Sites of Significance - Aquatic" in Policy 6-28; Or delete the reference to Schedule D from Policy 6-28.		
363 95 MEL X 492 124 DoC - Oppose X 511 297 TPL-Support X 519 19 MRP -Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6-28 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Amend clause (a) as follows: (a) avoids, remedies or mitigates as far as practicable significant adverse effects on these values.	363 95 Accept in Part X 492 124 Accept in Part X 511 297 Accept in Part X 519 19 Accept in Part	Support
363 96 MEL X 492 125 DoC - Oppose X 511 298 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Policy 6- 28 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Provided Meridian's submission to Schedule D is accepted, amend clause (b) as follows: (b) maintains the habitat and spawning requirements of the species identified in Schedule D as being significant with the subject water management zones where this is practicable, otherwise the adverse effects will be remedied or mitigated to the extent appropriate.	363 96 Accept in Part X 492 125 Accept in Part X 511 298 Accept in Part	Support
417 45 Fish & Game X 511 302 TPL- Oppose	Policy 6-28 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 45 Accept in Part X 511 302 Reject	Support
426 76 Fed Farmers X 511 300 TPL-Support	Reword Policy 6-28 as follows: " Sites of significance - Cultural, or Sites of Significance - Aquatic, in, on, under or over the beds of rivers and lakes shall be managed in a manner which: (a) avoids averse effects on the sites (b) maintains the habitat and spawning requirements of native species identified as being significant within the region." (or words to that effect)	426 76 Reject X 511 300 Reject	Support
460 56 Forest & Bird X 511 299 TPL -Oppose	Submitter supports Policy 6- 28: Activities in a water body with a value of Natural State, Sites of Significance - Cultural, or Sites of Significance - Aquatic.	460 56 Accept X 511 299 Reject	Support in part amendments proposed.
<b>4.53 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-30 Activities in waterbodies with other values - Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
372 82 DoC X 500 276 TDC- Oppose X 507 276 MDC - Oppose X 511 303 TPL-Oppose X 515 276 HDC- Oppose X 517 183 RDC- Oppose X 522 166 MEL- Oppose X 532 276 WDC- Oppose	Delete sub-paragraph (b) and replace with: '(b) avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the natural character, indigenous biodiversity and ecosystem functions of rivers and their margins. Where it is not possible to adequately avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of the activity at the site, the Council may consider the use of financial contributions as a means of offsetting or compensating for adverse effects in accordance with the policies in Chapter 18.'	372 82 Accept in Part X 500 276 Accept in Part X 507 276 Accept in Part X 511 303 Accept in Part X 515 276 Accept in Part X 517 183 Accept in Part X 522 166 Accept in Part X 532 276 Accept in Part	Support, consistent with One Plan approach to date.
<b>4.54 Chapter 6 – Policy 6-31 Essential and beneficial activities – Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
358 47 TPL X 522 167 MEL - Support in Part X 525 250 Genesis- Support	Amend Policy 6-31 by inserting the following clause: "(d) infrastructure facilities associated with renewable energy generation."	358 47 Reject X 522 167 Accept in Part X 525 250 Reject	Oppose, amendments inconsistent with intent of the policy and further reduce reference to regionally or nationally significant infrastructure.
363 98 MEL	Meridian opposes in part Policy 6-31 and seeks the following amendments or similar: Add a new	363 98 Reject	Oppose, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 304 TPL -Support X 519 21 MRP- Support	clause (d) as follows: (d) the use and maintenance of structures associated with hydro electricity generation facilities.	X 511 304 Reject X 519 21 Reject	
417 48 Fish & Game X 511 307 TPL - Support	Policy 6-31 is supported and we wish it be retained.	417 48 Accept X 511 307 Accept	Oppose, as above
426 78 Fed Farmers X 511 306 TPL-Support	Retain Policy 6-31	426 78 Accept X 511 306 Accept	Oppose, as above
460 57 Forest & Bird X 511 305 TPL-Support	Submitter supports Policy 6- 31: Essential and beneficial activities.	460 57 Accept X 511 305 Accept	Oppose, as above
<b>4.56 Chapter 6 - Table 6.2 Water management zones and values – Water Quality</b>			
358 36 TPL X 519 33 Mighty River- Support	Amend the current reference in Table 6.2 Existing Infrastructure as follows: "The integrity of existing infrastructure or future uses for energy generation is not compromised."	358 36 Reject X 519 33 Reject	Support
372 43 DoC X 500 237 TDC- Oppose X 507 237 MDC- Oppose X 511 308 TPL - Support X 515 237 HDC- Oppose X 517 144 RDC - Oppose X 532 237 WDC- Oppose	Amend management objectives: In the 'EI' row add, 'The purpose and functioning of existing infrastructure is not compromised.'	372 43 Reject X 500 237 Accept X 507 237 Accept X 511 308 Accept X 515 237 Accept X 517 144 Accept X 532 237 Accept	Support
<b>4.65 Chapter 6 – Method - Trout Spawning Habitat – Water Quality</b>			
358 49 TPL X 522 169 MEL- Support	Retain the methods on Trout Spawning Habitats Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of the Methods as proposed in this submission.	358 49 Accept X 522 169 Accept	Support
<b>4.68 Chapter 6 – Method - Water (Fluvial Resources, Quality and Quantity) Research, Monitoring and Reporting - Rivers and Lake Beds</b>			
358 50 TPL X 522 170 MEL - Support	Retain the methods on Water (Fluvial Resources, Quality and Quantity) Research, Monitoring and Reporting as read.	358 50 Accept X 522 170 Accept	Support
<b>4.72 Chapter 13 – General – Water Quality</b>			
358 77 TPL X 519 36 MRP - Support X 522 229 MEL- Support in Part X 522 314 MEL- Support in Part	Amend the Rules and Tables in Chapter 13 to make appropriate provision for infrastructure development and energy generation and include adequate recognition of important and essential activities.	358 77 Reject X 519 36 Reject X 522 229 Accept in Part X 522 314 Accept in Part	Oppose, current framework does not suitably recognise hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.



Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

398 3 Fonterra X 484 60 MAF - Oppose X 492 227 DoC -Oppose X 506 59 Green -Oppose X 511 427 TPL- Oppose	That the provisions of Proposed One Plan be amended to exclude dairy farms from a requirement to achieve the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D. Fonterra considers that this could be accomplished by expressly decoupling Rule 13-1 from Schedule D by making the following amendments: Policy 13-1 When making decisions on resource consent applications, and setting consent conditions, for discharges of water or contaminants, except for those covered by Rule 13-1, the Regional Council will .... Policy 13-2 When making decisions on resource consent applications, and setting consent conditions, for discharges of contaminants onto or into land, except for those covered by Rule 13-1, the Regional Council will ....	398 3 Reject X 484 60 Accept X 492 227 Accept X 506 59 Accept X 511 427 Accept	Support
398 44 Fonterra X 506 80 Green Party - Oppose X 511 428 TPL-Support	Fonterra considers that Policies 13-1 and 13-2 should be revised to reflect the fact that the values, management objectives and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures.	398 44 Reject X 506 80 Accept X 511 428 Reject	Neutral
460 106 Forest & Bird X 495 271 RDC- Oppose X 511 429 TPL-Oppose	Support all - but seek the inclusion of reference to Chapter 9 coast	460 106 Accept X 495 271 Reject X 511 429 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.77 Chapter 13 – Rule Sub Heading - 13.2 Agricultural activities rules –Water Quality</b>			
398 2 Fonterra X 506 58 Green Party - Oppose X 511 436 TPL- Oppose	In addition, whether or not the relief sought in Paragraph 110.1 [submission point 398/1] is granted, a permitted activity rule authorising dairy farming prior to the dates provided in Table 13.1 should be provided to ensure that dairy farming is authorised under the Proposed One Plan at all times.	398 2 Reject X 506 58 Accept X 511 436 Accept	Support
357 118 Hort NZ X 511 448 TPL- Support	Decision Sought: Delete Table 13-1 and implement a non-regulatory approach to the issues, including development of nutrient management plans.	357 118 Reject X 511 448 Reject	Support
426 161 Federated Farmers X 505 2 Himitangi Station-Support X 511 449 TPL - Support	Implement a non regulatory approach (or permitted activity) which includes the development of nutrient management plans.	426 161 Reject X 505 2 Reject X 511 449 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.81 Chapter 13 – Rule 13-1 Dairy farming, cropping, market gardening and intensive sheep and beef farming and associated activities – Water Quality</b>			
372 141 DoC X 484 54 MAF – Oppose X 511 433 TPL -Oppose	Retain wording as existing	372 141 Accept X 484 54 Reject X 511 433 Reject	Neutral
398 1 Fonterra X 484 59 MAF- Support X 506 57 Green Party - Oppose X 511 430 TPL-Oppose X 533 50 Fed Farmers- Support	That the provisions of Proposed One Plan imposing regulatory controls on dairy farms be held in abeyance until 2020. Fonterra submits that voluntary measures should be allowed to operate and demonstrate progress before regulatory measures are imposed. Accordingly, Rule 13-1 should be recast as a permitted activity rule requiring non-regulatory whole-farm business plans, similar to those provided for in Chapter 5 with respect to sustainable land management practices in the hill country, as a condition of a permitted activity rule allowing dairy	398 1 Reject X 484 59 Reject X 506 57 Accept X 511 430 Accept X 533 50 Reject	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	farming. The non-regulatory whole-farm business plans could address many of the issues presently covered in Rule 13- 1 without imposing the regulatory constraints.		
398 48 Fonterra X 484 74 MAF- Support X 506 72 Green Party - Oppose X 506 84 Green Party - Oppose X 511 431 TPL -Oppose X 525 32 Genesis-Oppose	In the event that Rule 13-1 is not replaced by a permitted activity rule, Fonterra considers that Rule 13-1 should be revised to: (i) Reflect the fact that the values, management objectives, and water quality standards provided in Schedule D may not be practicably achieved using presently available mitigation measures; (ii) Provide the necessary expert assistance to aid farmers in complying with the FARM Strategy; (iii) Provide an improved list of best management practices, with greater emphasis on riparian management; (iv) Limit the control/discretion reserved by Horizons; (v) Remove references to "new uses of land; (vi) Remove any special treatment for hydro electricity generation with respect to the minimum flow and core allocation requirements; (vii) Remove groundwater take controls based on per property measures and replace them with a more equitable measures; and (viii) Provide for sufficient water to meet reasonable domestic and stock water needs that reflect the following water needs: Milking cows require 70 litres of drinking water per cow per day; Dry stock require 45 litres of drinking water per cow per day; and An additional 70 litres per cow per day for milk cooling and farm dairy hygiene.	398 48 Reject X 484 74 Reject X 506 72 Accept X 506 84 Accept X 511 431 Accept X 525 32 Accept	Support
426 163 Fed Farmers X 486 7 AG Research Support X 506 55 Green Party - Oppose X 511 434 TPL-Support	Delete Rule 13-1,	426 163 Reject X 486 7 Reject X 506 55 Accept X 511 434 Reject	Support
426 164 Fed Farmers X 487 142 Fonterra- Support X 506 56 Green Party Oppose X 511 435 TPL - Support X 531 95 Hort NZ- Support	Redraft Rule 13-1 (and associated issues, objectives and policies) to include a complete evaluation of the wider economic, social and environmental implications of all possible solutions to the water quality issue based on the following principals: -Sustainable development: A balanced evaluation of the four components of sustainable development (environmental, economic, social, and cultural factors) - Efficiency: select the most appropriate to method achieve the objective while having regard to the following factors -Science and information sources: provide more rigour around the policy development process with decisions underpinned with high quality science and authoritative analysis and information.  -Clarity and capacity: include policies and methods that are clear and achievable and within the capacity of individuals to deliver within the timeframes.  -Effects-based flexibility: Formulate rules that are effects based and provide flexibility within the farming system and catchment -Investment certainty: recognise the long term nature of investment decisions and provide for certainty in the operating environment to allow for return of investments made. - Involvement in solution development: Redraft 13-1 to involve the affected parties throughout the process from issue identification and objective setting through to the identification and analysis of solutions. A collaborative process will ensure empowerment of those who may be required to implement change.	426 164 Reject X 487 142 Reject X 506 56 Accept X 511 435 Reject X 531 95 Reject	Support
460 110 Forest & Bird X 511 432 TPL-Oppose	Submitter supports Rule 13- 1: Dairy farming, cropping, market gardening and intensive sheep and beef farming and associated activities; because "the control of intensive land use activities (particularly nutrients and faecal matter) is an important step to restoring the health of the regions waterways."	460 110 Accept X 511 432 Reject	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

<b>4.82 Chapter 13 – Rule 13-2 Fertiliser – Water Quality</b>			
357 121 Hort NZ X 511 438 TPL - Oppose	Decision Sought: Retain Rule 13-2 but amend definition of at risk habitats as sought in Schedule 2 or delete 'at-risk habitats' from Rule 13-2 b). Amend clause c) to refer to the Nutrient Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (2007)	357 121 Accept in Part X 511 438 Accept in Part	Support
372 143 DoC X 511 439 TPL - Oppose	Retain existing wording but with the addition of the following after 'enhancement': 'and are compatible with maintaining or enhancing the values for which the area has been identified'	372 143 Reject X 511 439 - Accept	Support
426 165 Fed Farmers X 511 440 TPL - Oppose	Retain Rule 13-2 but amend definition of 'at risk habitats' or delete reference to 'at risk habitats'	426 165 Reject X 511 440 - Accept	Support
460 111 Forest & Bird X 511 437 TPL - Support	Submitter supports Rule 13- 2: Fertiliser; because "the control of intensive land use activities (particularly nutrients and faecal matter) is an important step to restoring the health of the regions waterways."	460 111 Accept X 511 437 - Accept	Support
<b>4.86 Chapter 13 – Rule 13-6 Farm animal effluent including dairy sheds, poultry farms and existing piggeries – Water Quality</b>			
460 114 Forest & Bird X 492 238 DoC - Support X 511 441 TPL -Oppose	Submitter supports Rule 13- 6: Farm animal effluent including dairy sheds, poultry farms and existing piggeries; because "the control of intensive land use activities (particularly nutrients and faecal matter) is an important step to restoring the health of the regions waterways."	460 114 Accept X 492 238 Accept X 511 441 Reject	Support
<b>4.89 Chapter 13 – Rule 13-9 Discharges of water to water – Water Quality</b>			
268 34 Genesis X 511 442 TPL - Support X 522 316 Meridian- Support	Genesis Energy requests the creation of a Controlled Activity Rule for discharges of water to water from hydro electricity scheme infrastructure not able to comply with the conditions specified in Rule 13-9. This would recognise the importance of future hydro electricity schemes and existing TPS infrastructure while giving the Council some control by way of consent conditions.	268 34 Reject X 511 442 Reject X 522 316 Reject	Oppose, does not take account of the nature of existing hydro-electric activity discharges and such controls could be more reasonably managed through a consent condition.
359 102 Mighty River X 511 443 TPL - Oppose X 522 317 Meridian- Oppose	Retain the rule as proposed.	359 102 Accept X 511 443 Reject X 522 317 Reject	Oppose, as above.
<b>4.105 Chapter 13 – Rule 13-23 Discharges to Natural State water management zones, Sites of Significance - Aquatic and lakes and wetlands – Water Quality</b>			
358 78 TPL	Amend Rule 13-23 to take into account minor and temporary discharges.	358 78 Accept in Part	Support
359 103 Mighty River X 511 444 TPL -Support	Amend the rule so that it becomes a discretionary activity.	359 103 Reject X 511 444 Reject	Oppose, non-complying activity status has potential implications for existing or new hydroelectric generation activities
363 161 Meridian X 511 445 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes Rule 13-23 in its entirety and requests its deletion; or Rule 13-23 is amended to Discretionary Activity status. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 161 Reject X 511 445 Reject	Oppose, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

372 154 DoC X 511 447 TPL-Oppose X 522 325 Meridian - Oppose	Retain rules as proposed	372 154 Accept X 511 447 Reject X 522 325 Reject	Oppose, as above
460 116 Forest & Bird X 511 446 TPL -Oppose	Submitter supports Rule 23: Discharges to Natural State water management zones, Sites of Significance - Aquatic and lakes and wetlands.	460 116 Accept X 511 446 Reject	Oppose, as above
<b>4.111 Chapter 15 – General - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
358 82 TPL X 522 519 Meridian - Support X 525 259 Genesis Support	Amend the individual policies and rules to make provision for infrastructure supply and energy development, including a requirement to have regard to important and essential activities.	358 82 Reject X 522 519 Reject X 525 259 Reject	Oppose, current framework does not suitably recognise and provide for hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.
363 166 Meridian X 487 145 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 450 TPL -Support	Meridian opposes Chapter 15 and requests that it is amended as follows or similar: Make provision for non-consumptive takes by hydro electricity generation facilities. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 166 Reject X 487 145 Accept X 511 450 Reject	Oppose, as above.
<b>4.112 Chapter 15 – Policy 15-1 Consent decision-making for takes and uses of surface water and groundwater - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 132 Hort NZ X 511 452 TPL - Oppose	Decision Sought: Make changes as sought to Part I of the Plan and ensure that consequent changes are made to Part II to give effect to such changes. Amend Policy 15-1 to ensure that there is greater clarity as to the extent and scope of relevant provisions in the Plan to consent applications.	357 132 Accept in Part X 511 452 Accept in Part	Support
358 80 TPL	Retain the requirement in Policy 15-1 to have regard to the objectives and policies of Chapter 3 (Infrastructure, Energy and Waste) as read.	358 80 Accept	Support
363 167 Meridian X 492 256 DoC - Oppose X 511 451 TPL - Support	Meridian opposes Policy 15- 1 and requests it is amended as follows or similar: Delete reference to Schedule D; Amend clause (c) to state that particular regard will be given to the policies in Chapter 3; Add new clauses (d) and (e) as follows: (d) enable non-consumptive uses of water including the use and recycling of water (e) recognise and provide for people and communities to benefit from the use and development of natural and physical resources at a local, regional and national level.	363 167 Reject X 492 256 Accept X 511 451 Reject	Oppose, current framework does not suitably recognise and provide for hydro-electric generation activities with regional or national benefits.
372 157 DoC X 511 453 TPL - Oppose	Add the following (or words to a similar effect): '(d) manage the effects on rare and threatened habitats and at-risk-habitats in accordance with Chapter 7 (e) manage the effects on the natural character of waterbodies in accordance with Chapter 7'	372 157 Reject X 511 453 Accept	Support
417 71 Fish & Game X 492 255 DoC Support X 511 455 TPL - Oppose	Policy 15.1 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 71 Accept X 492 255 Accept X 511 455 Reject	Support in part
426 190 Fed Farmers X 511 454 TPL-Support	Amend Policy 15-1(b) to read (b) seek to avoid any adverse effects on other lawful activities, particular other water takes and Section 14(3) (b) takes	426 190 Reject X 511 454 Reject	Support in part.

<b>4.113 Chapter 15 – Policy 15-2 Consent decision-making for diversions and drainage - River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 81 TPL	Retain Policy 15-2(b) as read.	358 81 Accept	Support
417 72 Fish & Game X 492 257 DoC - Support X 511 458 TPL -Oppose	Policy 15.2 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 72 Accept X 492 257 Accept X 511 458 Reject	Support
426 191 Fed Farmers X 511 457 TPL-Support	Amend 15- 2 to make changes as sought to Part I of the Plan and ensure that consequent changes are made to Part II to give effect to such changes.	426 191 Accept in Part X 511 457 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.116 Chapter 15 – Policy 15-5 Consent review and expiry - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 136 Hort NZ X 511 461 TPL -Support X 519 104 Mighty River - Support	Decisions Sought: Review common expiry dates policy and approach to provide for flexibility in terms of duration of consents to ensure that the term reflects the effects of the activity, not the requirements of a common expiry date. Add to Policy 15-5 that current resource consents for takes which are neither due to expire nor to be reviewed will be factored into the allocation availability.	357 136 Accept in Part X 511 461 Accept in Part X 519 104 Accept in Part	Support n part.
358 83 TPL X 487 147 Fonterra- Oppose X 522 335 Meridian- Support in Part	Amend Policy 15-5 to specify that consents for hydro electricity generation will not be subject to common expiry dates.	358 83 Reject X 487 147 Accept X 522 335 Accept in Part	Oppose, consider there be needs to be an exemption for activities with regional or national benefits.
358 84 TPL	Delete clause (a) of Policy 15-5..	358 84 Reject	Oppose, as above.
358 85 TPL	Delete clause (b) of Policy 15-5 and replace with the amended takes of water as follows: (i) Section 14(3)(b) uses and drinking water component of municipal supply. (ii) Existing takes and use consents for hydro electric generation. (iii) New resource consent for hydro electric generation. (iv) Municipal supply and permitted activities not referred to in (i). (v) Other essential takes recognised in Policy 16-19. (vi) Current consents. (vii) All other consents on a first-in first served basis.	358 85 Reject	Oppose, as above
398 51 Fonterra X 478 5 Ministry of Ed -Support X 506 87 Green Party - Oppose X 511 459 TPL - Support X 522 334 Meridian- Support	Fonterra considers that the first sentence of Policy 15-5 should be deleted in its entirety so as to remove any reference to a common expiry approach.	398 51 Reject X 478 5 Reject X 506 87 Accept X 511 459 Reject X 522 334 Reject	Oppose, as above
398 52 Fonterra X 506 88 Green Party - Oppose X 511 460 TPL - Support	Policy 15-5(b)(iv) should be amended as follows: new resource consent applications for essential takes, being takes providing for domestic use, and hospitals [delete 'and freezing works']	398 52 Accept X 506 88 Reject X 511 460 Accept	Support in part.
<b>4.120 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-1 Minor takes and uses of surface water - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

398 53 Fonterra X 502 284 NZ Defence- Support X 511 462 TPL- Support	Fonterra considers that Rule 15-1 should be revised to: (i) Remove groundwater take controls based on per property measures and replace them with a more equitable measures; and	398 53 Reject X 502 284 Reject X 511 462 Reject	Support in part, subject to justification of science behind figures included in conditions.
398 54 Fonterra X 484 75 MAF- Support X 506 73 Green Party - Oppose X 511 463 TPL-Oppose X 519 112 Mighty River-Oppose X 531 113 Hort NZ- Oppose in Part	Fonterra considers that Rule 15-1 should be revised to: (ii) Provide for sufficient water to meet reasonable domestic and stock water needs that reflect the following water needs: Milking cows require 70 litres of drinking water per cow per day; - Dry stock require 45 litres of drinking water per cow per day; and - An additional 70 litres per cow per day for milk cooling and farm dairy hygiene.	398 54 Reject X 484 75 Reject X 506 73 Accept X 511 463 Accept X 519 112 Accept X 531 113 Accept in Part	Support, as above
426 198 Fed Farmers X 511 464 TPL-Oppose X 519 98 Mighty River Oppose X 526 24 Poultry Industry- Oppose X 531 114 Hort NZ- Oppose in Part	Amend Rule 15-1 as follows The taking and use of surface pursuant to s 14(1) RMA, excluding those rivers protected under Rule 15-7 and Section 14(3) (b) takes..." [delete 15-1(a)(i)] (a) (ii) 15 cubic meters per day where the water is for any other use excluding takes for the purposes of for milking shed operation (including but not limited to wash down and milk cooling) and piggeries. [delete 15-1(g)	426 198 Reject X 511 464 Accept X 519 98 Accept X 526 24 Accept X 531 114 Accept in Part	Support, as above
<b>4.121 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-2 Minor takes and uses of groundwater - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 139 Hort NZ X 511 466 TPL -Oppose	Decision Sought: Retain Rule 15-2 a- f) but delete g).	357 139 Accept in Part X 511 466 Accept in Part	Accept
359 114 Mighty River X 511 465 TPL-Support X 522 340 Meridian- Support	Addition of a further standard and term which states that the take will not reduce the amount of surface water available to existing consented takes or uses .	359 114 Reject X 511 465 Reject X 522 340 Reject	Accept.
<b>4.124 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-5 Takes and uses of surface water complying with core allocations - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 140 Hort NZ X 511 468 TPL-Oppose	Decision Sought: Retain Rule 15-5 but make changes as sought to Policy 6-13.	357 140 Reject X 511 468 Accept	Support
359 116 Mighty River X 511 467 TPL-Support X 522 342 Meridian-Support	Insert a new condition (f) as follows: The take shall not reduce the amount of water available to existing lawfully established takes and uses.	359 116 Reject X 511 467 Reject X 522 342 Reject	Support in part.
372 160 DoC X 511 469 TPL-Oppose	Amend Conditions/Standards/Terms (or wording to a similar effect): '(b) Water shall only be taken when the river is above its minimum flow and abstraction shall not result in flows below those minimum flows as assessed in accordance with Schedule B.'	372 160 Accept X 511 469 Reject	Support in part

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

372 161 DoC X 495 337 RDC- Oppose X 511 470 TPL-Oppose X 531 120 Hort NZ- Oppose X 533 57 Fed Farmers- Oppose	Amend Controls (a) and (d) (or wording to a similar effect): '(a) the volume and rate of water taken, and the timing of the take, including the flow at which takes shall cease so that minimum flows are not breached by them '(d) measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects on the values of the waterbody, including riparian management and restrictions on the volume and rate of abstraction'	372 161 Reject X 495 337 Accept X 511 470 Accept X 531 120 Accept X 533 57 Accept	Support
417 81 Fish & Game X 511 472 TPL-Oppose	Rule 15.5 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 81 Accept X 511 472 Reject	Support in part.
426 200 Fed Farmers X 511 471 TPL -Oppose	Retain 15-5 as written but make changes as sough to Policies 6-13 - 6-16 and Schedule B	426 200 Reject X 511 471 Accept	Support
<b>4.125 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-6 Takes of surface water not complying with core allocations - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
358 87 TPL X 519 37 Mighty River -Support	Either delete Rule 15-6 from the Proposed Plan. Or, amend the noncomplying activity status of Rule 15-6 to discretionary.	358 87 Reject X 519 37 Reject	Oppose, as highly restrictive of new infrastructure development with regional and national benefits.
358 88 TPL	Delete the cross-reference to Schedule B in Rule 15-6.	358 88 Reject	Support in part
363 169 Meridian X 487 157 Fonterra- Oppose X 511 473 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Rule 15-6 and seeks the following amendment or similar: Add a new rule that allows all hydro electricity takes to be assessed as discretionary activities under Rule 15-8, and ensure they are not subject to other rules that might alter this classification.	363 169 Reject X 487 157 Accept X 511 473 Reject	Oppose, as above.
398 55 Fonterra X 492 263 DoC - Support X 511 474 TPL-Oppose X 519 113 Mighty River- Oppose X 522 344 Meridian - Oppose X 525 26 Genesis- Oppose X 531 122 Hort NZ- Support	Fonterra considers that Rule 15-6 should be revised to remove any special treatment for hydro electricity generation with respect to the minimum flow and core allocation requirements.	398 55 Reject X 492 263 Reject X 511 474 Accept X 519 113 Accept X 522 344 Accept X 525 26 Accept X 531 122 Reject	Support
417 82 Fish & Game X 511 475 TPL-Support	Rule 15.6 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 82 Accept X 511 475 Accept	As above
<b>4.126 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-7 Takes from rivers protected by water conservation orders - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
358 89 TPL X 492 265 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Rule 15-7 from the Proposed Plan, or; Amend the prohibited activity status of Rule 15-7 to become a discretionary activity and insert appropriate criteria limiting discretion to infrastructure development or energy generation.	358 89 Reject X 492 265 Accept	Support section 42A removal of this rule.
358 90 TPL	Add to the end of Rule 15- 7(a)(ii) the following: Conservation (Manganui o Te Ao River) Order 1988."	358 90 Reject	Support, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 492 266 DoC - Oppose		X 492 266 Accept	
358 91 TPL X 492 267 DoC - Oppose	Amend Rule 15-7 to include an exception to similar renewal of resource consent in relation to Manganui o Te Ao water conservation order as to the specific exemption for the Water Conservation (Rangitikei River) Order 1993. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 15-7 as proposed in this submission.	358 91 Reject X 492 267 Accept	Support, as above
417 83 Fish & Game X 492 264 DoC - Support X 511 476 TPL-Oppose	Rule 15.7 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 83 Reject X 492 264 Reject X 511 476 Accept	Support, as above
<b>4.127 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-8 Other takes and uses of water - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 141 Hort NZ X 511 477 TPL-Support	Decision Sought: Amend Rule 15-8 to Restricted Discretionary for groundwater takes and include matters of discretion which council will consider as part of the consent application as set out for Rule 15-5 a, b, d, l, j and k.	357 141 Reject X 511 477 Reject	Support.
358 92 TPL X 492 269 DoC- Oppose X 519 38 Mighty River- Oppose	Either delete Rule 15-8 from the Proposed Plan; Or, amend the discretionary activity status of Rule 15-8 to become a permitted or controlled activity and introduce appropriate standards/terms; Or, amend the description of the activity to recognise and provide for infrastructure development and energy generation as follows: "The taking and use of surface water or groundwater pursuant... except for takes for bore or groundwater testing permitted under Rule 15-4 or for purposes of infrastructure development or energy generation."	358 92 Reject X 492 269 Accept X 519 38 Accept	Neutral.
417 84 Fish & Game X 492 268 DoC - Support X 511 478 TPL -Oppose	Rule 15.8 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 84 Accept X 492 268 Accept X 511 478 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.127 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-8 Other takes and uses of water - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 141 Hort NZ X 511 477 TPL- Support	Decision Sought: Amend Rule 15-8 to Restricted Discretionary for groundwater takes and include matters of discretion which council will consider as part of the consent application as set out for Rule 15-5 a, b, d, l, j and k.	357 141 Reject X 511 477 Reject	Neutral
358 92 TPL X 492 269 DoC- Oppose X 519 38 Mighty River- Oppose	Either delete Rule 15-8 from the Proposed Plan; Or, amend the discretionary activity status of Rule 15-8 to become a permitted or controlled activity and introduce appropriate standards/terms; Or, amend the description of the activity to recognise and provide for infrastructure development and energy generation as follows: "The taking and use of surface water or groundwater pursuant... except for takes for bore or groundwater testing permitted under Rule 15-4 or for purposes of infrastructure development or energy generation."	358 92 Reject X 492 269 Accept X 519 38 Accept	Neutral
417 84 Fish & Game	Rule 15.8 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 84 Accept	Support



Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 492 268 DoC - Support X 511 478 TPL-Oppose		X 492 268 Accept X 511 478 Reject	
<b>4.129 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-9 Lawfully established diversions, including existing drainage - River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 93 TPL	Retain Rule 15-9 as read. Any similar amendments to like effect.	358 93 Accept	Support
358 94 TPL X 492 271 DoC - Oppose	Delete condition (c) in relation to the passage of fish in waterbodies.	358 94 Reject X 492 271 Accept	Support
426 201 Fed Farmers X 492 270 DoC - Support X 511 479 TPL -Support	Retain 15-9 as written Accept	426 201 Accept X 492 270 Accept X 511 479 Accept	Support
<b>4.130 Chapter 15 – Rule 15-10 New drainage- River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 95 TPL X 492 273 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Rule 15-10 from the Proposed Plan; Or delete conditions (d), (e), (f) and (g) from Rule 15-10; Or amend the description of the activity to have reference to infrastructure development and energy generation as follows (changes shown bold and underlined): "The take, diversion and discharge arising from the establishment and operation of new land or drainage, except for activities in relation to infrastructure development or energy generation."	358 95 Reject X 492 273 Accept	Support
417 85 Fish & Game X 492 272 DoC - Support X 511 481 TPL -Oppose	Rule 15.10 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 85 Accept X 492 272 Accept X 511 481 Reject	Support
426 202 Fed Farmers X 511 480 TPL-Oppose	Retain permitted status and conditions	426 202 Accept X 511 480 Reject	Support
<b>4.135 Chapter 16 – General - River and Lake Beds</b>			
359 122 Mighty River X 511 482 TPL- Support	The addition of a rule that enables lawfully established structures in the beds of lakes and rivers to continue as a permitted activity	359 122 Accept X 511 482 Accept	Support
<b>4.136 Chapter 16 – Policy 16-1 Consent decision making for activities in river and lake beds (including modified watercourses) - River and Lake Beds</b>			
272 37 Powerco X 511 483 TPL-Support X 519 58 Mighty River Support X 522 346 Meridian- Support in Part	W1 - Policy 16-1 to include an additional consideration: "recognise and provide for the provisions of Chapter 3".	272 37 Reject X 511 483 Reject X 519 58 Reject X 522 346 Accept in Part	Oppose, as below
357 142 Hort NZ X 511 486 TPL-Oppose	Decision Sought: Make changes as sought to Part I of the Plan and ensure that consequent changes are made to Part II to give effect to such changes.	357 142 Reject X 511 486 Accept	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

358 97 TPL X 522 347 Meridian - Support in Part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 6-1 by inserting the following text : "(a) recognise and provide for the policies regarding the beds of rivers and lakes in Section 6.4.4, and have regard to the other provisions of Chapter 3 and Chapter 6 where appropriate."	358 97 Reject X 522 347 Accept in Part	Oppose, current framework does not suitably recognise and provide for infrastructure with national or regional benefits.
358 98 TPL X 522 348 Meridian- Support in Part	Amend Policy 16-1 by inserting the following clause as a new clause (f): "(f) have regard to the objectives and policies in Chapter 3 in relation to infrastructure development and energy generation."	358 98 Reject X 522 348 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above.
359 123 Mighty River X 511 484 TPL-Support X 522 349 Meridian- Support in Part	The addition of a new point (f) as follows: (f) give effect to the objectives and policies in Chapter 3 Infrastructure, Energy and Waste	359 123 Reject X 511 484 Reject X 522 349 Accept in Part	Oppose, as above
363 173 Meridian X 511 485 TPL-Support X 525 76 Genesis - Support	Meridian supports the general intent of Policy 16-1 and seeks the following amendment or similar: Add a new clause (f) as follows: (f) recognise and have particular regard to the renewable energy objectives and policies in Chapter 3.	363 173 Reject X 511 485 Reject X 525 76 Reject	Oppose, as above.
<b>4.137 Chapter 16 – Policy 16-2 Consent decision making for activities in the beds of artificial watercourses and artificial lakes – River and Lake Beds</b>			
357 143 Hort NZ X 511 488 TPL-Oppose	Decision Sought: Make changes as sought to Part I of the Plan and ensure that consequent changes are made to Part II to give effect to such changes.	357 143 Reject X 511 488 Accept	Support
358 99 TPL	Delete clause (c) of Policy 16-2 of the Proposed Plan.	358 99 Reject	Support
359 124 Mighty River X 511 487 TPL- Support X 522 350 Meridian- Support in Part	The addition of a new point (e) as follows: (e) give effect to the objectives and policies in Chapter 3 Infrastructure, Energy and Waste	359 124 Reject X 511 487 Reject X 522 350 Accept in Part	Oppose, current framework does not suitably recognise and provide for infrastructure with national or regional benefits.
<b>4.141 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-1 Damming of protected rivers – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 100 TPL X 488 6 Fish & Game - Taranaki- Oppose X 491 7 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 286 DoC - Oppose	Insert a new rule that lists dams that exceed the small dam criteria as discretionary activities. Any similar amendments to like effect.	358 100 Accept X 488 6 Reject X 491 7 Reject X 492 286 Reject	Support
358 10 1 TPL X 488 7 Fish & Game Taranaki - Oppose X 491 8 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 287 DoC - Oppose	Insert a new rule that enables lawfully established structures in the beds of lakes and rivers to continue as a permitted activity.	358 10 1 Accept X 488 7 Reject X 491 8 Reject X 492 287 Reject	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

358 102 TPL X 488 8 Fish & game Taranaki - Oppose X 491 9 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 288 DoC- Oppose X 502 287 NZ Defence - Oppose X 522 354 Meridian - Support	Delete Rule 16-1;	358 102 Reject X 488 8 Accept X 491 9 Accept X 492 288Accept X 502 287 - Accept X 522 354 Reject	Oppose, the prohibited activity status based solely on aesthetic values.
358 103 TPL X 488 9 Fish & game Taranaki- Oppose X 491 10 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 289 DoC - Oppose X 522 355 Meridian – Support	Or amend the prohibited activity status of Rule 16-1 to a restricted discretionary activity and insert matters to which discretion is limited to infrastructure development and energy generation. Appropriate matters could include those relevant matters outlined in Rule 16-9;	358 103 Reject X 488 9 Accept X 491 10 Accept X 492 289 Accept X 522 355 Accept	Oppose, as above
358 104 TPL X 488 10 Fish & Game Taranaki - Oppose X 491 11 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 290 DoC - Oppose X 522 356 Meridian – Support	Restrict Rule 16-1 to those specific parts of waterbodies that are protected by water conservation orders.	358 104 Reject X 488 10 Accept X 491 11 Accept X 492 290 Accept X 522 356 Reject	Oppose, as above
363 176 Meridian X 488 12 Fish & Game Taranaki- Oppose X 491 13 Fish & Game- Oppose X 492 291 DoC - Oppose X 502 288 NZ Defence - Oppose X 511 489 TPL –Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16-1 and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete Rule 16-1; or Amend so that activities on rivers protected by national water conservation orders are assessed as non-complying activities and make all other activities discretionary; and Delete reference to the main stems of its tributaries in clauses (a) to (f). Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 176 Reject X 488 12 Accept X 491 13 Accept X 492 291Accept X 502 288 Accept X 511 489 Reject	Oppose, as above
426 205 Fed Farmers X 488 11 Fish & Game Taranaki X 491 12 Fish & Game - Oppose X 511 491 TPL-Support	Amend Rule 16-1 to only relate to those rivers protected by a Water Conservation Order.	426 205 Reject X 488 11 Accept X 491 12 Accept X 511 491 Reject	Oppose, as above
<b>4.142 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-2 Other structures and disturbances in protected rivers – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 105 TPL	Delete Rule 16-2; Or, amend the noncomplying activity status of Rule 16-2 to a discretionary activity and insert matters to which discretion is limited to infrastructure development and energy generation.	358 105 Accept in Part	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 492 294 DoC - Oppose X 522 358 Meridian - Support	Appropriate matters could include those relevant matters outlined in Rule 16- 9; Or, restrict Rule 16-2 to those specific parts of waterbodies that are protected by water conservation orders.	X 492 294 Accept in Part X 522 358 Accept in Part	
363 177 Meridian X 492 295 DoC - Oppose X 511 490 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16-2 and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete Rule 16-2; or Amend so that rivers to be protected only apply to those with a national water conservation order; and Amend status of rule to be classified as a discretionary activity.	363 177 Accept in Part X 492 295 Accept in Part X 511 490 Accept in Part	Support
417 88 Fish & Game X 492 293 DoC - Support X 502 290 NZ Defence Oppose X 511 493 TPL-Oppose	Amend Rule 16-2 (e) and (f) to read: (e) the main stem of the Hautapu River above its confluence with the Oraukura Stream and the main stems of its tributaries, The Irirangi Stream and the Waiouru Stream.  (f) the main stem of the Makuri River and the mainstem of its tributary, the Makuri-iti Stream. Add to Rule 16-2 (g) The main stem of the Mangatainoka River and the main stems of its tributaries, the Makakahi River and its Bruce Stream tributary, the Mangaroa, Mangamaire, Makotukutku and Mangraupiu streams, and an unnamed tributary entering the Mangatainoka River at map reference NZMS 260 T25 368-654	417 88 Reject X 492 293 Reject X 502 290 Accept X 511 493 Accept	Support
426 206 Fed Farmers X 511 492 TPL-Support	Amend Rule 16-2 to only relate to those rivers protected by a Water Conservation Order.	426 206 Accept in Part X 511 492 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.144 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-4 Structures and disturbances involving waterbodies valued as Natural State, Sites of Significance - Aquatic, and Sites of Significance – Cultural – River and Lake Beds</b>			
268 40 Genesis X 492 297 DoC - Oppose X 511 494 TPL-Support X 522 359 Meridian - Support	Delete Rule 16-4.	268 40 Reject X 492 297 Accept X 511 494 Reject X 522 359 Reject	Support in part
357 144 Hort NZ X 511 496 TPL- Oppose	Decision Sought: Retain Rule 16-5	357 144 Accept X 511 496 Reject	Support in part
358 106 TPL X 525 231 Genesis- Support	Delete Rule 16-4; Or, amend the discretionary activity status of Rule 16-4 to a discretionary activity and insert matters to which discretion is limited to infrastructure development and energy generation. Appropriate matters could include those relevant matters outlined in Rule 16- 9;	358 106 Reject X 525 231Reject	Support in part
363 178 Meridian X 511 495 TPL-Support X 525 77 Genesis - Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16-4 nd requests the following amendments or similar: Delete Rule 16-4; or Amend Rule to be classified as a controlled activity; and Review status, and provide justification for each individual river on public conservation lands as to why they have been classified as natural state waters.	363 178 Reject X 511 495 Reject X 525 77 Reject	Support in part
426 207 Fed Farmers X 495 348 RDC- Support	Amend 16-4 to allow permitted uses subject to appropriate performance standards.	426 207 Accept in Part X 495 348 Accept in Part	Support.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 497 TPL-Support		X 511 497 Accept in Part	
<b>4.145 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-5 Use of structures – River and Lake Beds</b>			
268 41 Genesis X 511 498 TPL-Support	Retain Rule 16-5.	268 41 Accept X 511 498 Accept	Support
358 107 TPL	Retain Rule 16-5 as read. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16-5 as proposed in this submission.	358 107 Accept	Support
358 108 TPL	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-5 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16-5 as proposed in this submission.	358 108 Reject	Support
359 126 Mighty River X 511 499 TPL-Support	Retain the permitted activity status of this rule.	359 126 Accept X 511 499 Accept	Support
<b>4.147 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-7 Removal and demolition of structures – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 111 TPL	Retain Rule 16-7 as read. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rules 16- 7 and 16-8 as proposed in this submission.	358 111 Accept	Support
358 112 TPL X 492 303 DoC - Oppose X 519 45 MRP- Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-7 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rules 16- 7 and 16-8 as proposed in this submission.	358 112 Reject X 492 303 Accept X 519 45 Reject	Support
358 113 TPL X 492 304 DoC - Oppose	Delete Condition (b) that requires Regional Council notification of removal of structures under Rule 16-7.	358 113 Reject X 492 304 Accept	Support
<b>4.149 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-8 New and existing small dams – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 114 TPL X 519 39 Mighty River- Support	The addition of a further standard condition or term in Rule 16-8 as follows: "The damming of water shall not affect any existing consent to take or use water."	358 114 Reject X 519 39 Reject	Support in part, subject to amendments to other provisions.
426 209 Fed Farmers X 511 505 TPL-Oppose X 525 18 Genesis-Oppose	Amend Rule 16-8 as follows: (b) For a dam in a river, the catchment area above the dam shall be no greater than 50 100 hectares	426 209 Reject X 511 505 Accept X 525 18 Accept	Support.
<b>4.150 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-9 Other existing dams – River and Lake Beds</b>			
268 43 Genesis X 495 351 RDC- Support	Genesis Energy requests that other existing dams be assessed as a permitted activity.	268 43 Reject X 495 351 Reject	Support,

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 506 TPL-Support X 519 9 MRP- Support		X 511 506 Reject X 519 9 Reject	
358 115 TPL X 492 306 DoC - Oppose X 495 352 RDC - Support X 525 232 Genesis- Support	Delete Rule 16-9; Or, amend the controlled activity status of Rule 16-9 to be a permitted activity, and Delete the Conditions and matters of control in Rule 16-9 to reflect the lawfully established nature of the existing dams.	358 115 Reject X 492 306Accept X 495 352 Reject X 525 232 Reject	Support,
359 128 MRP X 495 353 RDC- Support X 511 507 TPL- Support	Retain the controlled activity status for other existing dams.	359 128 Accept X 495 353 Accept X 511 507 Accept	Support
<b>4.152 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-10 Lines, cables, pipelines and ropeways –River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 116 TPL X 492 309 DoC - Oppose	Delete reference to 'Natural State' in the conditions for this rule.	358 116 Accept in Part X 492 309 Accept in Part	Support
358 117 TPL X 492 310 DoC - Oppose X 519 46 MRP- Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-10 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16-10 as proposed in this submission.	358 117 Reject X 492 310 Accept X 519 46 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.153 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-11 Culverts – River and Bed lakes</b>			
357 147 Hort NZ X 495 363 RDC - Oppose X 511 509 TPL- Oppose	Decision Sought: Retain Rule 16-11.	357 147 Accept in Part X 495 363 Accept in Part X 511 509 Accept in Part	Support
358 118 TPL X 519 51 Mighty River- Support	Delete the cross-reference to Rules 16-2 and 16-4 in Rule 16-12. Any similar amendments to like effect.	358 118 Reject X 519 51 Reject	Neutral
358 119 TPL X 519 47 Mighty River -Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-10 to Section 16.2 of the proposed Plan.	358 119 Reject X 519 47 Reject	Neutral
363 180 MEL X 511 508 TPL- Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16.11, and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete conditions (a)(i) to (iv), (b), (c) and (j).	363 180 Reject X 511 508 Reject	Neutral
426 210 Fed Farmers X 511 510 TPL-Support	Amend Rule 16-11 as follows: Delete conditions (a)(i) (ii) Delete condition (e) as it relates to Table 16.2 Retain conditions (f), (g), (h) (i)	426 210 Reject X 511 510 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.154 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-12 Other structures including bridges, fords and other access structures – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 120 TPL	Delete the cross-reference to Rules 16-2 and 16-4 in Rule 16-12.	358 120 Accept in Part	Neutral.

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 492 312 DoC - Oppose X 519 52 MRP -Support		X 492 312 Accept in Part X 519 52 Accept in Part	
358 121 TPL X 492 313 DoC - Oppose	Delete condition (b) in its entirety from Rule 16-12. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16- 12 as proposed in this submission	358 121 Reject X 492 313 Accept	Neutral
358 122 TPL X 492 314 DoC - Oppose X 519 48 Mighty River -Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-10 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16- 12 as proposed in this submission.	358 122 Reject X 492 314 Accept X 519 48 Reject	Neutral
363 181 Meridian X 492 315 DoC - Oppose X 511 511 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16- 12 and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete conditions (a) and (e). Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 181 Reject X 492 315 Accept X 511 511 Reject	Neutral
372 163 DoC X 500 284 TDC- Oppose X 507 284 MDC- Oppose X 511 512 TPL-Oppose X 515 284 HDC- Oppose X 517 191 RDC - Oppose X 532 284 WDC- Oppose	Delete the words 'unless the work is undertaken by the Regional Council' from condition (a)(iii) and 'unless the work is undertaken by a Territorial Authority' from condition (a)(iv).	372 163 Accept in Part X 500 284 Accept in Part X 507 284 Accept in Part X 511 512 Accept in Part X 515 284 Accept in Part X 517 191 Accept in Part X 532 284 Accept in Part	Support.
426 211 Fed Farmers X 492 316 DoC - Oppose X 511 513 TPL-Support X 525 19 Genesis -Oppose	Amend Rule 16-12 as follows: Delete conditions (a)(i) (ii) (b) for bridges and other structures, except fords, located in or on the bed of a river or lake, the catchment area above the structure shall be no greater than 500 hectares	426 211 Reject X 492 316 Accept X 511 513 Reject X 525 19 Reject	Support.
<b>4.161 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-18 Minor activities involving the beds of artificial watercourses – River and Lake Beds</b>			
358 123 TPL X 519 40 Mighty River- Support	Clarify the cross-reference to Rule 16-23 in the Rule 16-18.	358 123 Accept X 519 40 Accept	Support
358 124 TPL X 492 318 DoC - Oppose X 519 49 Mighty River- Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-18 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan. Any similar amendments to like effect. Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Rule 16- 18 as proposed in this submission.	358 124 Reject X 492 318 Accept X 519 49 Reject	Neutral.
426 214 Fed Farmers X 511 514 TPL-Support	Amend 16- 8 to clarify the functions of regional council in respect controlling activities within artificial waterbodies	426 214 Reject X 511 514 Reject	Support.
<b>4.162 Chapter 16 – Rule 16-19 Bed disturbance of artificial lakes to maintain their function – River and Lake Beds</b>			

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

358 125 TPL X 492 321 DoC - Oppose X 519 41 Mighty River -Support	Amend the controlled activity status for Rule 16-19 to permitted activity status.	358 125 Reject X 492 321 Accept X 519 41 Accept	Neutral
358 126 TPL X 492 322 DoC - Oppose	Delete the conditions and matters for control (a) - (g) from the Proposed Plan.	358 126 Reject X 492 322 Accept	Neutral
358 127 TPL X 492 323 DoC - Oppose X 519 50 Mighty River- Support	Delete the cross-reference in Rule 16-19 to Section 16.2 of the Proposed Plan.	358 127 Reject X 492 323 Accept X 519 50 Reject	Neutral
363 183 Meridian X 511 515 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Rule 16-19 and requests the following amendments or similar: Delete condition (a) and (c).	363 183 Reject X 511 515 Reject	Neutral
<b>4.165 Glossary - General - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 7 Hort NZ X 511 533 TPL- Support X 525 54 Genesis- Support	Decision Sought: Include a definition for artificial waterbodies as follows: Artificial water bodies include irrigation canals, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation and farm drainage canals.	357 7 Reject X 511 533 Reject X 525 54 Reject	Oppose (subject to confirmation in the supplementary section 42A report).
426 219 Fed Farmers X 511 538 TPL- Support X 525 23 Genesis-Support	As for 357-7	426 219 Reject X 511 538 Reject X 525 23 Reject	As above
<b>4.166 Glossary - General - River and Lake Beds</b>			
372 208 DoC X 511 535 TPL-Oppose	Add entry as follows (or words to like effect): 'Fish Passage refers to the requirement for fish species and populations to be able to swim from one part of a river system to another for ecological and biological purposes. This includes movement between the sea and river headwaters in order to complete critical phases in their lifecycles.  The Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983 states requirements for dams, weirs, culverts and fords to provide a structure or facility that allows fish to swim, jump or climb up or downstream past a humanmade fish passage barrier. Fish passage barriers in this context are alterations to the natural stream/river gradient, velocity, riffle length, temperature and chemical characteristics, that create conditions exceeding the finite swimming abilities of fish. Swimming ability varies with species, and the type of structure required (or not) will depend on relevant the species naturally present in the river/stream'.	372 208 Reject X 511 535 Reject	Support
<b>4.183 Schedule B Surface Water Quantity – Table - Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
33 6 Fish & Game	The cumulative core allocation limit for the Whakapapa River (Whai 2b & Whai 2c) and Whanganui River (Whai 1 & Whai 2) should be reduced to zero plus any existing community municipal water	33 6 Accept in Part	Support



Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 562 TPL-Oppose	supply.	X 511 562 Accept in Part	
357 149 Hort NZ X 511 559 TPL-Support	Decision Sought: Assess minimum flows to ensure that they are based on robust science to support the figures in Schedule B and amend accordingly.	357 149 Accept X 511 559 Accept	Support in part
357 75 Hort NZ X 511 558 TPL-Support	Decisions Sought: Assess minimum flows to ensure that they are based on robust science to support the figures in Schedule B and amend accordingly.	357 75 Accept X 511 558 Accept	Support
358 143 TPL X 487 161 Fonterra- Oppose X 492 396 DoC - Oppose X 522 449 Meridian- Support	Either delete Schedule B from the Proposed Plan and any references to Schedule B elsewhere in the document; Or, delete the minimum flows and cumulative core allocations for each of the sub zones in Schedule B until such time as they are determined in agreement with hydro electricity generation parties and others through a process of formal consultation.	358 143 Reject X 487 161 Accept X 492 396 Accept X 522 449 Reject	Oppose, seek improved justification of the values outlined in Schedule B along with increased recognition of other non-natural values of waterbodies in Schedule B.
358 144 TPL X 487 162 Fonterra - Oppose X 492 397 DoC- Oppose X 522 450 Meridian- Support in Part X 525 245 Genesis- Support	Amend Schedule B to explicitly recognise existing takes for hydro electricity as part of the core allocation in the relevant water management sub zone.	358 144 Reject X 487 162 Accept X 492 397 Accept X 522 450 Accept in Part X 525 245 Reject	Oppose, as above.
358 145 TPL X 525 246 Genesis- Support	TPL, reserves the opportunity to submit in more detail on the rivers, sub-zones and minimum flows and cumulative core allocations in Schedule B.	358 145 Reject X 525 246 Reject	Oppose, as above.
358 146 TPL	Amend the spelling of all the rivers and streams in the Proposed Plan to be correct and consistent.	358 146 Reject	Neutral
358 38 TPL X 487 160 Fonterra- Oppose X 492 392 DoC - Oppose X 525 243 Genesis- Support	That the minimum flows and cumulative core allocations for each of the sub zones identified in Schedule B be deleted from this schedule until such time as they are determined in agreement with hydro electricity generation parties through a process of formal consultation;	358 38 Reject X 487 160 Accept X 492 392 Accept X 525 243 Reject	As above
358 39 TPL X 525 244 Genesis - Support	TPL reserves an opportunity to further address its submission on the rivers, sub-zones and minimum flows and cumulative core allocations in Schedule B.	358 39 Reject X 525 244 Reject	As above
398 6 Fonterra X 511 556 TPL- Oppose X 519 105 Mighty River- Oppose X 525 33 Genesis- Oppose X 531 141 Hort NZ- Support	Fonterra considers that Schedule B should be revised so as to remove any special treatment for hydro electricity generation with respect to the minimum flow and core allocation requirements.	398 6 Reject X 511 556 - Accept X 519 105 Accept X 525 33 Accept X 531 141 Reject	Support
417 94 Fish & Game	The certainty provided outweighs our other concerns. We would be extremely concerned, and would	417 94 Accept	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 511 563 TPL-Support	not continue to support the proposed minimum flows in Schedule B, if this certainty was eroded or the minimum flows were reduced and/or allocation limits increased.	X 511 563 Accept	
426 187 Fed Farmers X 487 164 Fonterra- Support X 511 560 TPL-Support	Reassess minimum flow regimes to ensure that they have are based on robust science. Provide clarity as to the methodology and process for inclusion of the minimum flow regimes.	426 187 Accept X 487 164 Accept X 511 560 Accept	Support
426 234 Fed Farmers X 487 165 Fonterra- Support X 511 561 TPL-Support	Assess minimum flows to ensure that they accurate and based on robust science to support the figures. Further, detail the methodology behind the formulation of the figures and incorporate these with the plan	426 234 Accept X 487 165 Accept X 511 561 Accept	Support
426 58 Fed Farmers X 492 393 DoC - Oppose X 506 50 Green Party - Oppose X 511 557 TPL-Support	Delete Schedule B.	426 58 Reject X 492 393 Accept X 506 50 Accept X 511 557 Reject	Support, subject to improved justification of the values within Schedule B.
<b>4.184 Schedule C Groundwater Management Zones – General – Ground and Surface Water Allocation</b>			
357 150 Hort NZ X 511 565 TPL- Support	Decision Sought: Provide clarity as to how the volumes in Schedule C have been derived.	357 150 Accept in Part X 511 565 Accept in Part	Support
426 70 Fed Farmers X 487 166 Fonterra- Support X 511 564 TPL-Support	Amend Schedule C to provide guidance on how the limits/amounts were calculated and applied.	426 70 Accept in Part X 487 166 Accept in Part X 511 564 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.185 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – General – Water Quality</b>			
357 151 Hort NZ X 487 169 Fonterra- Support X 495 409 RDC- Support X 511 569 TPL -Support	Decision Sought: Delete Schedule D Water Management Zones and Standards until all values are included and a full cost benefit analysis undertaken, and specific sites of significance requiring a higher level of protection identified.	357 151 Reject X 487 169 Reject X 495 409 Reject X 511 569 Reject	Support in part
357 54 Hort NZ X 484 32 MAF- Support X 487 168 Fonterra- Support X 511 568 TPL-Support	Amend Chapter 6 to give effect to the following matters: Delete Schedule D Water Management Zones and Standards until all values are included and a full cost benefit analysis undertaken, and specific sites of significance requiring a higher level of protection identified.	357 54 Reject X 484 32 Reject X 487 168 Reject X 511 568 Reject	Support in part
358 148 TPL	Amend or clarify the exact limits and extent of all rivers and streams that are included in Schedule D (including smaller streams and tributaries).	358 148 Accept in Part	Support.
358 150 TPL X 520 96 N Z Forest Managers	Delete the natural state definition that restricts these rivers to sources in Public Conservation Land.	358 150 Reject X 520 96 Reject	Support in part

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

Support			
358 166 TPL X 487 170 Fonterra- Oppose X 492 403 DoC - Oppose	That the location of existing surface water flows identified in Schedule D be deleted from this schedule until such time as these flows are determined in agreement with hydro electricity generation parties through a process of formal consultation.	358 166 Reject X 487 170 Reject X 492 403 Reject	Support in part, subject to amendment of these values pending robust scientific evidence.
358 167 TPL X 487 171 Fonterra- Oppose X 492 404 DoC- Oppose	That the water quality standards identified in Schedule D be deleted from this schedule until such time as they are determined in agreement with hydro electricity generation parties through a process of formal consultation.	358 167 Reject X 487 171 Accept X 492 404 Accept	Support in part, as above
363 209 Meridian X 495 408 RDC- Support X 511 566 TPL-Support	Meridian opposes Schedule D and requests the following or similar: Delete Schedule D in its entirety; In the alternative, but without prejudice to the relief sought above: Amend Schedule D to ensure information contained in Table D2 reflects that shown in the associated maps; and Amend to contain improved justification of the inclusion of each of these classifications, and Amend to include more detailed individual maps identifying the locations for each value; and Clarify the impact of the water quality standards on normal construction activities and if necessary, make amendments that ensure such activities are permitted activities where best practice erosion and sediment control measures are implemented. Any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission	363 209 Accept in Part X 495 408 Accept in Part X 511 566 Accept in Part	Support in part, as above
372 212 DoC X 511 570 TPL-Oppose	Include a note within Schedule D that the native fish values are based on existing recent records which in turn are indicative of information gathered to date rather than a comprehensive survey.	372 212 Reject X 511 570 Accept	Support
372 213 DoC X 511 571 TPL-Support X 533 65 Fed Farmers- Oppose	Include as a policy and a method that the Council will further develop and maintain its inventory of aquatic sites of significance and carry out further survey work to ensure that it is comprehensive and up to date. In addition refer within the plan to the Councils intention to take the information in the Inventory into consideration in consent decision-making, and to notify a plan change on the matter, if appropriate, within no more than 2 years of the plan becoming operative.	372 213 Reject X 511 571 Reject X 533 65 Accept	Support
372 244 DoC X 511 573 TPL-Oppose	The addition of the following sites for Significance Aquatic. These are contained in Tonkin, J., Macdonald, A., & Joy, M (2004). Manawatu - Wanganui Brown Mudfish (Neochanna apoda) Survey. Prepared for Horizons Regional Council November 2004. - Whitiki Swamp (2701000 6065500). Brown mudfish are present at this site. - Heatherlea Park Swamp (2704100 6066700). Banded kokopu present at this site. - Te Whanga Swamp forest (2703000 6067200). Banded kokopu present at this site. - Lake Herbert (2706300 6116200). Brown mudfish found at this site. - Knottingly Swamp(2698800 6113100). Brown mudfish found at this site. - Artillery Swamp (2697800 6115700). Banded Kokopu found at this site.	372 244 Accept in Part X 511 573 Accept in Part	Support
398 7 Fonterra X 481 48 PNCC- Support X 484 63 MAF- Oppose X 492 400 DoC - Oppose	Fonterra considers that Schedule D should be revised to address the following: (i) Water quality standards should not apply to dairy farming activities; (ii) Water quality standards should not apply to small lowland headwater streams below third order; and (iii) Water quality standards should not apply during flows in excess of 3X median flow.	398 7 Reject X 481 48 Reject X 484 63 Accept X 492 400 Accept	Support

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

X 506 61 Green Party- Oppose X 511 567 TPL-Oppose		X 506 61 Accept X 511 567 Accept	
426 235 Fed Farmers X 487 172 Fonterra- Support X 492 405 DoC- Oppose X 495 410 RDC- Support X 511 572 TPL-Support	Delete Schedule D until all values are included (economic) and a cost benefit analysis undertaken and specific sites of significance identified.	426 235 Reject X 487 172 Reject X 492 405 Accept X 495 410 Reject X 511 572 Reject	Support in part, as above
<b>4.186 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Map D-9 Natural State Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui – Water Quality</b>			
358 149 TPL X 492 406 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D-9 from the Proposed Plan; Or, amend Map D-9 to include appropriate criteria in regard to Natural State.	358 149 Accept in Part X 492 406 Accept in Part	Support
<b>4.188 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Map D12 Riparian Sites of Significance in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 154 TPL X 492 408 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D-12 and Table D:6 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:12 and Table D:6: Mangatainoka River Rangitikei River	358 154 Reject X 492 408 Accept	Support in part, subject to development of a framework that sufficiently provides for infrastructure with national or regional benefits.
<b>4.189 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region - Map D-13 Native Fish Spawning Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 156 TPL X 492 409 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D:14 and Table D:8 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:14 and Table D:8: Mangatainoka River Makakahi River Rangitikei River Hautapu River Manganui o Te Ao River.	358 156 Reject X 492 409 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.190 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region - Map D-15 Native Fishery Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 158 TPL X 492 410 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D:15 and Table D:9 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:15 and Table D:9: Rangitikei River	358 158 Reject X 492 410 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.191 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region - D-16 Trout Fishery Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 160 TPL X 488 16 Fish & Game - Oppose X 491 17 Fish & Game- Oppose	Either delete Map D:16 and Table D:10 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:16 and Table D:10: Makuri River and tributaries Mangatainoka River and tributaries Rangitikei River and tributaries Hautapu River and tributaries Manganui o Te Ao River Mangaturuturu River Makatoe River Makotuku River and tributaries	358 160 Reject X 488 16 Accept X 491 17 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.192 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region - D-17 Trout Spawning Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 162 TPL	Either delete Map D:17 and Table D:11 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:17 and Table D:11: Makuri River at tributaries Makotukutuku Stream Mangatainoka River Mangamaire Stream Makakahi River Rangitikei River Hautapu River Manganui o Te Ao River Makatote River Otautoha Stream Makotuku River and tributaries Any similar amendments to like effect.	358 162 Reject	Support in part, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	Any consequential amendments that stem from the amendment of Map D:17 and Table D:11 as proposed in this submission.		
<b>4.193 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region - Map D-18 Aesthetics Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region– Water Quality</b>			
358 164 TPL X 492 411 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D:18 and Table D:12 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:18 and Table D:12: Makuri River and tributaries Mangatainoka River and tributaries Rangitikei River and tributaries Hautapu River and tributaries Makatote River Mangaturuturu River Orautoha Stream Manganui o Te Ao River	358 164 Reject X 492 411 Accept	Support in part, as above
358 147 TPL X 492 415 DoC- Oppose	Either delete Table D.1 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the Ecosystem, Recreational and Cultural, Water Use, and Social/Economic values from Table D.1; Or, delete the following rivers from Table D.2: a. Makuri River (Mana 7) b. Mangatainoka (Mana 8) c. Makakahi River (Mana 8) d. Rangitikei (Rang 1 4) e. Hautapu River (Rang 2) f. Maunganui o Te Ao (Whai 5) g. Makotuku River (Whau 3)	358 147 Reject X 492 415 Accept	Support in part, as above
417 95 Fish & Game X 492 412 DoC - Support X 511 574 TRPL-Oppose	Table D1 is supported and we wish to have this retained.	417 95 Accept X 492 412 Accept X 511 574 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.199 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Table D-4 Life Supporting Capacity Value by Management Zone / Sub-zone in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region –Water Quality</b>			
358 151 TPL X 492 420 DoC - Oppose	Either delete the Life Supporting Capacity Values ascribed in Table D:4; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D-9 and Table D4: Makuri River (UHS) Mangatainoka River (UHS, HM) Makakahi River (HM) Rangitikei River (UHS, HM, LM) Hautapu River (UVM, HSS) Manganui o Te Ao (UVA, UVM) Makotuku (UVA)	358 151 Reject X 492 420 Accept	Support in part, as above
358 153 TPL X 492 423 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D-11 and Table D:5 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D-11 and Table D5: Mangatainoka River Makakahi River Mangamaire River Rangitikei River Mangatukutuku Stream Mangaturuturu River and tributaries. Manganui o Te Ao River and tributaries Orautoha	358 153 Reject X 492 423 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.201 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – D-6 Riparian Sites of Significance in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 155 TPL X 492 424 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D-12 and Table D:6 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:12 and Table D:6: Mangatainoka River Rangitikei River	358 155 Reject X 492 424 Accept	Support in part, as above
372 215 DoC X 511 575 TPL-Oppose X 533 67 Fed Farmers- Oppose	Re-name value as 'Significant sites for aquatic birds' and include sites known to support blue duck or identified for potential reestablishment in recovery plans.	372 215 Reject X 511 575 Accept X 533 67 Accept	Support in part, as above
372 216 DoC X 511 576 TPL-Support X 533 68 Fed Farmers- Oppose	Include as a policy that the Council will further develop and maintain and develop an inventory of riparian sites of significance to include areas of significance for their river bank and river margin vegetation and as corridors for native bird migration. Include as a method that the Council will carry out further survey work in consultation with the Department of Conservation and local communities to	372 216 Reject X 511 576 Reject X 533 68 Accept	Support in part, as above

Horizons Proposed One Plan: Water Hearing Provisions - Submission by TrustPower Limited  
**Statement of Evidence by Robert Schofield**

	ensure that the inventory is comprehensive and up to date.		
372 217 DoC X 511 577 TPL-Oppose X 533 69 Fed Farmers- Oppose	In addition refer within the plan to the Councils intention to take the information in the Inventory into consideration in consent decision-making, and to notify a plan change on the matter, if appropriate, within no more than 2 years of the plan becoming operative.	372 217 Reject X 511 577 Accept X 533 69 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.202 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – D-8 Amenity Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 157 TPL X 492 425 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D:14 and Table D:8 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:14 and Table D:8: Mangatainoka River Makakahi River Rangitikei River Hautapu River Manganui o Te Ao River	358 157 Reject X 492 425 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.203 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – D-9 Native Fishery Value Location in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – Water Quality</b>			
358 159 TPL X 492 426 DoC- Oppose	Either delete Map D:15 and Table D:9 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:15 and Table D:9: Rangitikei River	358 159 Reject X 492 426 Accept	Support in part, as above
358 161 TPL X 492 427 DoC- Oppose	Either delete Map D:16 and Table D:10 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:16 and Table D:10: Makuri River and tributaries Mangatainoka River and tributaries Rangitikei River and Tributaries Hautapu River and tributaries Manganui o Te Ao River Mangaturuturu River Makatoe River Makotuku River and tributaries	358 161 Reject X 492 427 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.205 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – D-11 Trout Spawning Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region– Water Quality</b>			
358 152 TPL X 488 17 Fish & Game Taranaki- Oppose X 491 18 Fish & Game NZ - Oppose	Either delete Map D-11 and Table D:5 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D-11 and Table D5: Mangatainoka River Makakahi River Mangamaire River Rangitikei River Mangatukutuku Stream Mangaturuturu River and tributaries. Manganui o Te Ao River and tributaries Orautoha	358 152 Reject X 488 17 Accept X 491 18 Accept	Support in part, as above
358 163 TPL X 488 18 Fish & Game Taranaki - Oppose X 491 19 Fish & game NZ-Oppose	Either delete Map D:17 and Table D:11 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:17 and Table D:11: Makuri River at tributaries Makotukutuku Stream Mangatainoka River Mangamaire Stream Makakahi River Rangitikei River Hautapu River Manganui o Te Ao River Makatote River Orautoha Stream Makotuku River and tributaries	358 163 Reject X 488 18 Accept X 491 19 Accept	Support in part, as above
<b>4.206 Schedule D Values that apply to Waterbodies in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region – D-12 Aesthetics Value in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region– Water Quality</b>			
358 165 TPL X 492 428 DoC - Oppose	Either delete Map D:18 and Table D:12 from the Proposed Plan; Or, delete the following rivers from Map D:18 and Table D:12: Makuri River and tributaries Mangatainoka River and tributaries Rangitikei River and tributaries Hautapu River and tributaries Makatote River Mangaturuturu River Orautoha Stream Manganui o Te Ao River	358 165 Reject X 492 428 Accept	Support in part, as above