(6)

Excerpt from RP-IP Section of the One Plan 2026

IP-P5: Consent durations

1. Other than as provided for under (2), the Regional Council will generally grant *resource consents*^ for the term sought by the applicant unless reasons are identified during the consent process that make this inappropriate.

2. *Resource consent*^ durations for applications required under ss13, 14 and 15 of the RMA will generally be set to the next common catchment expiry date listed in Table 9. The dates listed in Table 9 show the initial expiry or review dates for consents within the catchment. Future dates for expiry or review of consents within that catchment must occur again every 10 years thereafter. Consents granted within three years prior to the relevant common catchment expiry date may be granted with a duration to align with the second common expiry date (that is the number of years up to the next expiry date plus 10 years). Dates may also be extended in 10 year increments where a term longer than 10 years can be granted after considering the following criteria:

   a. the extent to which an activity is carried out in accordance with a recognised code of practice, environmental standard or good practice guideline;

   b. the most appropriate balance between environmental protection and investment by the applicant;

   c. the provision of s128 review opportunities to enable matters of contention to be periodically reviewed in light of monitoring and compliance information; and

   d. whether the activity is *infrastructure*^; *water**, *sewage** or stormwater treatment plants and facilities; or publicly accessible *solid waste** facilities including *landfills**, transfer stations and resource recovery facilities.

   For a consent which is granted for a duration longer than 10 years, review of the consent must occur, as a minimum, on the review date in Table 9 and every 10 years thereafter until consent expiry. Extra review dates may be set in accordance with RP-IP-P6.

3. Matters to be considered in determining a shorter consent duration than that requested under (1):

   a. whether it is necessary for an activity to cease at a specified time;

   b. whether the activity has *effects** that are unpredictable and potentially serious for the locality where it is undertaken and a precautionary approach is needed;

   c. the risks of long-term allocation of a resource whose availability changes over time in an unpredictable manner, requiring a precautionary approach; and

   d. in the case of existing activities, whether the consent holder has a good or poor compliance history in relation to environmental *effects** for the same activity.

Table 9 Common expiry/review dates for consents in *Water Management Sub-areas**

*Water Management Area**	*Water Management Sub-area**	Expiry / review (1 July)
Upper Manawatū	Upper Manawatū, Mangatewainui and Mangatoro	2011
Weber-Tamaki	Weber-Tamaki and Mangatera	2011
Upper Tamaki	Upper Tamaki	2011
Upper Kūmeti	Upper Kūmeti	2011
Tamaki-Hopelands	Tamaki-Hopelands, Lower Tamaki, Lower Kūmeti, Ōruakeretaki and Raparapawai	2011
Hopelands-Tīraumea	Hopelands-Tīraumea	2011
Tīraumea	Upper and Lower Tīraumea, Mangaone, Mākuri and Mangaramarama	2010
Mangatainoka	Upper, Middle and Lower Mangatainoka and Mākākahi	2010
Upper Gorge	Upper Gorge, Mangapapa, Mangaatua, Upper and Lower Mangahao	2013
Middle Manawatū	Middle Manawatūu, Upper, Middle and Lower Pohangina, and Aokautere	2013

*Water Management Area**	*Water Management Sub-area**	Expiry / review (1 July)
Lower Manawatū	Lower Manawatū, Turitea, Kahuterawa, Upper and Lower Mangaone Stream and Main Drain	2013
Ōroua	Upper, Middle and Lower Ōroua, Kiwitea and Makino	2019
Coastal Manawatū	Coastal Manawatū, Upper and Lower Tokomaru, Mangaore, Kōpūtaroa and Foxton Loop	2018
Upper Rangitīkei	Upper Rangitīkei	2017
Middle Rangitīkei	Middle Rangitīkei, Pukeokahu-Mangaweka, Upper, Middle and Lower Moawhango, Upper and Lower Hautapu	2017
Lower Rangitīkei	Lower Rangiīikei and Makohine	2017
Coastal Rangitīkei	Coastal and Tidal Rangitīkei, Pōrewa and Tūtaenui	2017
Upper Whanganui	Upper Whanganui	2015
Cherry Grove	Cherry Grove, Upper and Lower Whakapapa, Piopiotea, Pungapunga and Upper and Lower Ōngarue	2015
Te Maire	Te Maire	2015
Middle Whanganui	Middle Whanganui, Upper and Lower Ōhura and Rētāruke	2015
Pipiriki	Pipiriki, Tangarakau, Whangamomona, Upper and Lower Manganui o te Ao, Oroutoha, Middle Manganui o te Ao, Waimarino, Makatote and Mangaturuturu	2015
Paetawa	Paetawa	2015
Lower Whanganui	Lower and Coastal Whanganui, Upokongaro and Matarawa	2015
Upper Whangaehu	Upper Whangaehu, Tokiahuru, Waitangi	2009
Middle Whangaehu	Middle Whangaehu	2009
Lower Whangaehu	Lower Whangaehu, Upper and Lower Makotuku, Upper and Lower Mangawhero and Makara	2009
Coastal Whangaehu	Coastal Whangaehu	2009
Turakina	Upper and Lower Turakina and Ratana	2014
Ōhau	Upper and Lower Ōhau	2012
Owahanga	Owahanga	2016
East Coast	East Coast	2016
Ākitio	Upper and Lower Ākitio and Waihi	2016
Northern Coastal	Northern Coastal	2012
Kai Iwi	Kai Iwi	2012
Mōwhānau	Mōwhānau	2012
Kaitoke Lakes	Kaitoke Lakes	2014
Southern Whanganui Lakes	Southern Whanganui Lakes	2014
Northern Manawatū Lakes	Northern Manawatū Lakes	2014
Waitarere	Waitarere	2014
Lake Papaitonga	Lake Papaitonga	2014
Waikawa	Waikawa and Manakau	2014
Lake Horowhenua	Lake Horowhenua and Hokio	2014

IP-P6: Consent review

In addition to the reasons specified in s128(1)(a)(i) and (ii) RMA, the Regional Council will, under s128(1)(a)(iii) RMA, generally impose consent *conditions*^ that specify a review of consent *conditions*^ during the term of the consent for:

1. reviewing the appropriateness of any *condition*^ requiring the consent holder to supply the *consent authority*^ with information relating to the exercise of the *resource consent*^;

2. reviewing any unknown or uncertain adverse *effects** caused as a result of planned or required changes or *upgrades** to the activity;

3. reviewing the *conditions*^ of a consent at the same time as review of other consents within the same *Water Management Sub-area** - for example, at a *common catchment expiry or review date**; and

4. reviewing the effectiveness of consent *conditions*^ to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse *effects** of the activity on the *environment**.

The Regional Council will generally initiate reviews of *conditions*^ when monitoring results or other evidence demonstrate a review is required.